



Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth, Yield, Nutrient Uptake and Fertility Balance in Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) – Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Cropping Sequence

Narendra Singh*, H. S. Kushwaha¹ and Aditya Singh²

Dept. of Agronomy, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda, U.P. (210 001), India



Open Access

Corresponding Author

Narendra Singh

e-mail: narendra.buat@gmail.com

Citation: Singh et al., 2020. Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth, Yield, Nutrient Uptake and Fertility Balance in Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) – Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Cropping Sequence. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 2020, 11(4), 405-413. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/1.2020.2132](https://doi.org/10.23910/1.2020.2132).

Copyright: © 2020 Singh et al. This is an open access article that permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium after the author(s) and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Legal restrictions are imposed on the public sharing of raw data. However, authors have full right to transfer or share the data in raw form upon request subject to either meeting the conditions of the original consents and the original research study. Further, access of data needs to meet whether the user complies with the ethical and legal obligations as data controllers to allow for secondary use of the data outside of the original study.

Conflict of interests: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Acknowledgement: I highly thankful to Deendayal Research Institute to provide facility and funds to complete this research work.

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chitrakoot, Uttar Pradesh state, India to assess the effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Soybean [(*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill)] – Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) for productivity, nutrient uptake and fertility balance during 2008-09 and 2009-10. 18 treatment included use of 50, 75, 100% NPK to soybean and wheat with combination of FYM, Vermicompost and cow pat pit (CPP) were tested. All growth parameters were recorded significantly higher with the application of 100% NPK through fertilizer+5 t ha⁻¹ FYM during 2008-09 and 2009-10. Pooled Seed yield (2.52 t ha⁻¹), straw yield (2.54 t ha⁻¹), nutrient uptake (216.35 kg N, 34.70 kg P and 89.50 K kg ha⁻¹) of soybean were also found significantly higher with the same application. The growth parameters of wheat have been found significantly higher with 100% NPK to wheat+residual effect of 50% NPK and FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ in the kharif crop during two years. Pooled Seed yield (4.95 t ha⁻¹), straw yield (4.99 t ha⁻¹) and harvest index (49.75 %) of wheat were also obtained highest with same treatment combination during experiment. The soil nutrient status after harvest of second year wheat crop, the available N, P and K in soil were analyzed significantly higher under 100% NPK with residual effect of FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ + 50% NPK over rest of the treatments.

Keywords: INM, growth, yield, soil fertility, soybean-wheat sequence

1. Introduction

The maximum cost involvement in cereal–cereal crop rotation has created constraints for labour, inputs supply and net income. Use of inadequate water and chemical fertilizers have led to problem of soil degradation under this system. The continuous growing of rice has led to a deterioration in soil quality, resulting in a serious threat to agricultural sustainability. Therefore, crop diversification with a wider choice in the production of crop varieties is being promoted in different parts of the country (Jat et al., 2012). Considering these issues as a threat to sustainable and profitable agriculture attempt is being made to discover some alternative in the region (Bhatt et al., 2016). Both wheat and rice crops are grown under lavish environment. The green crops with higher dose of N-fertilizers and wet conditions because of frequent irrigations are the paradise for the outbreak of insect-pest and diseases (Saroch et al., 2005). Diversification of rice-wheat cropping system may improve

Article History

RECEIVED in 15th July 2020

RECEIVED in revised form 21st August 2020

ACCEPTED in final form 30th August 2020



the productivity and sustainability of agricultural production in the Indo-Gangetic plain region (IGPR), but the choice of alternative sequences to be used requires integrated assessment of various crop sequences (Singh et al., 2011). Outbreak of the diseases and insect-pests attack is mainly responsible for the lower water and land productivity and is considered a serious issue in the way to sustainable agriculture (Kukul et al., 2014). Soybean (*Glycine max*) - Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system has emerged as a predominant cropping system in Bundelkhand region under both irrigated and rainfed conditions. The farmers prefer soybean as a cash crop followed by wheat as a high yielding food grain crop (Chandel et al., 2014). The productivity of these crops has been stagnated or even showing a decreasing trend, even in spite of intensive efforts. Productivity of crops is highly influenced by nutrition specially with organic (Pandey and Kumar, 2017). The efficiency of input use viz. water, fertilizers, herbicides and others depend on tillage and crop establishment practices. It is therefore, essential that the soil environment be manipulated suitably for ensuring a good crop stand and improve resource-use efficiency. Sustaining production and productivity of any system is of paramount importance by improving the soil's physical, chemical and biological properties (Karunakaran and Bahera, 2017). Imbalance nutrition is one of the important constraints of low soybean productivity in north Indian plains (Singh and Singh, 2018). Now a day's organic sources of nutrients are playing important role to enhance crop yields and meet global food demand (Timsina, 2018). The demand for food grains is expected to rise not only as a function of population growth but also as more and more people cross the poverty line with economic and social development (Parewa et al., 2019). The increase in grain yield of wheat due to balanced fertilization is attributed to improvement in growth and yield attributes, which in turn resulted in higher translocation of photosynthates and nutrients, ultimately reflected into higher grain and straw yield ((Das et al., 2015 and Singh and Singh, 2018). Application of organic material along with inorganic fertilizers into the soils leads to increase in productivity of the cropping system enhance the use efficiency of fertilizer input and sustain the soil health for longer period (Jat et al., 2015 and Tambe et al., 2019). Fertilizer is one of the costliest inputs of crop production, it is therefore, very important to find out the way of economic use of fertilizer (Dhakal et al., 2016 and Kumar et al., 2020).

