Short Research Article

# Screening of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Germplasm for Growth, Yield and Resistance Against Powdery Mildew under Mid-hill Conditions of Himachal Pradesh

#### Rakesh Kumar<sup>\*</sup>, Manish Kumar, Sandeep Kumar and Anil Kumar

Dept. of Vegetable Science, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan, Nauni, Himachal Pradesh (173 230), India

#### Article History

Abstract

Manuscript No. AR1286 Received in 10<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 Received in revised form 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 Accepted in final form 4<sup>th</sup> February, 2016

## Correspondence to

\*E-mail: rakeshuhfsolan@gmail.com

#### Keywords

Germplasm, pea, *Pisum sativum* L., powdery mildew, resistance

Fifty four genotypes of pea including four check cultivars were evaluated for various important horticultural traits in a RCBD with three replications during Rabi, 2010-11. The observations were recorded on days to first flower (number), node at which the first flower appear (number), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, pod length (cm), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, shelling %, days to marketable maturity (number), pod yield (kg plot<sup>1</sup>), pod yield (q ha-1), TSS (°B), total phenols (g 100 g-1) and powdery mildew severity (%). Analysis of variance showed significant differences among all the genotypes for all the characters under study. In this study 4 genotypes were found earliest among all. Three genotypes were found superior to yield and yield contributing traits when compared with the performance of the check cultivars. While 23 genotypes performed better than the check cultivars among quality traits viz., total sugars (%), total soluble solids (°B) and phenol content (g 100 g<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly, 18 genotypes had shown a resistant reaction to powdery mildew severity (%). It is concluded that genotypes 10/PMVAR-3, 10/PMVAR-4, 10/PMVAR-5, 9/PMVAR-7, AG-12, IC-381058 and VP-433 were superior over other entries and over standard checks for yield, quality and other important horticultural traits. 10/PMVAR-5 was found superior to checks in most of characters like pod yield (q ha-1), number of pods plant-1, shelling %, total sugars (%) and total soluble solids (°B). Thus it is recommended for further multilocation testing in the different regions of state.

#### 1. Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is a self pollinated annual herb, bushy or climbing plant belongs to *Fabaceae* family, which requires a relatively cool and humid climate. It is one of the most important vegetable crops grown extensively throughout the world during cool season. It is a rich source of proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins and crude fibers. In India, it is grown as winter season vegetable in the Central and Northern plains and as a summer and autumn-winter crop in the hilly regions. It occupies an area of about 433.6 thousand ha with annual production of 3868.6 thousand mt (Anonymous, 2014).

In Himachal Pradesh, Pea holds foremost position as leading off-season vegetable grown over an area of 23.9 thousand ha with an annual production of 271.1 thousand mt (Anonymous, 2014). It is of greater economic importance in high hills of Himachal Pradesh as produce from the hilly regions is available in plains at a time when it cannot be grown in such areas because of high temperature. Further, hilly grown pea due to its characteristic flavor, sweetness, freshness and good quality pods get a special preference from consumers in the market, thus bringing lucrative returns to growers. Thus, this crop has promising future in Himachal Pradesh.

Inspite of such an economic importance, production unit<sup>1</sup> area of pea is still low in the country especially when varieties are grown during off-season and the major constraints attributed to this are lack of high yielding varieties with poor or no resistance to powdery mildew. There is an urgent need to evaluate large number of genotypes and to identify high yielding and superior varieties of pea which can be released as such for commercial production or can be incorporated in the future crop improvement programmes for improvement of yield and quality traits. The present investigation was designed for a comparative study of local as well as exotic genotypes of peas under mid hill zone of Himachal Pradesh.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

