

**Short Research Article****Effect of Chemicals and Bio-agent on Spot Blotch Disease of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)**Chandan Kumar Singh<sup>1\*</sup>, Chandan Singh<sup>2</sup>, Dharmendra Singh<sup>3</sup>, Rahul Kumar Singh<sup>4</sup>, Abhishek Kumar Chaudhary<sup>5</sup> and Rajesh Ranjan Kumar<sup>6</sup><sup>1&3</sup>Dept. of Plant Pathology, <sup>2&6</sup>Dept. of Soil Science, <sup>4</sup>Dept. of Extension Education, <sup>5</sup>Dept. of Entomology, N. D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, U.P. (224 229), India**Article History**

Manuscript No. ARISE 99  
 Received in 5<sup>th</sup> May, 2016  
 Received in revised form 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2016  
 Accepted in final form 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2016

**Correspondence to**

\*E-mail: chandan.singh175242@gmail.com

**Keywords**

Wheat, spot blotch, *B. sorokiniana*,  
*T. viride*, propiconazole

**Abstract**

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop in eastern India, suffers with many fungal diseases, namely, Spot blotch (*Bipolaris sorokiniana*), Alternaria leaf blotch (*Alternaria triticina*), Curvularia leaf spot (*Curvularia lunata*), covered smut (*Ustilago hordei*) and loose smut (*Ustilago segetum tritici*). Out of these fungal diseases, spot blotch caused by *Bipolaris sorokiniana* is most important and in badly affected field, the entire plant is blighted. Under favourable conditions the losses may be as high as 20–22%. Studies were conducted at main experimental station of N.D. University of Agriculture and technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad during *rabi* 2013–2015. Effect of seed treatment and foliar spray with fungicides and *T. viride* on disease intensity of spot blotch and yield contributing characters like ear length, number of grains/ear, thousand grain weight, yield and avoidable yield losses were studied. Results showed that the seed treatment with vitavax power @ 3 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of seed followed by two spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% at the time of disease initiation on flag<sup>-1</sup> leaf and at soft dough stage were best and per cent disease intensity (39.03%) was minimum. Maximum ear length (8.22 cm), no. of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (56), thousand grains weight (51.74 g), yield (42.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and avoidable yield loss (29.49) were also highest in this treatment as compared to other treatments. The maximum benefit cost ratio (7.55) was found in seed treatment with *T. viride* because the cost of treatment was very low.

**1. Introduction**

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the most important cereal crop after rice in India and is well recognized as a world's major cereal crops and staple food of many regions, grown under both irrigated and rain-fed conditions. It belongs to family Poaceae or Graminae (Yadawad et al., 2015). The world's population is increasing by one billion in every 11 years and at the present rate, it is expected to be 8.5 billion by the year 2025. The demand for wheat will grow faster than any other major crop as it is estimated that around 1,050 mt. of wheat will be required globally for ever growing population by 2020 (Kronstad, 1998), while Indian demand will be between 105 to 109 mt (Shoran et al., 2005). To fulfill the demand of wheat for rapidly increasing population, emphasis should be given to minimize the crop losses due to several diseases, insect pests and terminal heat at the time of anthesis. Grain yield reductions due to spot blotch are variable but are of great significance in warmer areas of South Asia (Saari, 1998, Sharma and Duveiller, 2004). Wheat crop suffers with many fungal diseases such as spot blotch, alternaria leaf blotch, stripe disease, net blotch, curvularia leaf

spot, covered smut and loose smut etc., in eastern part of India. Out of these fungal diseases spot blotch caused by *Bipolaris sorokiniana* is most important. The average yield losses due to spot blotch in India were reported to be 17% (Saari, 1998). Many fungicides were tested by different workers for the management of this disease but information on management of spot blotch disease through use of bio agents are meagre.

**2. Materials and Methods**

A susceptible wheat variety (Raj, 1415) was sown in randomized block design with 8 treatments and 4 replications during 2014–15 and 2015–16 at MES, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad. Seed @ 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was used. Seeds were treated with vitavax power @ 3 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed or with *Trichoderma viride* @ 4 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed. These treated seeds were sown in 2×2 m<sup>2</sup> plot and sprayed with propiconazole @ 0.1% or *T. viride* @ 4 g l<sup>-1</sup> of water. Only water spray worked as control. Spraying was initiated after first appearance of disease. The spraying solution was prepared in required quantity of water for each treatment.