Best management practices are approaches based on experiments made in different parts of the country that, if followed, should allowed the farmers to meet the optimum production to achieve the desired objectives for crop, nutrients, water and soils, (Ramesh et al., 2017). Inclusion of legumes in the cropping system has been known since times immemorial. Legume is a natural mini-nitrogen manufacturing factory in the field and the farmers by growing these crops can play a vital role in increasing indigenous nitrogen production. Legume help in solubilizing insoluble P in soil,

improving the soil physical environment, increasing soil microbial activity, and restoring organic matter, and also has smothering effect on weed. The carryover of N derived from legume grown, either in crop sequence or in intercropping system for succeeding crops, is also important. In a country like India, where the average consumption of plant nutrients from chemical fertilizers on national basis is very low, the scope for exploiting direct and residual fertility due to legumes has obviously a great potential. This article deals with the beneficial effect of important legumes on increasing productivity and nutrient use-efficiency in various systems (Gosh et al., 2007).

Hence, the present study was planned and carried out to explore alternative of rice wheat system, appropriate nutrient management for soybean – wheat system and its impact on productivity and soil health.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental site

Field experiment was conducted at same plot of Tulsi Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Ganivan, Chitrakoot, Uttar Pradesh state, India during *kharif* (Mid-June to 1st week of November) and *rabi* (from Last week of November to Last week of March) in 2008-09 and 2009-10 under the agro-climatic condition of Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh, India (25°10' N latitude and 82°42' E longitude and an altitude of 132.98 meter above mean sea level). The experiment site is located in semi-arid and subtropical climate with 850 mm mean annual rainfall. In this region, the onset of monsoon rains occurs in the fourth week of June. The total rainfall received 624.5 mm and 654.5 mm in the year 2008-09 and 2009-10, respectively. Most of this rainfall was received during July and August (372.50 and 363.50 mm) in two consecutive years. Mean monthly maximum temperature was 48.8 °C in May during 2008-09 and 40.6 °C in April 2009-10 however, minimum temperature was recorded 6.7 °C and 8.8 °C in January during two consecutive years. The soil is sandy loam (sand-28%, silt-34% and clay – 38%) having 0.31% organic carbon with a pH of 7.34. The available NPK in the soil were 125.09, 15.96 and 328.22 kg ha⁻¹, which showed rich in potassium, medium in phosphorus and poor in nitrogen.

2.2. Experiment design

Eighteen treatments comprised T₁: control, T₂: 50% NPK (soybean -S) – 100% NPK (wheat -W), T₃: 75% NPK (S) – 75% NPK (W), T₄: 100% NPK (S) – 50% NPK (W), T₅: 100% NPK (S) – 75% NPK (W), T₆: 100% NPK (S) – 100% NPK (W), T₇: 50% NPK + FYM 10 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 50% NPK (W), T₈: 50% NPK + vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 50% NPK (W), T₉: 50% NPK + CPP 3.75 kg ha⁻¹ (S) – 50% NPK (W), T₁₀: 50% NPK + FYM 10 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W), T₁₁: 50% NPK + vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W), T₁₂: 50% NPK + CPP 3.75 kg ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W), T₁₃: 75% NPK + FYM 5t ha⁻¹ (S) – 75% NPK (W), T₁₄: 75% NPK + vermicompost 2.5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 75% NPK (W), T₁₅: 75% NPK + CPP



1.875 kg ha⁻¹ (S) – 75% NPK (W), T₁₆: 100% NPK + FYM 5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W), T₁₇: 100% NPK + vermicompost 2.5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W), T₁₈: 100% NPK + CPP 1.875 kg ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W) and tested in Randomized Block Design with 3 replications. The recommended dose of 100% NPK to soybean (S) and wheat (W) were 20: 80: 40 and 120: 60: 40 kg N: P₂O₅: K₂O/ha, respectively. In soybean, all amount of NPK were applied as basal in furrows before planting. While, in wheat 1/3 N + full amount of P and K were given as basal in furrows before sowing. The remaining 2/3 N was applied in two equal split doses after first and second irrigation as top dressing. Urea (46% N), DAP (18%N, 46% P₂O₅) and Muriate of Potash (K₂O) were used to supply N,P,K, respectively. The amount of N was adjusted with DAP and then urea as per treatments. The FYM (0.43 : 0.29 : 0.73% N:P:K), vermicompost (1.36 : 0.23 : 0.82% N:P:K) and cow pat pit (1.68 : 0.31 : 0.85% N:P:K) were used as organic manures as per treatments.

2.3. Crop culture

The soybean was sown at a distance of 45 cm and wheat at a distance of 22.5 cm. The plant distance of soybean was maintained at 10 cm after one week of emergence. Seeds were treated with appropriate fungicides and *Rhizobium* culture before sowing. Sowing of soybean was done on 14th and 15th July and harvested on 2nd and 4th Nov. in 2008 and 2009, respectively. While, wheat was sown on 29th and 28th Nov. and harvested on 25th and 26th March in 2009 and 2010, respectively. All necessary plant protection, irrigation and other management practices were followed during crop growth. Wheat was given 3 irrigations on 18th Dec., 12th Jan., 9th Feb. during 2008-09 and 18th Dec., 10th Jan., 10th Feb. during 2009-10. Soybean crop was hand weeded once, 1 month after sowing. No incidence of serious insect or diseases was observed. Crops were harvested manually by sickle from ground level and the total above ground biomass was removed from each plot and seed and straw yield were recorded as per treatments.

