



IJBSM November 2023, 14(11):1523-1529

Print ISSN 0976-3988 Online ISSN 0976-4038

Article AR4922

Research Article

Natural Resource Management DOI: HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/1.2023.4922

Exploring Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Analysis in Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) for Crop Improvement

Shivraj¹, Pramod Kumar², Rajneesh Kumar³[™], Shambhu Chouhan⁴ and Aman Tutlani⁵

Dept. of Genetics and Plant breeding, College of Agriculture, Agriculture University Jodhpur, Rajasthan (342 304), India ²Dept. of Agricultural Economics, SKRAU, Bikaner, Rajasthan (334 006), India

³Dept. of Genetics and plant Breeding, ⁴Dept. of Agronomy, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab (144 002), India

Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar (190 017), India



Corresponding r4rajneesh 1997@gmail.com

<u>🕑 0009-0009-9216-7871</u>

ABSTRACT

The present investigation has been carried out at Agricultural Research Station, Mandor, Jodhpur during month of *rabi* season (October, 2016-April, 2017) to evaluate 35 genotypes of fenugreek to assess extent of genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance with correlation and path coefficient for yield and its contributing characters. The material was planted in Randomized Block Design with three replications and observations were recorded on individual plant basis. Analysis of variance recorded significant between genotypes for the characters studies. The genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher for seed yield plant 1 (g). High heritability (broad sense) coupled with high genetic advance as percentage of mean was observed for branches plant⁻¹, no. of pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm), seeds pod⁻¹, test weight (g) and seed yield plant⁻¹ (g). The correlation analysis at genotypic and phenotypic level, seed yield plant⁻¹ was positively significant correlated with branches plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, test weight, harvest index, days to 50% flowering, pod length and seeds pod⁻¹, but except days to maturity at phenotype level which was correlated with negative significance. Path coefficient analysis suggested that the highest positive direct effect on grain yield plant was exerted by harvest index (%) followed by seeds pod-1, test weight, days to 50% flowering, plant height and branches plant⁻¹. Number of pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm) and days to maturity contributed considerable negative direct effect on grain yield plant⁻¹.

KEYWORDS: PCV, GCV, heritability, genetic advance, correlation and path analysis

Citation (VANCOUVER): Shivraj et al., Exploring Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Analysis in Fenugreek (Trigonella foenumgraecum L.) for Crop Improvement. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management, 2023; 14(11), 1523-1529. HTTPS://DOI. ORG/10.23910/1.2023.4922.

Copyright: © 2023 Shivraj et al. This is an open access article that permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium after the author(s) and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Legal restrictions are imposed on the public sharing of raw data. However, authors have full right to transfer or share the data in raw form upon request subject to either meeting the conditions of the original consents and the original research study. Further, access of data needs to meet whether the user complies with the ethical and legal obligations as data controllers to allow for secondary use of the data outside of the original study.

Conflict of interests: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

1. INTRODUCTION

Penugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) also known as "Methi" is an important leafy vegetable cum seed spices belongs to the sub family Papilionaceae of the family Leguminosae (Ayub, 2019). The place of origin of fenugreek is supposed to be in between Iran and North India (Smith, 1982). The genus Trigonella has two species viz., *Trigonella foenum- graecum* and *Trigonella corniculata*. *Trigonella foenum-graecum* plants are self-pollinated diploid species with a chromosome number of 2n=16 (Frayer, 1930). It is semi-erect, tall, moderately branched with bold, typically yellow grains (Mamatha and Panyam, 2021). Fenugreek is cultivated mainly in China, India, Turkey, Iran, Argentina, Egypt, Morocco, Southern France, Algeria, Ethiopia & Lebanon (Bose et al., 1993).

Fenugreek is a diploid (2n=16) annual legume plant Ahmad et al. (1999), exhibiting no aneuploidy Petropoulos (2002), Trease and Evans (2002) and (Srivastava et al., 2022). Its stem is elongated and cylindrical, measuring between 30 to 60 centimeters in length and has a pinkish hue. Its roots, as described by Mehrafarin et al. (2011) and Moradi kor and Moradi (2013), are robust and characterized by finger-like structures. In India it is mainly cultivated in Rajasthan, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh and Haryana with total area of 218000 hectares with production of 220000 metric tonnes (Anonymous, 2016–17a). It is reasonably tolerant to salinity which makes its suitable for cultivation in major part of the state (Yaldiz and Camlica, 2021); (Demelash, 2023). In Rajasthan mainly cultivated in Sikar, Chittorgarh, Jaipur, Nagour, Jhunjhunu, Kota, Pali, Alwar and Churu districts covering an area of 157004 ha with production of 190362 mt. (Anonymous, 2015–16b).