Disease severity was recorded before spraying and 10 days after each spraying. Observations were taken on all the leaves of 10 randomly selected plants from each treatment in each replication. The percent disease intensity (PDI) was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{PDI} = \frac{\text{Sum of total numerical ratings}}{\text{Total number of leaves examined} \times \text{highest disease grade}} \times 100$$

$$\text{PDC} = \frac{\text{PDI in control} - \text{PDI in treatment}}{\text{PDI in control}} \times 100$$

Avoidable yield losses were calculated by the formula-

$$\text{AYL} = \frac{\text{YP} - \text{YU}}{\text{YP}} \times 100$$

Where,

YP = Yield under protected conditions

YU = Yield under unprotected conditions

Benefit cost ratio was also calculated by using formula-

$$\text{Benefit cost ratio} = \frac{\text{net return (₹ ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Total cost (₹ ha}^{-1}\text{)}}$$

Two years data were subjected to statistical analysis after taking mean of replications. ANOVA was performed for all the data using the statistical procedure and calculations were made after applying the test of significance for the treatment means. The data taken into percentage were first transformed into angular value and then analyzed for test of significance.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Data presented in Table 1 revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior to check. Average of two years data showed that in control plots PDI was 40% which was significantly higher than the seed treated with vitavax power ( $T_1$ ) or *T. viride* ( $T_2$ ) and percent disease control was in range of 29.5–39.6. The fungus is seed and soil borne therefore, seed treatment helped in management of primary infection and gave better germination. After 10 days of first spraying,

observations were recorded and it showed that seed treatment with vitavax power and one spray of 0.1% propiconazole is better than other treatments and PDC was 30.12. After second spray of 0.1% propiconazole or *T. viride*, significantly higher control was observed in treatment  $T_5$  (vitavax seed treatment+2 spray of propiconazole) followed by  $T_6$  (seed treatment with *T. viride*+2 spray of propiconazole) and  $T_3$ . Use of bio agent *T. viride* in seed treatment and spraying without any fungicides resulted in 39.1% disease control. In unsprayed plot (control) PDI was 80.37. PDC was maximum in  $T_5$  (51.43) followed by  $T_6$  (50.15). It was observed that due to frequent rain fall during 2015–16 disease pressure was more in comparison to 2014–15 crop season.

Effect of seed treatment and foliar spray with fungicides and *T. viride* on yield contributing characters like ear length, no. of grains/ear, TGW, yield and avoidable yield losses were also studied. A perusal of data presented in Table 2 showed that ear length was maximum (8.23 cm) in treatment  $T_5$  followed by  $T_6$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  respectively. Maximum number of grains, Thousand Grain Weight (TGW) and grain yield were also found in treatment  $T_5$  followed by  $T_6$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ . Avoidable yield losses were maximum in treatment  $T_5$  followed by  $T_6$  and  $T_3$ . Minimum avoidable yield loss was found with  $T_2$  (seed treatment with *T. viride*).

Results presented in Table 3 showed that maximum benefit cost ratio (7.55) was found in treatment  $T_2$  (seed treatment with *T. viride*) because the cost of treatment was very low so benefit cost ratio was more, followed by treatments  $T_1$  (5.11),  $T_3$  (3.56) and  $T_4$  (3.38). Minimum benefit cost ratio was found in treatment  $T_7$ .

Similar observations were recorded by other workers. Singh and (Singh, 2007) reported that Propiconazole 25 EC applied @ 0.750 l ha<sup>-1</sup> at booting and soft dough stages proved most economical when disease intensity was higher (79.77%).

Table 1: Effect Chemicals and bio-agent treatment on percent disease intensity (pooled data)