The available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in seed and straw were analyzed by modified Kjeldahl method (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982), Vanadomolybdophosphoric yellow colour method (Koenig and Johnson, 1942) and Ammonium acetate method (Jackson, 1967) and their uptake were calculated in kg ha⁻¹ according to biological yield of respective plots. However, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in soil were analyzed by Kjeldahl digestion and distillation method (Jackson, 1971), Olsen's method (Olsen et al., 1954) and Ammonium acetate method (Jackson, 1967), respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of INM on soybean

3.1.1. Growth and nodulation

Growth parameters like plant height, number of trifoliolate leaves and plant dry matter 83.30 cm, 41.65 and 35.10

respectively, were recorded significantly higher with the application of 100% NPK through fertilizer +FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹. At 60 days stage during study (Table 1). It might be due to balance dose of fertilizers and slow element releasing tendency of FYM. Under this treatment, crop plants avail the nutrition for longer period therefore; it promotes more growth and development of soybean crop. The application of FYM might have enhanced microbial activities at root zone of soybean crop, which may help for nutrient transformation. Nagar et al. (2016) also experienced similar observations in their experiments. Nodules count (71) and nodules dry weight (0.60 g) were also recorded significantly higher under same treatment combination at 60-days stage during both the year. It might be due to availability of abundant organic matter and effective microbial activities because of sufficient supply of feeding material for Rhizobium bacteria in the form of humus. Similar findings have also supported by Dhakal et al. (2016) and Chaudhary et al. (2019).

3.1.2. Yield and harvest index

Seed yield of soybean was recorded significantly higher (pooled value 2.52 t ha⁻¹) under 100% NPK+FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (T₁₆) over rest of the treatments, except T₁₃ (75% NPK + FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹), T₁₄ (75% NPK+vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹), T₁₇ (100% NPK + vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹) and T₁₈ (100% NPK+CPP @ 1.875 kg ha⁻¹) during experiment which showed statistically at par with T₁₆ (Table 1). Similarly, straw yield of soybean recorded significantly greater (pooled value 2.54 t ha⁻¹) under T₁₆ treatment over rest of the treatments except T₁₇ during both the year. Obviously maximum grain yield of soybean could be due to higher values of yield components. Optimum quantity of NPK and organic manures promote the bio- physical activities of crop plants that convert proteins and carbohydrates in the form of grains. These biophysical and biological interactions might have led to additional pod and seed setting. Moreover, the synergistic effect of NPK and FYM / vermicompost promotes the growth parameters and ultimately gave higher straw yield. Highest grain and stover yield of soybean with INM was also reported by Das et al. (2015) and Kumari et al. (2017). The harvest Index of soybean was found with grain and straw yields to higher pooled values (49.80%) in experiment with 100% NPK+FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ These findings are in agreement with those of Samant et al.(2016) and Antil and Devraj (2019).

3.1.3. Nutrient uptake

Nutrient uptake of nitrogen in soybean crop was recorded significantly higher (216.35 kg ha⁻¹) under 100% NPK+FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (T₁₆) over rest of the treatments during both the years. However, significantly greater uptake of phosphorous (34.70 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (89.50 kg ha⁻¹) by soybean was found under same treatment combination over other treatments except T₁₇ (100% NPK+vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹), which was statistically at par with treatment T₁₆. It might be due to addition of FYM, which played important role in solubilization of insoluble phosphorus and potash, led



Table 1: Effect of INM on growth parameters, Yields, harvest index and Nutrient uptake of soybean during 2008 and 2009 (Pooled Data)