The investigation was carried out at Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India consisting of fifty four genotypes of pea. The

genotypes along with their sources of availability have been presented in Table 1. Seeds were directly sown in the field in the month of November, 2010 at a spacing of  $(40 \times 10)$ cm in a plot of  $(2 \times 2)$  m<sup>2</sup> size. There were five rows in each plot. Each genotype was sown in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The standard cultural practices recommended in the Package of Practices for Vegetable Crops, were followed to ensure a healthy crop stand. Agro-meteorological data during the growing season from November, 2010 to April, 2011 is presented in Table 2. The observations were recorded on 10 randomly selected plants from each replication on days to first flower (number), node at which the first flower appear (number), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, pod length (cm), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, days to marketable maturity (number), pod yield (kg plot<sup>-1</sup>), pod yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), TSS (°B), total phenols (g 100 g<sup>-1</sup>) and powdery mildew severity (%) except shelling % for which observations were recorded on 25 randomly taken pods in each genotype per replication at the time of 2<sup>nd</sup> harvest.

Disease severity in different lines was quantified by using the disease severity scale described by Ghufranulhaq et al. (2000) is given below (Table 3).

The percent disease index (PDI) was calculated by following the formula given by McKinney (1923).

The statistical analysis was carried out for each observed character under the study using MS-Excel, SPSS 16.0 and SPAR 2.0 packages. The mean values of data were subjected to analysis of variance and ANOVA was set as per Gomez and Gomez (1983) for Randomized Block Design.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance indicated highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the traits studied. The mean performance of all the genotypes for various traits under study is given below.

#### 3.1. Earliness

The minimum days to first flower were recorded in genotype 9/PMVAR-7 (75.33) which were statistically at par with 8/ PMVAR-5, 10/PMVAR-4 and 10/PMVAR-3 (Table 4). The maximum days to first flower were recorded in 9/PMVAR-5 (98.33). The performance of the check cultivars was recorded as Arka Ajit (86.33), Azad P-1 (93.00), Lincoln (89.67) and Pb.89 (90.33). The data recorded on node at which first flower appear (number), revealed that genotype 9/PMVAR-7 (8.13) recorded lowest node at which the first flower appear which

Table 1: List of pea genotypes studied along with their sources of availability

sources of avai	lability
Genotype	Source
10/PMVAR-1	IIVR, Varanasi
10/PMVAR-2	IIVR, Varanasi
10/PMVAR-3	IIVR, Varanasi
10/PMVAR-4	IIVR, Varanasi
10/PMVAR-5	IIVR, Varanasi
8/PMVAR-1	IIVR, Varanasi
8/PMVAR-3	IIVR, Varanasi
8/PMVAR-4	IIVR, Varanasi
8/PMVAR-5	IIVR, Varanasi
9/PMVAR-1	IIVR, Varanasi
9/PMVAR-2	IIVR, Varanasi
9/PMVAR-5	IIVR, Varanasi
9/PMVAR-6	IIVR, Varanasi
9/PMVAR-7	IIVR, Varanasi
9/PMVAR-8	IIVR, Varanasi
AG-12	UHF, Solan
AG-13	UHF, Solan
AG-38	UHF, Solan
AG-45	UHF, Solan
AG-55	UHF, Solan
AG-56	UHF, Solan
AG-8	UHF, Solan
AG-9	UHF, Solan
HFP-4	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-208385	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-208387	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-209114	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-218982	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-218985	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-218999	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-267138	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-26752	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-280357	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-291541	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-296678	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-310833	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-311065	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-311067	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-311069	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-311070	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IC-342025	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli

Genotype	Source
IC-381058	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
IP-3	IIVR, Varanasi
KS-205	IIVR, Varanasi
KS-210	IIVR, Varanasi
RACHNA	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
VL-8	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
VP-433	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
VP434	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
CHP-2	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
*ARKA AJIT	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
*AZAD P-1	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
*LINCOLN	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
*PB.89	NBPGR Regional Research Station, Phagli
*Cl 1 14	

\*Check cultivars (s)

Table 2: Agro-meteorological data during the growing season from November, 2010 to April, 2011