The productivity of fenugreek is low due to several poor fertility and it have susceptibility to diseases like powdery mildew, wilt, and root rot, which leads to its low productivity (Wilson, 2021). It is the crop in which every part is consumed in one or other forms. Its tender leaves are consumed as leafy vegetables; seed has carminative property and is also an important ingredient of several ayurvedic medicines (Shahrajabian, 2021). Fenugreek seed have antioxidant and anti-inflammatory property due to presence of higher amount of secondary metabolic viz. flavonoids and phenolic, this crop. Besides this, fenugreek crop also play key role in improving soil fertility (Khursheed, 2023). The fenugreek seed contain carbohydrates (48%), proteins (25.5%), mucilagenous matter (20.0%), fats (7.9%) and saponins (4.8%) (Rao and Sharma, 1987). The seed also contains major nutrients like P and K, minor nutrients like Ca, Fe and Na and amino acid like leucine, valine, lysine and phenylalanine besides cellulose, sapogenin and

hemicelluloses (Yadav, 2022). The presence of an alkaloid trigonellin makes its taste bitter. It requires cool climate and dry weather at the time of maturity. It can tolerate frost and high humidity (Burdak, 2020).

Germplasm evaluation studies conducted under AICRP on Spices indicated limited variability for yield and yield associated characters (Reddy and Reddy, 1991). Greater the genetic variability, wider will be the scope for selection in the crop-breeding programme. Heritability specifies the proportion of the genotypic variance to the total phenotypic variance. It is a good index for transmission of characters from parents to the offspring's (Falconer, 1960). High genetic advancement coupled with high heritability estimates offers the most suitable condition for selection (Johnson et al., 1955). Therefore, the analysis of genetic variability and heritability together with genetic advance will be more useful to find out the type of gene action and selection appropriate breeding strategy for better improvement of this crop (Tutlani, 2023). Correlation is useful in disclosing the magnitude and direction of the relationship between various yield contributing traits toward yield. Path coefficient measures the direct effect of a predictor variable upon its response variable and the second component being the indirect effect of a predictor variable (Dewey and Lu, 1959).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at field of ARS ▲ Mandor, Jodhpur during *rabi* season (October 2016– April, 2017). The experiment materials carry out with 35 fenugreek of genotypes and grown in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Each entry was grown in row to row and plant to plant spacing are 30×10 cm² respectively. Each genotype was planted in an experimental plot size of (4×3 m²). The recommended package of practices was adopted to raise a healthy crop. The observation on the attributes were recorded on ten plants randomly selected and tagged before flowering from each plot to record the data on plant height (cm), branches plant⁻¹, pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm), seeds pod⁻¹, test weight (g), harvest index (%) and seed yield plant⁻¹ (g) mean values of selected plants were used for statistical analysis while, data on days to 50% flowering and days to maturity were recorded on whole plot basis. The analysis of variance was accomplished as per procedure given by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). These characters were used to analysis phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation (Burton, 1952) and (Johnson et al., 1955), heritability (broad sense) (Johnson et al., 1955), correlation (Searle, 1961) and path analysis (Dewey and Lu, 1959).

The variations in phenotype, genotype estimated with the use of analysis of variance. You can calculate the coefficient

of variation using these formulae (Burton, 1952).

 $PCV = \sqrt{(\sigma^2 \text{ ph/X}) \times (100/1)\%}$

GCV= $\sqrt{(\sigma^2 \text{ g/X})}\times(100/1)\%$

Hanson et al. (1956) proposed this method.

$$h_{(BS)}^2 = (\sigma^2 g/\sigma^2 p) \times 100$$

$$h^{2}_{(NS)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}H1 - \frac{1}{2}H2 - \frac{1}{2}F}{\frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}H1 - \frac{1}{2}H2 - \frac{1}{2}F + E} \text{ in (F1)}$$

Where, (BS)=Heritability in broad sense

 $h^2_{(NS)}$ =Heritability in narrow sense

 σ^2 g =Genotypic variance

 σ^2 p=Phenotypic variance

Johnson et al. (1955) proposed a method to estimate the expected genetic advance by considering genotypic, phenotypic, and heritability factors.