Treatment detail Soybean - Wheat	Plant height (cm) 60 DAS	Leaves Plant ⁻¹ 60DAS	Plant dry matter) 60DAS	Nodules plant ⁻¹ 60DAS	Nodules dry weight (g) 60 DAS	Yields (t ha ⁻¹)		Harvest index	Nutrient uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		
						Grain	Stover		Nitrogen	Phosphorous	Potash
T ₁	49.65	19.20	14.40	14.50	0.18	0.71	0.86	44.91	62.15	13.80	33.15
T ₂	62.90	26.35	19.60	26.45	0.25	1.25	1.39	47.72	112.80	22.20	57.50
T ₃	71.60	30.50	24.50	34.85	0.29	1.56	1.64	48.62	149.35	26.00	67.80
T ₄	74.60	35.70	30.05	54.20	0.40	1.98	2.06	49.15	184.85	28.65	80.80
T ₅	74.70	35.75	30.35	54.10	0.40	1.98	2.05	49.04	185.50	29.00	80.65
T ₆	74.75	36.15	30.20	55.20	0.40	1.98	2.06	49.12	186.35	29.35	81.00
T ₇	73.80	36.90	26.90	45.20	0.34	1.95	2.05	48.73	181.00	29.15	80.65
T ₈	72.00	35.40	24.95	41.80	0.32	1.87	1.98	48.54	173.35	28.65	79.50
T ₉	64.95	30.80	22.40	40.85	0.30	1.49	1.60	47.82	139.50	24.85	64.50
T ₁₀	74.10	36.35	26.80	45.00	0.34	1.94	2.06	48.53	181.50	29.8	81.00
T ₁₁	71.30	34.40	24.75	41.80	0.32	1.90	1.97	48.83	174.50	28.65	79.65
T ₁₂	65.40	31.40	22.55	41.00	0.30	1.49	1.60	48.18	139.85	25.15	63.70
T ₁₃	78.35	37.15	30.35	62.30	0.43	2.15	2.22	49.35	183.85	30.00	76.00
T ₁₄	73.15	36.20	27.95	55.40	0.41	2.10	2.17	49.21	182.15	29.15	75.00
T ₁₅	71.80	34.30	25.90	51.40	0.35	1.72	1.86	47.91	169.50	27.65	70.50
T ₁₆	83.30	41.65	35.10	71.00	0.66	2.52	2.54	49.80	216.35	34.70	89.50
T ₁₇	79.75	39.30	33.50	67.75	0.65	2.44	2.51	49.25	208.35	34.15	87.50
T ₁₈	75..45	37.85	32.20	65.75	0.60	2.15	2.31	48.25	189.35	30.65	82.85
SEm±	1.12	0.98	0.14	1.75	0.46	0.13	0.08	0.21	1.455	0.325	0.78
CD (p=0.05)	3.20	2.82	0.43	4.46	0.04	0.42	0.22	0.48	4.175	0.99	2.235

DAS – Days after sowing; T₁: control, T₂: 50% NPK (soybean -S) – 100% NPK (wheat -W); T₃: 75% NPK (S) – 75% NPK (W); T₄: 100% NPK (S) – 50% NPK (W); T₅: 100% NPK (S) – 75% NPK (W); T₆: 100% NPK (S) – 100% NPK (W); T₇: 50% NPK + FYM 10 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 50% NPK (W); T₈: 50% NPK + vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 50% NPK (W); T₉: 50% NPK + CPP 3.75 kg ha⁻¹ (S) – 50% NPK (W); T₁₀: 50% NPK + FYM 10 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W); T₁₁: 50% NPK + vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W); T₁₂: 50% NPK + CPP 3.75 kg ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W); T₁₃: 75% NPK + FYM 5t ha⁻¹ (S) – 75% NPK (W); T₁₄: 75% NPK + vermicompost 2.5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 75% NPK (W); T₁₅: 75% NPK + CPP 1.875 kg ha⁻¹ (S) – 75% NPK (W); T₁₆: 100% NPK + FYM 5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W); T₁₇: 100% NPK + vermicompost 2.5 t ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK (W); T₁₈: 100% NPK + CPP 1.875 kg ha⁻¹ (S) – 100% NPK

to higher availability of plant nutrients. The availability of nitrogen and phosphorus increased by addition of FYM thus total uptake of NPK increased. Further integrated fertilizer management ensured higher absorption of NPK because of increased cation exchange capacity in roots. Mohanty et al. (2012), Bandopadhyay et al. (2016), Kumari et al (2017) and Sharma et al. (2019) also reported similar findings. (Table 2).

3.1.4. Soil nutrient balance

The available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in soil at initial stage of experiment did not vary statistically in all the experimental plots. However, after harvest of crop, addition of 100% NPK+FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (T₁₆) recorded significantly higher

accumulation of nitrogen (157.15 kg ha⁻¹) and Phosphorous (21.85 kg ha⁻¹) over rest of the treatments. (Table 2). It might be due to higher nitrogen supply through fertilizer and farmyard manure to soybean crop. *Rhizobium* bacteria present in the roots of soybean crop have played vital role for nitrogen fixation from the atmosphere. Active microbes present inside the nodules promote the maximum accumulation of nitrogen in the soil. While maximum accumulation of potassium (340.60 kg ha⁻¹) was with 100% NPK through fertilizer and vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹. This could be ascribed to higher content of P and K in vermicompost, which provided suitable condition for better activity of microbes, which helps in the conversion of insoluble potash into soluble form in the soil.

Table 2: Effect of integrated nutrient management on available NPK in the soil after soybean (Pooled data of 2008 and 2009)

Treatment detail Soybean- Wheat	Nitrogen		Phosphorus		Potash	
	B.S.	A.H.	B.S.	A.H.	B.S.	A.H.
T ₁	62.95	98.70	10.25	14.05	238.05	223.10
T ₂	89.05	123.10	17.00	16.50	252.00	254.75
T ₃	82.65	131.05	15.00	16.15	259.90	267.65
T ₄	75.50	130.35	13.50	16.80	263.85	286.65
T ₅	82.85	144.20	15.15	18.10	267.20	289.50
T ₆	89.70	148.30	17.25	19.90	271.65	294.00
T ₇	80.00	132.95	14.50	16.20	262.45	309.00
T ₈	79.65	128.40	14.35	16.40	264.30	308.95
T ₉	76.60	119.05	13.65	15.75	256.45	291.20
T ₁₀	94.20	148.35	18.80	20.15	286.55	329.80
T ₁₁	92.90	141.55	18.25	20.60	284.40	326.40
T ₁₂	90.45	127.45	17.55	16.40	274.15	302.50
T ₁₃	85.30	145.85	16.70	17.85	272.95	305.05
T ₁₄	84.40	142.40	16.40	17.95	273.40	306.55
T ₁₅	83.35	129.90	15.50	17.80	269.60	293.50
T ₁₆	90.85	157.15	18.30	21.85	291.60	338.55
T ₁₇	90.75	152.20	18.20	21.50	291.00	340.60
T ₁₈	89.75	147.95	17.40	21.40	286.50	329.25
SEm±	0.935	0.605	0.245	2.535	11.665	2.865
CD (p=0.05)	1.905	1.755	0.7	0.27	NS	8.175

B.S: Before sowing, A.H: After harvest

Das et al. (2015), Samant et al. (2016) and Tambe et al. (2019) also reported similar findings.