Month	Tem	perature	(°C)	Relative	Rainfall		
	Maxi-	Mini-	Mean	Humidity	(mm)		
	mum	mum		(%)			
November	23.59	5.93	14.76	21.80	53.42		
December	19.89	1.20	10.55	70.20	57.82		
January	18.11	0.42	9.27	23.20	53.66		
February	17.18	0.25	8.72	61.50	54.18		
March	24.38	8.34	16.36	18.20	48.39		
April	25.63	10.33	17.98	33.70	48.98		

Table 3: Disease severity scale

Disease grade	Percent leaf area infected	Disease reaction
0	No infection	Immune
1	0-10	Resistant
2	10.1-30	Moderately resistant
3	30.1-70	Moderately susceptible
4	70.1-80	Susceptible
5	80.1-100	Highly Susceptible

was statistically at par with two other genotypes viz., 10/ PMVAR-4 (8.93) and 8/PMVAR-5 (8.33). However, highest node at which first flower appear was recorded in 9/PMVAR-5 (17.33). Whereas, the check varieties recorded as Arka Ajit (12.53), Azad P-1 (15.20), Lincoln (13.87), Pb.89 (14.13) node at which the first flower appear. The mean values for different genotypes revealed that 9/PMVAR-7 (137.33) was the earliest for attaining marketable maturity which was statistically at par with 10/PMVAR-3 (141.33), 10/PMVAR-4 (139.33) and 8/PMVAR-5 (138.00). The genotype 9/PMVAR-5 (160.33) took maximum days to attain marketable maturity. Check varieties Arka Ajit, Azad P-1, Lincoln and Pb.89 needed 148.33, 155.00, 151.67 and 152.33 days respectively to attain marketable maturity.

## 3.2. Yield and component traits

A comparison of mean values of the genotypes for number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3) depicted maximum number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> in 10/PMVAR-5 (38.35) which was statistically at par with 10/PMVAR-4 (36.64). Minimum number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> were recorded in AG-12 (17.65). However check varieties Arka Ajit, Azad P-1, Lincoln and Pb.89 gave 27.73, 34.57, 32.59 and 33.31 pods plant<sup>1</sup>, respectively. Maximum pod length was recorded in VP-433 (8.47) and it was found statistically at par with 7 genotypes including three checks viz., 10/PMVAR-5 (8.00), KS-205 (8.13), KS-210 (7.80), CHP-2 (7.80), Azad P-1 (7.80), Lincoln (7.70) and Pb.89 (7.80). Minimum pod length was observed in AG-8 (4.83). Number of seeds pod-1 indicates that maximum number of seeds pod-1 were recorded in VP-433 (8.30) and it was statistically at par with 9 genotypes including all checks viz., 10/PMVAR-5 (7.84), KS-205 (7.97), KS-210 (7.64), VL-8 (7.32), CHP-2 (7.64), Arka Ajit (7.35), Azad P-1 (7.64), Lincoln (7.55) and Pb.89 (7.64) whereas AG-8 (4.74) recorded minimum number of seeds pod-1.

Maximum shelling % was observed in VP-433 (73.27) which was statistically at par with 10/PMVAR-5 (68.24) and KS-205 (69.68). However, minimum shelling % was recorded in AG-8 (34.10). The check varieties Arka Ajit, Azad P-1, Lincoln and Pb.89 gave 62.85%, 66.08%, 65.01% and 66.08% shelling % respectively. AG-12 with 140.29 cm recorded maximum plant height which was statistically at par with 24 genotypes. Whereas, 10/PMVAR-4 recorded minimum plant height i.e., 81.42 cm. The performance of check varieties to plant height was viz., Arka Ajit (109.04), Azad P-1 (87.83), Lincoln (93.97) and Pb.89 (91.74 cm). Maximum pod yield plot<sup>1</sup> was observed in 10/PMVAR-5 (6.16 kg) which was statistically at par with check namely Azad P-1 (5.38) whereas, minimum pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in AG-12 (1.63 kg). Other three check varieties Arka Ajit, Lincoln and Pb.89 recorded 4.13, 4.96 and 5.17 kg pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Maximum pod yield hectare<sup>-1</sup> was observed in 10/PMVAR-5 (138.63 q) which was statistically at par with check Azad P-1 (120.96 q). Minimum pod yield ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in AG-12 (36.70 q). Other three check varieties Arka Ajit, Lincoln and Pb.89 recorded 92.87, 111.53 and 116.22 q pod yield ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