 $GA=K x_{p} x h^{2}$

Where, K = Selection intensity (2.06 at 5% level)

 σ^2 p=Phenotypic standard deviation

h² =Heritability (BS) in fraction

Genetic advance (as % of mean)=(GA/X)×100

Where, GA=Genetic advance

X = Mean

The formula recommended by Al-Jibouri et al. (1958) was employed for this computation.

Phenotypic correlation (rp)=)=(PCov(xy))/ $\sqrt{(PV(x).PV(y))}$

Genotypic correlation (rg)= $(GCov(xy))/\sqrt{(GV(x).GV(y))}$

 $r_{xy} = (Cov(x,y))/\sqrt{(V(x)XV(y))}$

Where, r_{xy} =Correlation coefficient between character x and v.

Cov (x,y)=Co-variance of character x and y

V(x)=Variance of character x

V (y)=Variance of character y

rp=Phenotypic correlation

rg=Genotypic correlation

To determine the significance of correlation coefficients, the estimated values were compared to the tabulated values from Fisher and Yates (1938) using t-2 degrees of freedom at two probability levels: 5% and 1%.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance let out that estimated of mean sum of squares for all the characters were high, indicating the large variation amongst the genotypes (Table 1). These results are in accordance with of Datta et al. (2005), Kole and Mishra (2006), Prajapati et al. (2010) and Wojo et al. (2016), Singh et al. (2017)

3.1. Variability viz. GCV, PCV, Hb and GAM

Higher GCV and PCV observed for seed yield plant⁻¹ (g) (Table: 2). This indicated the presence of sufficient amount of genetic variability for these traits that can be exploited by selection for the improvement of characters. These results are in harmony with of Datta et al. (2005), Kole and Mishra (2006), Prajapati et al. (2010) and Wojo et al. (2016), Singh et al. (2017). Moderate GCV and PCV for branches plant⁻¹, no. of pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm), seeds pod⁻¹, test weight and harvest index (%), which are in agreement with the earlier report of Sarada et al. (2008), Dashora et al. (2013), Jain et al. (2011). Low value of GCV and moderate PCV observed for plant height (cm). Lower GCV and PCV recorded for days to 50% flowering and days to maturity, which indicated that, is selection might not be effective for these characters. Verma and Ali (2012), Kumari et al. (2015) and Singh et al. (2017) also reported similar results.

In present investigation, high heritability observed for days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), branches plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm), seeds per pods, test weight (g), seed yield plant⁻¹ (g) and days to maturity indicated are less influenced by environmental factors and are under the control of additive gene effect and selection for improvement for such character would be rewarding. These results are in accordance with the findings of Varma and Kole (2003) for days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), branches plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm), seeds pods⁻¹, test weight (g), seed yield plant⁻¹ (g). Moderate

Table 1: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of yield and its contributing characters in fenugreek

						0		0				
Sources of	d.f.	Mean sum of squares										
variation		DF 50%	PH	BPP	NPP	PL	SPP	SP	SYP	DM	HI	
			(cm)			(cm)		(g)	(g)		(%)	
Replication	2	1.63	3.08	0.48	7.90	1.37	1.24	0.25	0.37	10.07	12.36	
Genotypes	34	48.79**	78.93**	1.00**	38.41**	5.69**	16.07**	19.07**	4.16**	43.14**	69.60**	
Error	68	2.72	3.32	0.17	3.55	0.49	0.44	1.01	0.23	3.24	12.96	

DF 50%: Days to 50% flowering; PH: Plant height; BPP: Branches plant⁻¹; NPP: No. of pods plant⁻¹; PL: Pod length; SPP: Seeds pods⁻¹; TW: Test weight; SYP: Seed yield plant⁻¹; DM: Days to maturity; HI: Harvest index

TI 1 1 0 0 .		11 1			c 1
Table 2: Genetic parameters	tor vi	eld and	its contributing	characters in	tenugreek