3.2. Residual effect of INM and direct effect of Inorganic fertilizers on Wheat

3.2.1. Growth parameters

Application of 100% NPK through fertilizer to wheat and residual effect of FYM 10 t ha⁻¹+50% NPK to soybean (T₁₀) recorded significantly pooled data of higher plant height (94.20 cm), leaves per plant (18.80), plant dry matter (3.975 g), total tillers (66.7) and effective tillers (48.50) in experiment (Table 3). The possible reasons of higher growth parameters and total tillers/m row length may be ascribed due to balanced dose of nutrients supply and sufficient accumulation of N element and organic content through FYM that obviously increases vegetative growth of wheat. Balanced application of fertilizers and credited macro and micronutrients through organic manures, used in preceding soybean crop enhanced the root and shoot development. Since adequate supply of N promote the physiological process of growth and development of wheat crop and ultimately gave higher values of growth parameters (Table 4). These results have also reported by Thakur et al. (2011), Usadadiya et al. (2013), Nagar et al.

(2016), Vinay Singh (2016) and Parewa et al. (2019).

3.2.2. Yield and harvest index

Application of 100% NPK to wheat and FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ + 50% NPK to previous soybean crop (T₁₀) recorded significantly higher seed (pooled 4.95 t ha⁻¹), straw yield (pooled 4.99 t ha⁻¹) and Harvest index (49.75%) of wheat over rest of the treatments except 100% NPK with residual impact of vermicompost @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and 50% NPK (T₁₁) which was statistically at par with T₁₀ in experiment (Table3). Nitrogen is an essential ingredient of balance dose of fertilizer and involved in constituents of amino acids, protein and protoplast. It directly influenced plant growth and development of crop canopy through better utilization of photosynthates (Singh et al.,2018). Moreover, at later stage of growth, more photosynthates are diverted and accumulated in spike that attributed to maximum grain yield. Further optimum dose of phosphorus and potassium played their role for development of vigorous seed and a greater number of seeds in spike. Residual macro and micro element through FYM provided better soil environment and physical condition for better root development, which able to supply addition demand of mineral and water for maximum photosynthesis process. The residual N also helped to sustain



Table 3: Effect of INM on growth parameters, Yields and Nutrient uptake of wheat during 2008-09 and 2009-10. (Pooled data)

Treatment detail soybean- Wheat	Plant height (cm)	Leaves plant ⁻¹ (#)	Plant dry matter (g)	Effective tillers meter ⁻¹ (#)	Total tillers meter ⁻¹ (#)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)		Harvest index	Nutrient uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	At harvest	60 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest	At harvest	Grain	Straw		Nitrogen	Phosphorous	Potash
T ₁	62.95	10.25	1.495	28	47.05	1.52	1.57	48.97	31.95	4.45	13.30
T ₂	89.05	17	3.335	44.45	59.4	4.31	4.44	49.26	107.80	15.45	65.15
T ₃	82.65	15	2.715	39.6	56.9	3.11	3.31	48.44	67.30	12.00	43.45
T ₄	75.5	13.5	2.315	35.15	54.1	2.24	2.33	49.46	56.30	7.65	27.45
T ₅	82.85	15.15	2.71	40.3	57.25	3.14	3.30	48.78	70.95	12.45	45.15
T ₆	89.7	17.25	3.37	44.8	59.3	4.32	45.03	48.98	109.60	16.30	68.95
T ₇	80	14.5	2.66	38.45	55.55	3.17	3.49	47.80	81.95	11.30	50.45
T ₈	79.65	14.35	2.605	38	55.2	3.09	3.40	47.62	77.15	11.00	46.45
T ₉	76.6	13.65	2.355	35.3	55.8	2.45	2.60	48.59	60.95	9.80	32.15
T ₁₀	94.2	18.8	3.975	48.85	66.7	4.95	4.99	49.75	121.15	20.15	82.80
T ₁₁	92.9	18.25	3.93	47.65	66.1	4.82	4.93	49.44	115.15	19.80	79.60
T ₁₂	90.45	17.55	3.59	45.3	59.45	4.40	4.57	49.03	104.65	16.50	69.45
T ₁₃	85.3	16.7	3.155	42.15	60.15	4.02	4.44	47.76	94.80	16.00	64.30
T ₁₄	84.4	16.4	3.12	41.3	59.85	3.96	4.34	47.68	94.30	15.45	61.50
T ₁₅	83.35	15.5	2.835	40.45	58.8	3.25	3.59	47.46	71.65	13.00	48.80
T ₁₆	90.85	18.3	3.535	46.3	66.75	4.52	4.62	49.44	114.45	19.15	74.80
T ₁₇	90.75	18.2	3.49	46	65.5	4.44	4.55	49.35	109.65	18.00	71.95
T ₁₈	89.75	17.4	3.44	45.3	63.2	4.36	4.51	49.11	107.30	16.15	68.95
SEm±	0.935	0.245	0.035	0.6	0.735	0.26	0.22	0.32	0.585	0.375	0.615
CD (p=0.05)	1.905	0.7	0.105	1.74	2.095	0.73	0.61	0.93	1.68	1.08	1.78