# 3.3. Quality traits

The mean values presented in Table 4 for different genotypes revealed that maximum total soluble solids were recorded

Kumar et al., 2016

Table 4: Mean performance of pea genotypes for the various growth, yield and quality traits														
Geno- type	DFF	NFF	NPP	PL	NSP	SP	PH	DMM	РҮРР	РҮРН	PMS	TS	ТР	TSS
10/PM- VAR-1	92.33	14.93	23.50	7.17	7.02	59.26	122.15	154.33	3.30	74.24	10.54	5.02 (2.24)	0.42	61.26 (51.50)
10/PM- VAR-2	88.00	13.20	24.40	6.73	6.60	54.59	119.36	150.00	3.08	69.39	9.78	4.69 (2.16)	0.38	64.72 (53.60)
10/PM- VAR-3	79.33	9.87	32.68	7.17	7.02	59.26	93.69	141.33	4.42	99.47	14.46	6.72 (2.58)	0.58	43.24 (41.00)
10/PM- VAR-4	77.33	8.93	36.64	6.50	6.37	52.07	81.42	139.33	4.19	94.27	13.65	6.37 (2.49)	0.55	46.95 (43.02)
10/PM- VAR-5	86.00	12.53	38.35	8.00	7.84	68.24	85.94	148.00	6.16	138.63	20.54	9.37 (3.05)	0.85	15.26 (21.89)
8/PM- VAR-1	91.00	14.40	23.77	6.83	6.70	55.66	121.31	153.00	3.08	69.27	9.77	4.68 (2.16)	0.38	64.81 (53.61)
8/PM- VAR-3	94.00	15.20	25.57	6.83	6.70	55.66	115.73	156.00	3.30	74.15	10.52	5.01 (2.23)	0.42	61.32 (51.62)
8/PM- VAR-4	84.33	11.73	22.96	6.40	6.27	50.99	123.82	146.33	2.69	60.63	8.42	4.10 (2.02)	0.33	70.98 (57.40)
8/PM- VAR-5	76.00	8.33	28.45	6.83	6.70	55.66	106.81	138.00	3.59	80.78	11.55	5.46 (2.33)	0.46	56.58 (48.79)
9/PM- VAR-1	91.33	14.53	27.10	6.83	6.70	55.66	110.99	153.33	3.44	77.47	11.04	5.23 (2.28)	0.44	58.95 (50.19)
9/PM- VAR-2	94.33	15.73	21.16	6.40	6.27	50.99	129.40	156.33	2.53	56.82	7.83	3.84 (1.96)	0.30	73.70 (59.14)
9/PM- VAR-5	98.33	17.33	25.30	6.83	6.70	55.66	116.57	160.33	3.26	73.25	10.38	4.95 (2.22)	0.41	61.96 (51.95)