| Treatments  | PDI*          |                   |                        | PDC*         |             |              |
|---|---------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
|   | Before spray  | After first spray | PDI after second spray | Before spray | First spray | Second spray |
| $T_1$ seed treatment with vitavax power @ 3 g kg <sup>-1</sup>    | 24.15 (29.38) | 38.03 (38.06)     | 56.73 (48.88)          | 39.62        | 25.37       | 29.41        |
| $T_2$ seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> @ 4 g kg <sup>-1</sup> | 27.38 (31.52) | 39.78 (39.09)     | 57.67 (49.41)          | 31.55        | 21.93       | 28.24        |
| $T_1$ +one spray of propiconazole @ 0.1%                          | 25.09 (30.03) | 35.61 (36.63)     | 43.04 (40.99)          | 37.50        | 30.12       | 46.44        |
| $T_2$ +one spray of propiconazole @ 0.1%                          | 28.49 (32.25) | 36.65 (37.24)     | 46.27 (42.85)          | 28.77        | 28.08       | 42.44        |
| $T_1$ +two spray of propiconazole @ 0.1%                          | 25.09 (30.03) | 35.02 (36.28)     | 39.03 (38.65)          | 37.50        | 31.31       | 51.43        |
| $T_2$ +two spray of propiconazole @ 0.1%                          | 28.19 (32.06) | 36.00 (36.86)     | 40.06 (39.26)          | 29.52        | 29.35       | 50.15        |
| $T_2$ +two spray of <i>T. viride</i>                              | 27.05 (31.32) | 38.52 (38.33)     | 48.91 (44.37)          | 32.50        | 24.41       | 39.14        |
| Control   | 40.00 (39.22) | 50.96 (45.54)     | 80.37 (63.71)          |              |             |              |
| CD ( $p=0.05$ )   | 3.38          | 4.08              | 4.50                   |              |             |              |



Table 2: Effect of Chemicals &amp; bio-agent treatment on yield contributing characters (pooled data)

| Sl. No.        | Treatments   | PDI after second spray 10/03/2016 | PDI after second spray 10/03/2016 | No. of grains ear <sup>-1</sup> | Thousand grain weight (g) | Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Avoid-able yield loss (%) |
|----------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> | Seed treatment with vitavax power @ 3 g kg <sup>-1</sup>                                 | 56.73 (48.88)                     | 7.31                              | 49                              | 46.08                     | 36.50                       | 10.27                     |
| T <sub>2</sub> | Seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> @ 4 g kg <sup>-1</sup>                              | 57.67 (49.41)                     | 7.15                              | 48                              | 45.63                     | 35.88                       | 8.72                      |
| T <sub>3</sub> | Seed treatment with vitavax power+one spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% I <sup>-1</sup>      | 43.04 (40.99)                     | 8.10                              | 54                              | 50.25                     | 41.59                       | 21.25                     |
| T <sub>4</sub> | Seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> +one spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% I <sup>-1</sup>  | 46.27 (42.85)                     | 8.00                              | 51                              | 48.05                     | 39.90                       | 17.91                     |
| T <sub>5</sub> | Seed treatment with vitavax power+ two spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% I <sup>-1</sup>     | 39.03 (38.65)                     | 8.23                              | 56                              | 51.74                     | 42.81                       | 23.49                     |
| T <sub>6</sub> | Seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> +two spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% I <sup>-1</sup>  | 40.06 (39.26)                     | 8.12                              | 55                              | 51.05                     | 42.29                       | 22.55                     |
| T <sub>7</sub> | Seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> +two spray of <i>T.viride</i> @ 4 g l <sup>-1</sup> | 48.91(44.37)                      | 7.35                              | 50                              | 46.33                     | 37.28                       | 12.15                     |
| T <sub>8</sub> | Control  | 80.37 (63.71)                     | 6.33                              | 45                              | 43.30                     | 32.75                       | -                         |
| SEm±           |  | 1.53                              | 0.34                              | 1.58                            | 1.36                      | 1.07                        |                           |
| CD (p=0.05)    |  | 4.50                              | 1.01                              | 4.66                            | 3.99                      | 3.16                        |                           |