yield improvement in wheat and sustained the productivity (Aulakh et al., 2012, Jaga and Sharma, 2015 and Sharma et al., 2018). Mutually all factors maximize the grain yield, straw yield and harvest index. These findings are similar those of Nawale et al. (2018) and Tambe et al. (2019) and Kumar et al. (2020).

3.2.3. Nutrient uptake

Nitrogen, Phosphorous and potassium uptake 121.15, 20.15 and 82.80 kg ha⁻¹ respectively, of wheat were found significantly greater with 100% NPK + residual impact of FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ and 50 % NPK (T₁₀) over rest of the treatments. (Table 3). It might be due to balanced application of NPK in available form to wheat with residual response of organic manures and inorganic N & P. Consequently, higher microbial activity with the presence of organic matter, which ensured higher availability of NPK thus it, increased root cation exchange capacity. Jointly these owing to increase supply of nutrient to the crop, as well as reduced the loss of organically

supplied nutrients. Dwivedi et al. (2016), Singh and Patra (2017), Nawale et al. (2018) and Bairwa et al. (2019) also reported similar findings.

3.2.4. Soil fertility status

The pooled data of available Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium 162.20, 32.10 and 344.80 kg ha⁻¹ respectively, were recorded significantly higher under 100% NPK through fertilizer and residual effect of FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹+50% NPK (T₁₀). (Table 4). It might be due to accumulation of residual nitrogen through FYM and the increase C: N ratio in the soil. The primary effect of N fertilizer is to increase vegetative production, which ultimately added in the soil in the form of leaves and roots for recycling back in the soil system. The increased available P status may be ascribed due to mineralization of organic sources and solubilization by microbes from native source, which probably increased the availability of P in the soil. These eco-friendly microbes enhanced accumulation of phosphorus in available form in the soil. Similar results had also reported

Table 4: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Available NPK in the soil and Fertility balance

Treatment detail soybean Wheat	Available NPK (kg ha ⁻¹) before 1st sequence			Available NPK (kg ha ⁻¹) after sequence (Pooled data of 2008 and 2009)			Fertility balance (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	N	P	K	N	P	K	N	P	K
T ₁	108.4	15.9	255.0	69.7	11.4	208.3	- 38.6	- 4.4	- 46.7
T ₂	108.0	15.9	255.3	129.8	17.9	243.7	+ 21.8	+ 2.1	- 11.6
T ₃	104.2	15.8	257.0	125.2	16.5	263.9	+ 21.0	+ 0.7	+ 6.8
T ₄	102.3	15.9	257.7	100.4	17.6	189.2	- 1.9	+ 1.7	+ 16.8
T ₅	107.6	15.8	258.2	135.7	21.9	283.2	+ 28.1	+ 6.1	+ 25.3
T ₆	110.7	15.9	257.2	151.7	26.8	298.8	+ 41.0	+ 10.8	+ 41.5
T ₇	109.1	15.8	256.9	112.3	16.5	269.2	+ 3.1	+ 0.7	+ 13.0
T ₈	104.2	15.8	256.0	107.2	17.2	273.9	+ 2.9	+ 1.4	+ 17.9
T ₉	107.7	15.8	254.0	103.2	14.9	259.1	- 4.5	- 0.8	+ 5.1
T ₁₀	104.6	15.8	256.8	160.9	28.3	338.3	+ 56.3	+ 12.4	+ 81.1
T ₁₁	108.2	15.9	256.5	148.2	29.5	333.1	+ 39.9	+ 13.6	+ 76.5
T ₁₂	107.2	16.0	257.6	131.3	18.6	305.6	+ 23.9	+ 2.6	+ 48.1
T ₁₃	106.2	15.8	256.3	132.2	21.7	302.7	+ 25.9	+ 5.9	+ 46.4
T ₁₄	103.7	15.9	256.6	126.7	21.8	302.7	+ 23.0	+ 5.9	+ 46.1
T ₁₅	106.4	15.9	258.1	113.5	20.4	289.1	+ 7.2	+ 3.9	+ 31.1
T ₁₆	105.2	15.9	258.2	162.2	32.1	344.8	+ 56.9	+ 16.2	+ 87.6
T ₁₇	108.4	15.8	257.6	155.0	31.8	344.3	+ 46.6	+ 16.1	+ 86.7
T ₁₈	105.3	15.8	256.5	149.7	31.0	331.5	+ 44.4	+ 15.2	+ 75.1
SEm±	1.32	0.65	1.95	0.66	0.13	1.34	-	-	-
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	1.89	0.38	3.85	-	-	-

by Samant and Patra (2016) and Timsina (2018). Higher value of organic carbon and humus in FYM may generate favorable condition for root and soil friendly microbes to accretion more potassium in available form. These microbes also help some amount of potassium to form available condition in the soil. The application of vermicompost may be attributed due to dissolving action of certain organic acids produced during the decomposition of organic manures and higher capacity of these to hold K in available form. Similar findings have been reported earlier by Dwivedi et al. (2016), Kumari et al. (2017) and Singh et al. (2018).