9/PM- VAR-6	95.67	16.27	28.72	6.50	6.37	52.07	105.97	157.67	3.31	74.49	10.58	5.03 (2.24)	0.42	61.08 (51.39)
9/PM- VAR-7	75.33	8.13	34.48	6.40	6.27	50.99	88.11	137.33	3.77	84.80	12.18	5.73 (2.39)	0.49	53.71 (47.11)
9/PM- VAR-8	87.33	12.93	24.31	7.17	7.02	59.26	119.64	149.33	3.42	76.86	10.94	5.19 (2.27)	0.43	59.39 (50.47)
AG-12	94.00	15.60	17.65	5.17	5.06	37.70	140.29	156.00	1.63	36.70	4.70	2.48 (1.57)	0.89	10.73 (19.10)
AG-13	89.67	13.87	19.00	6.07	5.95	47.40	136.10	151.67	2.15	48.29	6.51	3.26 (1.80)	0.90	9.14 (17.58)
AG-38	92.67	15.07	22.60	5.17	5.06	37.70	124.94	154.67	1.94	43.72	5.79	2.95 (1.70)	0.90	9.76 (18.18)
AG-45	84.67	11.87	18.28	5.17	5.06	37.70	138.33	146.67	1.67	37.56	4.84	2.54 (1.59)	0.89	10.61 (18.99)
AG-55	87.00	12.80	20.71	5.40	5.29	40.21	130.80	149.00	1.93	43.32	5.73	2.93 (1.71)	0.90	9.82 (18.25)
AG-56	84.33	11.73	20.35	5.83	5.72	44.88	131.92	146.33	2.15	48.33	6.51	3.27 (1.80)	0.90	9.13 (17.56)
AG-8	91.00	14.40	20.35	4.83	4.74	34.10	131.92	153.00	1.63	36.75	4.71	2.48 (1.57)	0.89	10.72 (19.10)
AG-9	90.33	14.13	19.54	5.40	5.29	40.21	134.43	152.33	1.85	41.59	5.46	2.81 (1.67)	0.89	10.06 (18.48)
HFP-4	85.67	12.27	19.72	5.17	5.06	37.70	133.87	147.67	1.76	39.61	5.16	2.68 (1.63)	0.19	85.99 (68.20)
IC- 208385	92.67	15.07	19.27	7.07	6.93	58.18	135.26	154.67	2.76	62.01	8.64	4.19 (2.04)	0.92	7.26 (15.59)
IC- 208387	84.33	11.73	20.80	5.17	5.06	37.70	130.52	146.33	1.83	41.13	5.39	2.78 (1.65)	0.89	10.12 (18.52)
IC- 209114	85.00	12.00	21.34	6.50	6.37	52.07	128.85	147.00	2.60	58.61	8.11	3.96 (1.99)	0.92	7.72 (16.13)