Characters	Range		General	Coefficient	of variation	Heritability (%)	GA as % of mean	
	Min.	. Max. mean		GCV (%)	PCV (%)	in broad sense		
Days to 50 % flowering	49.00	67.00	55.00	7.13	7.73	84.97	13.53	
Plant height (cm)	39.97	63.76	51.23	9.80	10.42	88.35	18.97	
Branches plant ⁻¹	3.09	5.19	3.92	13.47	17.01	62.72	21.98	
No. of pods plant ⁻¹	20.00	35.67	27.67	12.32	14.08	76.59	22.21	
Pod length (cm)	5.49	12.53	10.36	12.71	14.39	77.95	23.10	
Seeds pod-1	8.25	18.38	13.76	16.58	17.28	92.14	32.79	
Test weight (g)	8.74	17.83	13.74	17.85	19.29	85.68	34.04	
Seed yield plant-1 (g)	2.07	7.03	5.10	22.45	24.32	85.25	42.70	
Days to maturity	112.00	129.00	123.00	2.97	3.31	80.39	5.48	
Harvest index (%)	19.71	37.82	27.59	15.75	20.45	59.31	24.98	

heritability recorded for harvest index, incanting moderate influence of environment in its phenotypic expression and selection based on performance of traits. These results are in accordance with the findings of Prajapati et al. (2010) and Naik (2012) for harvest index.

The expected genetic advance expressed as percentage of mean was observed to be high for branches plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm), seeds pod⁻¹, test weight, seed yield plant⁻¹ (g) and harvest index (%). Moderate genetic advance was observed for days to 50% flowering and plant height (cm) and low genetic advance was observed for days to maturity. The results are in accordance with the earlier reports of Sarada et al. (2008) and Joyoti et al. (2017).

Heritability estimates along with genetic gain (genetic advance as per cent of mean) is more useful than heritability alone in predicting the resultant effect for selecting the best individuals (Johnson et al., 1955) and suggesting suitable breeding programme. In present investigation was observed high heritability (broad sense) coupled with high genetic advance as percentage of mean was observed for branches plant⁻¹, no of pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm), seeds pod⁻¹, test weight (g) and seed yield plant⁻¹ (g), which is in agreement with earlier reports of Gangopadhyay et al. (2009), Dashora et al. (2012), Pushpa et al. (2012) and Verma et al. (2016), which indicated in the inheritance of the traits additive gene effects and selection may be effective for these characters.

3.2. Correlation coefficient and path analysis

Correlation coefficient analysis measures the mutual relationship between plant characters and determines the component character on which selection can be made for genetic improvement of yield. In the present investigation, genotypic and phenotypic correlation of seed yield plant⁻¹ had positive and significant correlation with branches plant⁻¹, pod length, seeds pod⁻¹, number of pod plant⁻¹,

test weight, harvest index and days to 50% flowering. The association of these characters is in the desirable direction and selection for these traits may improve the yield plant-1 (Table 3). Similar results were reported by Banerjee and Kole (2004), Sharma and Sastry (2008), Fikreselassie et al. (2012), Pushpa et al. (2012), Singh et al. (2012) and Lodhi et al. (2015). Seeds yield plant⁻¹ exhibited positive and non-significant correlation with plant height and days to maturity. Similar results reported by Prajapapati et al. (2010) both characters, Dashora et al. (2011) for plant height, At phenotype level seed yield plant⁻¹ had negative and significant correlation with days to maturity which indicated that the character had minimum contribution for increase in yield so direct selection for this character should not be practiced. Most of the characters are associated with each other and the extent of correlation values varies with different characters. Similar results were reported by Prajapati et al. (2010), Singh et al. (2007), Jat (2004).

Path analysis revealed that the direct effects were stronger than indirect effects. Path analysis was carried out by taking seed yield per plant as dependent variable to partition the correlation coefficient into the measures of direct and indirect effects in order to determine the contribution of different characters towards seed yield. Such information would be of great value in enabling the breeder to specifically identify important component traits of yield and utilize the genetic stock for improvement in a planned way. In the present study, path coefficient analysis between the components of fenugreek was worked out.