3.2.5. Fertility balance

Fertility balance in term of net additional increased quantity of available Nitrogen (+56.9 kg), Phosphorous (+ 16.2 kg) and Potassium (+ 87.60 kg) in the soil after completion of two year sequence of soybean – wheat was recorded maximum and positive balance under treatment 100% NPK through fertilizer+5 t FYM ha⁻¹ in soybean crop and 100% NPK to wheat crop (T₁₆) followed by 100% NPK through fertilizer+vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ to soybean crop and 100% NPK to wheat crop (T₁₇) (Table 4). It might be due to application of both organic and inorganic sources of nutrients in balance

amount to the crops of sequence, which accumulated higher NPK in the soil than consumption. Further, addition of biomass in the form of leaves, roots and nodules of soybean responded better accumulation of both nutrients in the soil. Jointly, these responded for higher fertility balance. These findings have also similar to Jat et al. (2015), Bandopadhyay et al. (2016), Dhakal et al. (2016), Kumari et al. (2017) and Chaudhary et al (2019).

4. Conclusion

A combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers to soybean - wheat system was found best where growth, yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility parameters were obtained (yield 2.52 and 4.95 t ha⁻¹, Nutrient uptake of NPK 216.35,34.7, 89.50 and 121.15, 20.15, 82.80 kg ha⁻¹, Soil fertility balance of NPK 157.15, 21.85, 340.60 and 162.20, 32.10, 344.80 kg ha⁻¹ in soybean and wheat, respectively.) greater under 100% NPK to wheat with residual effect of FYM 10 t ha⁻¹+50% NPK to soybean during two consecutive years.

5. Acknowledgement

I highly thankful to Deendayal Research Institute to provide



facility and funds to complete this research work.

6. References

- Agrawal, D.K., Billore, S.D., Sharma, A.N., Dupare, B.U., Srivastava, S.K., 2013. Soybean Introduction, Improvement and Utilization in India-Problems and Prospects. *Agricultural Research*. 2, 290–300.
- Antil, R.S., Devraj, 2019. Integrated nutrient management for sustainable crop production and improving soil health. *Nutrient dynamics for sustainable crop production*, 69–101.
- Aulakh, M.S., Joginder, S., Manchanda Garg, A.G, Kumar, S., Dercon, G., Minh-Long, N. 2012. Crop production and nutrient use efficiency of conservation agriculture for soybean – wheat rotation on the Indo-Gangatic plains of Northwestern India. *Soil and Tillage Research* 120, 50–60.
- Bandopadhyay, K.K., Mishra, A.K., Gosh, P.K., Hati, K.M. 2016. Effect of integrated use of FYM and chemical fertilizers on soil health properties and productivity of soybean. *Soil tillage research* 110, 115–125.
- Bairwa P. C, Sammauria R and Gupta K. C. 2019. Direct and Residual Effect of PROM on Productivity, Nutrient Uptake, Soil Properties and Economics under Cluster Bean-Wheat Cropping System. *Journal of Soil Salinity and Water Quality* 11(1), 84–89.
- Bhatt, R. Surinder, S.K., Busari, A., Arora, S., Yadav, M.2016. Sustainability issues on rice–wheat cropping system. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*. 4 (1), 64–74.
- Bremner, J.M., Malvaney, C.S., 1982. Nitrogen total. In: *Methods of Soil Analysis*, part 2, chemical and microbiological properties *Agronomy monograph no. 9*: 595–624. American Society of Agronomy Inc. Madison Wisconsin, USA.
- Chandel, B.S., Singh, Sandeep, Singh, H. and Singh, V. 2014. Direct and residual effect of nutrient management in wheat maize cropping sequence. *Journal of the Indian Society Soil Science*. 62(2), 126–130.
- Chaudhary, L.S., Mane, S.S. and Giri, S.N. 2019. Growth, yield and quality of soybean as influenced by INM. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences*. 7(2), 209–212.
- Das, D., Dwivedi, B.S., Meena, M.C., Singh, V.K., Tiwari, K.N. 2015. Integrated nutrient management for improving soil health and crop productivity. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers* 11(4), 64–83.
- Dhakar, Y., Meena, R.S., Kumar, S. 2016. Effect of INM on nodulation, yield, quality and available nutrient balance in soil after harvest of green gram. *Legume Research* 39(4), 590–594.
- Dwivedi, B.S., Singh, V.K., Meena, M.C., Dey, A., Dutta, S.P. 2016. Integrated nutrient management for enhancing nutrient use efficiency. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers* 12(44), 62–71.
- Gosh, P.K., Bandopadhyay, K.K., Wanjari, R.H., Manna, M.C., Mishra, A.K., Mohanti, M.M. Subbarao, A. 2007. Legume Effect for Enhancing Productivity and Nutrient Use-Efficiency in Major Cropping Systems—An Indian Perspective: A Review. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. 30 (1), 59–86.
- Jackson, M.L., 1967. *Soil chemical analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- Jackson, M.L., 1971. *Soil chemical analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, 498.
- Jaga, P.K., Sharma, S., 2015. Effect of biofertilizers and fertilizers on productivity of soybean. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 17(2), 171–174.
- Jat, R.A., Durgrani, R.A., Arvadia, M.K., Sahrawat, K.L., 2012. Diversification of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)-based cropping systems for higher productivity, resource-use efficiency and economic returns in south Gujarat, India. *Archive of Agronomy and Soil science*. 58(6), 561–572.
- Jat, L.K., Singh, Y.V., Meena, S.K., Meena, S., Kumari Parihar, M, Jatav, M.S., Meena, R.K., Meena V.S. 2015. Does Integrated nutrient management enhance agricultural productivity. *Journal of pure and applied microbiology* 9(2), 1211–1221.
- Karunakaran, V., Bahera, U.K., 2017. Conservation agriculture in soybean (*Glycine max*) - wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system-A review. *Agricultural Reviews* 36, 169–183.
- Koenig, R.A., Johnson, C.R., 1942. Colorimetric determination of P in biological materials. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, Analytical Edition* 14, 155–56.
- Kukul, S.S. Singh, Y., Jat, M.L., Sidhu, H.S.2014. Improving water productivity of wheat-based cropping systems in South Asia for sustained productivity. *Advances in Agronomy*, 127, 159–230.
- Kumar, M., Singh, S.K., Bohra, J.S., 2020. Cumulative Effect of Organic and Inorganic Sources of Nutrients on Yield, Nutrients Uptake and Economics by Rice–Wheat Cropping System in Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 51(5), 658–674.
- Kumari, R., Kumar, S., Kumar, R., Das, A., Kumari, R., Chaudhary, C.D., Sharma, R.P., 2017. Effect of long term Integrated Nutrient Management on crop yield, nutrition and soil fertility under Rice- Wheat system. *Journal of Applied Natural Sciences* 9, 1801–1807.
- Mohanty, M., Probert, M.E., Reddy, K.S., Dala, R.C., Mishra, A.K., Rao, A.S., Singh, M., Menzies, N.W. 2012. Simulating soybean-wheat cropping system: APSIM model parameterization and validation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 152, 68–78.
- Nagar, G., Abraham, T., Kumar, D., 2016. Effect of tillage and Farm Yard Mnaure on growth and yield of soybean. *Advance Research Journal of crop Improvement* 7(1),