International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 2016, 7(1):119-125

Geno- type	DFF	NFF	NPP	PL	NSP	SP	PH	DMM	PYPP	РҮРН	PMS	TS	TP	TSS
IC- 218982	87.00	12.80	22.42	5.70	5.59	43.45	125.50	149.00	2.24	50.34	6.82	3.40 (1.83)	0.91	8.86 (17.27)
IC- 218985	81.33	10.53	20.17	5.47	5.36	40.93	132.47	143.33	1.93	43.45	5.75	2.94 (1.71)	0.90	9.80 (18.23)
IC- 218999	84.00	11.60	19.54	5.47	5.36	40.93	134.43	146.00	1.88	42.40	5.59	2.86 (1.69)	0.90	9.95(18.37)
IC- 267138	92.00	14.80	19.81	5.03	4.93	36.26	133.59	154.00	1.70	38.31	4.95	2.59 (1.61)	0.89	10.51 (18.90)
IC- 26752	96.00	16.40	22.78	5.80	5.68	44.52	124.38	158.00	2.32	52.12	7.10	3.52 (1.87)	0.91	8.61 (17.01)
IC- 280357	85.00	12.00	18.46	5.80	5.68	44.52	137.77	147.00	1.96	44.11	5.85	2.98 (1.72)	0.90	9.71 (18.14)
IC- 291541	82.33	10.93	22.96	6.37	6.24	50.63	123.82	144.33	2.67	60.10	8.34	4.06 (2.01)	0.92	7.52 (15.91)
IC- 296678	92.67	15.07	20.17	5.13	5.03	37.34	132.47	154.67	1.77	39.72	5.17	2.68 (1.64)	0.89	10.31 (18.72)
IC- 310833	90.33	14.13	21.70	6.13	6.01	48.12	127.73	152.33	2.44	55.00	7.55	3.72 (1.92)	0.91	8.22 (16.60)
IC- 311065	95.00	16.00	22.78	6.03	5.91	47.04	124.38	157.00	2.47	55.47	7.62	3.75 (1.92)	0.91	8.15 (16.54)
IC- 311067	86.33	12.53	22.06	6.13	6.01	48.12	126.61	148.33	2.44	54.85	7.52	3.71 (1.92)	0.91	8.24 (16.67)
IC- 311069	91.33	14.53	20.98	5.80	5.68	44.52	129.96	153.33	2.16	48.60	6.55	3.28 (1.81)	0.90	9.10 (17.54)
IC- 311070	81.67	10.67	21.52	6.37	6.24	50.63	128.29	143.67	2.54	57.13	7.88	3.86 (1.96)	0.91	7.93 (16.33)
IC- 342025	92.33	14.93	22.60	5.80	5.68	44.52	124.94	154.33	2.28	51.39	6.99	3.47 (1.86)	0.91	8.71 (17.16)
IC- 381058	88.33	13.33	21.70	7.13	6.99	58.90	127.73	150.33	3.08	69.32	9.77	4.68 (2.16)	0.93	6.26 (14.40)
IP-3	86.67	12.67	30.34	7.03	6.89	57.82	100.95	148.67	3.98	89.66	12.93	6.06 (2.46)	0.52	50.24 (45.13)
KS-205	84.67	11.87	23.68	8.13	7.97	69.68	121.59	146.67	4.11	92.40	13.36	6.24 (2.50)	0.54	48.28 (44.00)
KS-210	93.33	15.33	32.41	7.80	7.64	66.08	94.53	155.33	5.04	113.37	16.62	7.66 (2.77)	0.68	33.31 (35.22)
RACH- NA	91.00	14.40	21.52	7.37	7.22	61.41	128.29	153.00	3.28	73.88	10.48	4.99 (2.22)	0.41	61.51 (51.86)
VL-8	92.00	14.67	28.72	7.47	7.32	62.49	105.97	154.00	4.20	94.40	13.67	6.38 (2.52)	0.55	46.86 (43.18)
VP-433	85.33	12.13	25.30	8.47	8.30	73.27	116.57	147.33	4.70	105.70	15.43	7.14 (2.67)	0.63	38.78 (38.43)
VP434	89.67	13.87	23.50	7.37	7.22	61.41	122.15	151.67	3.44	77.46	11.04	5.23 (2.28)	0.44	58.96 (50.19)
CHP-2	94.00	15.60	30.43	7.80	7.64	66.08	100.67	156.00	4.77	107.36	15.69	7.25 (2.69)	0.30	37.60 (37.76)
ARKA AJIT	86.33	12.53	27.73	7.50	7.35	62.85	109.04	148.33	4.13	92.87	13.43	6.28 (2.50)	0.89	7.54 (14.75)
AZAD P-1	93.00	15.20	34.57	7.80	7.64	66.08	87.83	155.00	5.38	120.96	17.80	8.17 (2.85)	0.35	27.89 (30.66)
LIN- COLN	89.67	13.87	32.59	7.70	7.55	65.01	93.97	151.67	4.96	111.53	16.33	7.54 (2.74)	0.31	34.62 (35.91)
PB.89	90.33	14.13	33.31	7.80	7.64	66.08	91.74	152.33	5.17	116.22	17.06	7.90 (2.80)	0.38	31.27 (33.97) Continue