In present study, Path coefficient analysis revealed that harvest index, number of seed pod-1, test weight, days to 50% flowering, plant height and branches plant⁻¹ exhibited positive direct effect on grain yield (Table 4). These results are supported by the reports of Banerjee and Kole (2004) for days to 50% flowering, plant height, seeds per pod and

Characters	Level	DF 50%	PH (cm)	BPP	NPP	PL (cm)	SPP	TW (g)	SYP (g)	DM	HI (%)
Days to 50 % flowering	G	1	0.0567	-0.0786	-0.1519	-0.1862	-0.2639*	0.0147	0.3457**	-0.0438	0.2860*
	P	1	0.0656	-0.0601	-0.1003	-0.1334	-0.2514*	0.0286	0.3155**	-0.0323	0.2186
Plant height	G		1	0.2259	0.0270	0.2751^{*}	0.0840	-0.1574	0.009	0.1848	-0.2994*
(cm)	P		1	0.1703	0.0526	0.2586^{*}	0.0901	-0.1238	-0.0017	0.1559	-0.2360
Branches	G			1	0.3666**	0.3055^{*}	0.2997^{*}	0.1948	0.3239**	0.2807^{*}	0.1375
plant ⁻¹	P			1	0.2741^{*}	0.2248	0.2101	0.1417	0.2229	0.2181	0.0608
Number of	G				1	0.5645*	0.5920**	0.0489	0.3120**	0.1401	0.3065^{*}
pods plant ⁻¹	P				1	0.4706**	0.5037**	0.0176	0.2506^{*}	0.0697	0.0685
Pod length	G					1	0.8190**	0.0325	0.3080**	0.3047^{*}	0.1447
(cm)	P					1	0.7193**	0.0272	0.2394^{*}	0.2147	0.0150
Seeds pod-1	G						1	-0.0017	0.3023**	0.3602**	0.1232
	P						1	0.0031	0.2561^{*}	0.3064^{*}	0.0584
Test weight	G							1	0.4574**	-0.3430**	0.2438^{*}
(g)	P							1	0.3942**	-0.29621*	0.1956
Seed yield	G								1	0.08254	0.7779**
plant ⁻¹ (g)	P								1	-0.0227	0.6368**
Days to maturity	G									1	-0.0058
	P									1	0.0500
Harvest index	G										1
%	P										1

branch per plant, Mahey et al. (2003) and Singh et al. (2005) for number of pods plant⁻¹. However, direct negative effect was observed in characters such as pod number of pods plant⁻¹, pod length (cm) and days to maturity contributed considerable negative direct effect on grain yield plant⁻¹. These results are in accordance with the findings of Prajapati et al. (2010) and Dashora et al. (2011) for days to maturity, Mahey et al. (2003) for plant height (cm) and Dashora et al. (2011) for number of pods plant⁻¹.

Table 4: Path coefficient analysis for yield and its contributing characters in fenugreek -grain yield is as dependent character yield and attributes

Characters	DF 50%	PH (cm)	BPP	NPP	PL (cm)	SPP	TW (g)	DM	HI (%)
Days to 50 % flowering	0.2489	0.0170	-0.0200	-0.0378	-0.0427	-0.0676	0.0046	-0.0185	0.0606
Plant height (cm)	0.0114	0.1680	0.0345	0.0061	0.0452	0.0145	-0.0243	0.0297	-0.0458
Branches plant ⁻¹	-0.0091	0.0233	0.1133	0.0372	0.0306	0.0301	0.0200	0.0286	0.0115
Number of pod plant ⁻¹	0.0012	-0.0003	-0.0026	-0.0080	-0.0042	-0.0045	-0.0003	-0.0011	-0.0018
Pod length (cm)	0.0032	-0.0049	-0.0050	-0.0097	-0.0184	-0.0143	-0.0006	-0.0052	-0.0017
Seeds pod ⁻¹	-0.0841	0.0267	0.0823	0.1733	0.2421	0.3097	0.0001	0.1105	0.0299
Test weight (g)	0.0048	-0.0379	0.0462	0.0097	0.0080	0.0001	0.2615	-0.0828	0.0583
Days to maturity	0.0088	-0.0209	-0.0299	-0.0155	-0.0338	-0.0422	0.0375	-0.1184	-0.0033
Harvest index (%)	0.1482	-0.1658	0.0618	0.1326	0.0547	0.0588	0.1358	0.0169	0.6085
Seed yield plant-1 (g)	0.3334	0.0051	0.2805	0.2880	0.2815	0.2846	0.4342	-0.0403	0.7164

4. CONCLUSION

The correlation analysis at genotypic and phenotypic ▲ level, seed yield plant¹ was positively significant correlated with most of the characters except days to maturity at phenotype level. Path coefficient analysis suggested that the highest positive direct effect on grain yield plant⁻¹ was exerted by harvest index (%) followed by seeds pod⁻¹, test weight, days to 50% flowering, plant height and branches plant⁻¹.