- 56–59.
- Nawale, S.S., Kolekar, P.T., Solanke, A.U., 2018. Soybean followed by wheat cropping system under variable sowing windows and fertilizer levels. *Journal of Pharmacology and Phytochemistry* 7(1), 2181–2185.
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S., Dean, L.A., 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. United State Department of Agriculture Circular, 939.
- Pandey, M., Kumar, M., 2017. Effect of sulphur, manganese and zinc on yield, quality and uptake of nutrients by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 19(4), 403-407
- Parewa, H.P., Ram, M., Jain, L.K., Chaudhary, A., Ratnoo, S.D., 2019. Impact of Organic Nutrient Management Practices on Yield Attributes, Yield and Economics of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management* 10(3), 257–260.
- Ramesh, K., Ashok, K.P., Biswas, A.K., 2017. Best management practices for soybean under soybean -wheat system to minimize the impact of climate change. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers* 13(2), 42–55.
- Samant, T.K., Patra, A.K., 2016. Effect of tillage and nutrient management practices on yield, economics and soil health in rice -green gram cropping system under rain fed condition of Odisha. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 61(2), 148–153.
- Singh, V., 2016. Productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of wheat as affected by nutrient omissions in alluvial soil. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 18(3), 219–225.
- Sharma, S., Padbhusan, R., Kumar, U., 2019. Integrated Nutrient Management in Rice- Wheat cropping system: An evidence on sustainability in the Indian subcontinent through Meta-Analysis. *Agronomy* 9(2), 71.
- Saroch, K., Bhargwa, M., Sharma, J.J., 2005. Diversification of existing rice (*Oryza sativa*)- based cropping system for sustainable productivity under irrigated condition. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 50 (2), 86-88.
- Singh, R.K, Bohra, J.S., Nath, T., Singh, Y., Singh, K., 2011. Integrated assessment of diversification of rice-wheat cropping system in Indo-Gangetic plain. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* 57(5), 489–506.
- Singh, S., Singh, V., 2018. Maximizing wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) productivity and profitability using site specific nutrient management strategy. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 20(1), 103–106.
- Singh, V., Patra, A., 2017. Effect of FYM and manganese on yield and uptake of nutrients in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* 19(4), 381–384.
- Tambe, A.D., Surva, U.S., Kumbhkar, J.S., 2019. Integrated Nutrient Management in soybean -wheat cropping system. *Journal of Agroecology and Natural Resource Management* 6(4), 160-162.
- Thakur, R., Sawarkar, S.D., Vaishya, U.K., Singh, M., 2011. Impact of continuous use of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure on soil properties and productivity under soybean – wheat intensive cropping of a vertisol. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 59(1), 74–81.
- Tomsina, J., 2018. Can organic sources of nutrient increase crop yield to meet global food demand. *Agronomy* 8: 214
- Usadadiya, V.P., Patel, R.H., 2013 Influence of preceding crops and nutrient management on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)-based cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 58(1), 15–18.