© 2016 PP House 123

Geno-	DFF	NFF	NPP	PL	NSP	SP	PH	DMM	PYPP	PYPH	PMS	TS	ТР	TSS
type	00 10	12.25	24.46	6 10	6.25	51.06	110.26	150.40	2.05	69 57	0.65	1 62 (2 11)	0.69	20.62 (21.86)
Mean														30.62 (31.86)
SEm±							5.45		0.29	0	1.19	0.02		4.05
CD ( <i>p</i> =0.05)	5.02	1.59	2.05	0.92	1.06	6.88	15.42	5.99	0.81	18.22	3.37	0.32	0.07	1.33

Where, DFF: Days to first flower; NFF: Node at which first flower appear; NPP: Number of pods plant<sup>1</sup>, PL: Pod length; NSP: Number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, SP: Shelling %; PH: Plant height; DMM: Days to marketable maturity; PMS: Powdery mildew severity; TS: Total sugars; TP: Total phenols; TSS: Total soluble solids; PYPP: Pod yield plot<sup>-1</sup>; PYPH: Pod yield ha<sup>-1</sup>

in 10/PMVAR-5 (20.54 °B) and was statistically at par with Azad P-1 (17.80 °B) whereas, minimum total soluble solids were observed in AG-12 (4.70 °B). Other three check varieties Arka Ajit, Lincoln and Pb.89 recorded 13.43, 16.33 and 17.06 °B, respectively. Maximum total Sugars were recorded in 10/ PMVAR-5 (9.37%) which was found statistically superior over all other genotypes. Maximum total Sugars were recorded in 10/PMVAR-5 (9.37%). The check varieties Arka Ajit, Azad P-1, Lincoln and Pb.89 recorded 6.28, 8.17, 7.54 and 7.85% total Sugars, respectively. All the genotypes under study showed significant differences for total phenols (g 100 g<sup>-1</sup>). Maximum total phenols were recorded in IC-381058  $(0.93 \text{ g} 100 \text{ g}^{-1})$  and were found statistically at par with 26 other genotypes. Whereas, HFP-4 (0.19 g 100 g<sup>-1</sup>) recorded minimum total phenols. The check varieties Arka Ajit, Azad P-1, Lincoln and Pb.89 recorded 0.89, 0.35, 0.31 and 0.38 g 100 g<sup>-1</sup> total phenols, respectively.

#### 3.4. Powdery mildew severity (%)

The data recorded on powdery mildew severity (%) varied from IC-381058 (6.26%) to HFP-4 (85.99%). Twenty six genotypes were found to be at par with the most resistant genotype IC-381058. Only Arka Ajit (7.17%) had shown resistant reaction to powdery mildew disease among four checks.

Among the horticultural characters, days to first flower, node at which first flower appear and days to marketable maturity are the traits which determine the earliness of a variety. In the present study 4 genotypes were found earliest among all genotypes and can be incorporated in breeding programmes to develop early cultivars in pea. Similarly, pod yield, pod length, number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, shelling % are the major yield and yield contributing traits. Three genotypes were found superior to yield and yield contributing traits when compared with the performance of the check cultivars in the present study and these three can be used in crop improvement programmes for improving yield. While 23 genotypes performed better than the check cultivars among quality traits viz., total sugars, total soluble solids and phenol content. These 23 genotypes can be involved in crop improvement programmes on pea to enhance quality. Similarly

18 genotypes had shown a resistant reaction to powdery mildew severity (%). Results corroborated with the findings of Shah et al. (1990), Arshad et al. (1998); Kumar et al. (1998)); Ali et al. (2002); Mehta et al. (2005); Singh and Mir (2005); Singh et al. (2006); Bozoglu et al. (2007); Kumar et al. (2007); Sardana et al. (2007); Singh et al. (2007); Kumar (2008); Chadha et al. (2008); Nawab et al. (2008); Nishar et al. (2008); Guleria et al. (2009); Shahid et al. (2010) in pea.