5. REFERENCES

- Ahmad, F., Acharya, S., Mir, Z., Mir, P., 1999. Localization and activity of rRNA genes on fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) chromosomes by fluorescent in situ hybridization and silver staining. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 2, 179–185.
- Al-Jibouri, H.A., Miller, P.A., Robinson, H.F., 1958. Genotypic environment variances in an upland cotton ceross of inter-specific origin. Agronomy Journal 50, 633-637.
- Anonymous, 2015-16b. Directorate of Horticulture, Government of Rajasthan. https://agriculture. rajasthan.gov.in/horticulture. Accessed on October 28, 2018.
- Anonymous, 2016-17a. Indian Horticulture database. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Gurgaon. https://www.nhb.gov.in. Accessed on October 28, 2018.
- Ayub, M.A., 2019. Medicinal Plants of South Asia, In: Muhammad, A.H., Nawaz, H., Khan, M.M., Hugh, J.B. (Eds.), Novel sources for drug discovery, Elsevier, ISBN: 978-0-08-102659-5 257.
- Banerjee, A., Kole, P.C., 2004. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 13(1), 44–48.
- Bose, T.K., Som, M.G., Kabir J., 1993. Vegetable crops. Naya Prakash, Calcutta 6, 789-794.
- Burdak, A., Tripathi, A., Choudhary, J.R., 2020. Effect of different media and genotypes on In Vitro Callus Induction in Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Indian Research Journal of Genetics and Biotechnology 12(02), 74-79.
- Burton, G.W., 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceeding of 6th International. Grassland Congress 1, 227-283.
- Dashora, A., Maloo, S.R., Dashora, L.K., 2011. Variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in fenugreek (Trigonella foenumgraecum L.) under water limited condition. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 20(1), 38-42.
- Datta, S., Chatterjee, R., Mukherjee, S., 2005. Variability,

- heritability and path analysis studies in fenugreek. Indian Journal of Horticulture 62(1), 96–98.
- Demelash, A., 2023. Resistance sources for powdery mildew and breeding strategies for improvement in fenugreek. Advances in Agriculture 2023, https://doi. org/10.1155/2023/9507166.
- Dewey, D.R., Lu, K.H., 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of component of crested wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal 51(8), 515-518.
- Falconer, D.S., 1981. Introduction to quantitative genetics, Longman, New York.
- Fikreselassie, M., Zeleke, H., Alemayehu, N., 2012. Correlation and path analysis in Ethiopian fenugreek (Trigonella foenumgraecum L.) landraces. Crown Research in Education 2, 132–142.
- Fisher, R.A., Yates, F., 1938. Statistical tables: biology. Agriculture and medical Research 5(2), 1–124.
- Srivastava, A., Singh, Z., Verma, V., Choedon, T., 2022. Potential health benefits of fenugreek with multiple pharmacological properties. In Research Anthology on Recent Advancements in Ethnopharmacology and Nutraceuticals. IGI Global, 672-687.
- Frayer, J.R., 1930. Chromosome Atlas of flowering plants. George Allen and Urwin, London, 519.
- Gangopadhyay, K.K., Yadav, S.K., Kumar, G., Meena, B.L., Mahajan, R.K., 2009. Correlation, path coefficient and genetic diversity pattern in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences 79(7), 521–526.
- Jain, A., Singh, B., Solanki, R., Saxena, S.N., Kakani, R.K., 2013. Genetic variability and character association in fenugreek. International Journal of Seed Spices 3(2), 22-28.
- Jat, B.L., 2004. Correlation and regression studies in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Haryana Journal Agronomy 20, 99–100.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F., Comstock, R.E., 1955. Estimate of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agronomy Journal 47(7), 314–318.
- Khursheed, T., Fatima, T., Qadri, T., Rafiq, A., Malik, A., Naseer, B., Hussain, S.Z., 2023. Biochemical, nutraceutical and phytochemical characterization of chia and basil seeds: A comparative study. International Journal of Food Properties 26(1), 1–13.
- Kole, P.C., Mishra, A.K., 2006. Pattern of variability and associations among quantitative characters in fenugreek. Indian Agriculturist 50(3/4), 93–96.
- Kumari, J., Kulkarni, G.U., Sharma, L.K., 2015. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Frontiers in Crop Improvement Journal 3(1), 46–48.
- Lodhi, P.S., Singh, P.P., Naruka, I.S., Kushwah, S.S.,