Table 3: Benefit-cost ratio of treatment

| Sl. No.        | Chemicals & bio-agent used<br>Treatments   | Charge   |          | Total cost (₹) | Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Increase yield over control (q ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | In- come (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | B:C ratio |             |            |
|----------------|--|--|----------|----------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|
|                |  | Amount kg ha <sup>-1</sup> or l ha <sup>-1</sup> | Cost (₹) |                |                             |   |                                |           | Sprayer (₹) | Labour (₹) |
| T <sub>1</sub> | Seed treatment with vitavax power @ 3 g kg <sup>-1</sup>                                 | 0.3 kg   | 450      | -              | 284                         | 734   | 36.50                          | 3.75      | 3750        | 5.10       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | Seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> @ 4 g kg <sup>-1</sup>                              | 0.4 kg   | 80       | -              | 284                         | 364   | 35.50                          | 2.75      | 2750        | 7.55       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | Seed treatment with vitavax power+one spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% I <sup>-1</sup>      | 0.3 kg+1.0 lit.                                  | 1710     | 60             | 710                         | 2480  | 41.59                          | 8.84      | 8840        | 3.56       |
| T <sub>4</sub> | Seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> +one spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% I <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.4 kg+1.0 lit.                                  | 1340     | 60             | 710                         | 2110  | 39.90                          | 7.15      | 7150        | 3.38       |
| T <sub>5</sub> | Seed treatment with vitavax power+two spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% I <sup>-1</sup>      | 0.3 kg+2.0 lit.                                  | 2970     | 120            | 1136                        | 4226  | 42.81                          | 10.06     | 10060       | 2.38       |
| T <sub>6</sub> | Seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> +two spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% I <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.4 kg+2.0 lit.                                  | 2600     | 120            | 1136                        | 3856  | 42.29                          | 9.54      | 9540        | 2.47       |
| T <sub>7</sub> | Seed treatment with <i>T. viride</i> +two spray of <i>T.viride</i> @ 4 g l <sup>-1</sup> | 4.40 kg  | 880      | 120            | 1136                        | 2136  | 37.28                          | 4.53      | 4530        | 2.12       |
| T <sub>8</sub> | Control  | -  | -        | -              | -                           | -   | 32.75                          | -         | -           | -          |



Two sprays of 0.750 l ha<sup>-1</sup> favored all the yield contributory characters. Singh et al. (2009) conducted field trials during 2006–07 and 2007–08 and established that 3 sprays of tilt (Propiconazole 25 EC) @ 0.75 l ha<sup>-1</sup> at maximum tillering, boot leaf and soft dough stages are most effective and economic spray on highly susceptible variety RD 2503. (Singh, 2014) used seed treatment with vitavax power+two spray of propiconazole @ 0.1% and found it to be best treatment. (Jegathambigai et al., 2009) tested the efficacy of seed treatment with *Trichoderma* against *Helminthosporium* infection. In the fungal growth test the isolates *T. harzianum* 1, *T. harzianum* 2, *T. viride* 1, *T. viride* 2 and *T. viride* 3 inhibited growth of the pathogen by 79.18, 69.03, 83.75, 82.99, 74.11% respectively. The seed treatments also significantly increased seed germination, seedling growth and seedling vigor.

#### 4. Conclusion

Seed treatment with vitavax+two foliar sprays of propiconazole at heading and flowering stage proved significantly superior in managing spot blotch intensity and highest yield and yield contributing characters over untreated check during both the years. Treatment combinations with bioagent also, significantly reduced spot blotch intensity over untreated check in the both years. The result indicated that the treatment with fungicide give best disease management and increased the yield and yield contributing characters.

#### 5. References

- Jegathambigai, V., Wijeratnam, R.S.W., Wijesundera, R.L.C., 2009. *Trichoderma* as a seed treatment to control *Helminthosporium* leaf spot disease of *Chrysalidocarpus lutescens*. World Journal of Agricultural Science 5(6), 720–728.
- Kronstad, W.E., 1998. Agricultural development and wheat breeding in the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, In: H.J. Brawn F., Allay, W.E., 1–10.
- Saari, E.E., 1998. Leaf blight disease and associated soil borne fungal pathogens of wheat in South and Southeast Asia. In: Duveiller E, Dubin H.J., Reeves, J., McNab A (eds) *Helminthosporium* blights of wheat: Spot blotch and tan spot. CIMMYT, Mexico, DF, 37–51.
- Sharma, R.C., Duveiller, E., 2004. Effect of *Helminthosporium* leaf blight on performance of timely and late-seeded wheat under optimal and stressed levels of soil fertility and moisture. Field Crops Research 89, 205–221.
- Shoran, J., Sharma, R.K., Gupta, R.K., 2005. Efficient inputs management. The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture, 47–49.
- Singh, A.K., Singh, R.N., Singh, S.P., 2009. Distribution and management of spot blotch of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Plant Pathology in the Globalization Era, Nov, 10–13.
- Singh, N.P., 2014. Studies on management of foliar blight disease of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra nagar kumarganj, Faizabad 224–229.
- Singh, V., Singh, R.N., 2007. Environmental variables in relation to spot blotch intensity and grain yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India 77, 134–138.
- Yadawad, A., Hanchinal, R.R., Nadaf, H.L., Desai, S.A., Biradar, S., Naik, V. R., 2015. Genetic variability for yield parameters and rust resistance in F<sub>2</sub> population of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The Bioscan 10(2), 707–710.