#### 4. Conclusion

Genotypes 10/PMVAR-3, 10/PMVAR-4, 10/PMVAR-5, 9/ PMVAR-7, AG-12, IC-381058 and VP-433 were superior over others including standard checks for yield, quality and other important horticultural traits. 10/PMVAR-5 was found superior to checks in most of characters like pod yield (kg plot<sup>-1</sup>), pod yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, shelling percentage (%), total sugars (%) and total soluble solids (°B). Thus it is recommended for multi-location testing in the different regions of Himachal Pradesh.

#### 5. References

- Ali, I., Rub, A., Hussain, S.A., 2002. Screening of pea germplasm for growth, yield and resistance against powdery mildew under the agro-climatic conditions of Peshawar. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture 18(2), 177–181.
- Anonymous, 2014. Handbook of Indian Horticulture Database, NHB, Gurgaon.
- Arshad, M., Hussain, S.A., Ali, S.A.N., Muhammad, N., Ziaullah, 1998. Screening of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) cultivars in Kohat valley. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture 14(6), 559–562.
- Bozoglu, H., Peksen, E., Peksen, A., Gulumser, A., 2007. Determination of the yield performance and harvesting periods of fifteen pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) cultivars sown in autumn and spring. Pakistan Journal of Botany 39(6), 2017–2025.
- Chadha, S., Sharma, R., Chaudhary, D.R., Vidyasagar, 2008. Genetic variability studies in summer pea under cold desert areas of North-Western Himalayas. Agricultural Science Digest 28 (1), 10–13.

- Gomez, K.A., Gomez, A.A., 1983. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 335.
- Guleria, S., Chongtham, N., Dua, S., 2009. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis studies in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Crop Research 38(1/3), 179–183.
- Kumar, B., 2008. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). International Journal of Plant Sciences Muzaffarnagar 3(1), 211–212.
- Kumar, D., Malik, B.P.S., Raj, L., 1998. Genetic variability and correlation studies in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Legume Research 21(1), 23–29.
- Kumar, R., Dhari, R., Kumar, R., Malik, B.P.S., 2007. Assessment of morphological variability and genetic diversity in pea germplasm (*Pisum sativum* L.). National Journal of Plant Improvement 9(1), 5–8.
- Mehta, S., Kohli, U.K., Mehta, D., Kumar, D., 2005. Genetic variability studies in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences 34(1/2), 140–141.
- Natarajan, S.R., Arumugam, 1983. Evaluation of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) cultivars of Kodiakanal hills. South Indian Horticulture 31(1), 7–10.
- Nawab, N.N., Subhani, G.M., Khalid, M., Qamar, S., Akhtar, S., 2008. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis studies in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Journal of Agricultural Research Lahore. 46(4), 333–340.

- Nishar, M., Ghafoor, A., Ahmad, H., Khan, M.R., Qureshi, A.S., Ali, H., Islam, M., 2008. Evaluation of genetic diversity of pea germplasm through phenotypic trait analysis. Pakistaan Journal of Botany 40(5), 2081–2086.
- Sardana, S., Mahajan, R.K., Gautam, N.K., Ram, B., 2007. Genetic variability in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) germplasm for utilization. SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics 39(1), 31–41.
- Shah, A., Lal, S.D., Shah, A., 1990. Comparative performance of some pea cultivars under rainfed condition of U.P. hills. Progressive Horticulture. 22(1–4), 121–124.
- Shahid, M., Shah, S.F.A., Ghufranulhaq, Ali, H., Ishtiaq, S., 2010. Resistance in pea germplasm lines to powdery mildew under natural conditions. Mycopathology 8(2), 77–80.
- Singh, A.K., Mir, M.S., 2005. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) under cold arid region of Ladakh. Environment and Ecology 23S (Special 3), 445–449.
- Singh, I., Singh, P., Sandhu, J.S., 2007. Genetic divergence and association studies in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Crop Improvement 34(2), 179–182.
- Singh, N., Dhillon, G.S., 2006. Genetic variability for pod yield and its contributing traits in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L. var. *hortense*). *Haryana* Journal of Horticultural Sciences 33(3/4), 300–301.