- Singh, A.K., 2015. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Indian Journal of Horticulture 72(3), 429–433.
- Mahey, J., Raje, R.S., Singhania, D.L., 2003. Studies on genetic variability and selection criteria in F₃ generation of a cross in fenugreek. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 12(1), 19–28.
- Mamatha, N. C., Panyam, K.R., 2021. Agronomic practices in fenugreek. Fenugreek: Biology and Applications 83-97.
- Mehrafarin, A., Rezazadeh, S.H., Naghdi, B.H., Noormohammadi, G.H., Zand, E., Qaderi, A., 2011. A review on biology, cultivation and biotechnology of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) as a valuable medicinal plant and multipurpose. Journal of Medicinal Plants 10, 6–24.
- Moradi kor, N., Moradi, K., 2013. Physiological and pharmaceutical effects of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) as a multipurpose and valuable medicinal plant. Global Journal of Medicinal Plant Research 1, 199–206.
- Naik, A., Akhatar, H., Pandey, U.P., 2012. Variability in growth yield attributes and yields in different genotypes of fenugreek grown during winter season. Environmental and Ecology 30(4), 1366–1368.
- Panse, V.G., Sukhtme, P.V., 1967, Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, pp.145.
- Petropoulos, G.A., 2002. Fenugreek-the genus trigonella. Taylor and Francis, London and New York.
- Prajapati, D.B., Ravindrababu, Y., Prajapati, B.H., 2010. Genetic variability and character association in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crop 19(1), 12–14.
- Pushpa, T.N., Chandregowda, M., Srikantaprasad, D., Gowda, A.P.M., 2012. Evaluation of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) genotypes for growth and seed yield. Crop Research 43(1, 2&3), 238-244.
- Reddy, P.V., Reddy, A.N., 1991. Genetic variability in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Indian Cocoa, Arecanut and Spices Journal 15, 49–52.
- Sarada, C., Giridhar, K., Rao, N.H., 2008. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 17(2), 163–166.
- Searle, M., 1961. Path analysis of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) yield. Tarim-Bilimleri-Dergisi 12(3), 227–232.
- Shahrajabian, M.H., Sun, W., Magadlela, A., Hong, S., Cheng, Q., 2021. Fenugreek cultivation in the middle east and other parts of the world with emphasis on historical aspects and its uses in traditional medicine

- and modern pharmaceutical science. Fenugreek: Biology and Applications, 13–30.
- Sharma, K.C., Sastry, E.V.D., 2008. Path analysis for seed yield and its component characters in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 17(2), 69-74.
- Singh, G., Kakani, R.K., 2017. Variability, character association and path analysis studies in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience 5(2), 945-952.
- Singh, P., Kaur, A., 2007. Genetic evaluation of (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) for seed yield and quality attributes. Crop Improvement 34(1), 90–94.
- Sivasubrahmanian, S., Menon, P.M., 1973. Genotypic and phenotypic variability in rice. Madras Agricultural Journal 60, 1093–1096.
- Tutlani, A., Kumar, R., Kumari, S., Chouhan, S., 2023. Correlation and path analysis for yield and its phenological, physiological, morphological and biochemical traits under salinity stress in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). International Journal of Bioresource and Stress Management 14(6), 878–890.
- Verma, P., Ali, M., 2012. Genetic variability in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) assessed in South Eastern Rajasthan. International Journal of Seed Spices 2(1), 56–58.
- Verma, P., Solanki, R.K., Dashora, A., Kakani, R.K., 2016. Genetic variability in fenugreek (Trigonella foenumgraecum L.) as expressed under South Eastern region of Rajasthan State. International Journal of Seed Spices 6(1), 93–95.
- Wilson, R.T., 2021. Coping with catastrophe: contributing to food security through crop diversity and crop production in Tigray National Regional State in northern Ethiopia.
- Wojo, A.A., Alamerew, S., Nebiyu, A., Menano, T., 2016. Genotype and phenotype variability studies in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) accessions in Kaffa Zone, South West Ethiopia. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 25(2), 159–168.
- Yadav, P.K., Choudhary, M., Nehra, M.R., Singh, D., 2022. Analysis of genetic variability in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) germplasm. The Pharma Innovation Journal 11(11), 2709–2712
- Yaldiz, G., Camlica, M., 2021. Impact of various environmental stress factors on productivity, quality, and secondary metabolites of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.). Fenugreek: Biology and Applications, 301–326.