

## Effect of Phosphorus and Sulphur on Growth and Yield of Soybean (*Glycine max* L.)

Fahmina Akter\*, Md. Nurul Islam, A. T. M. Shamsuddoha, M. S. I. Bhuiyan, Sonia Shilpi

Department of Soil Science, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka (1207), Bangladesh

### Article History

Manuscript No. 382d

Received in 13<sup>th</sup> February, 2013

Received in revised form 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2013

Accepted in final form 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2013

### Correspondence to

\*E-mail: fahmina\_sau@yahoo.com

### Keywords

Soybean, phosphorus, sulphur, yield

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Farm, Dhaka, Bangladesh from December 2008 to April 2009 to evaluate the effect of P (viz. 0, 15, 30, 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S (viz. 0, 10, 20, 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) and their interaction on the growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Individual application of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur showed significant effect on yield and yield attributes studied. Among them, plant height, number of primary branch plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, stover yield increased significantly up to 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, numbers of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup>, thousand seed weight, grain yield, biological yield increased significantly up to 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, in case of S, the positive response was observed only upto 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. The combined application of phosphorus @ 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and sulphur @ 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> gave rise to the highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (30.07), number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (84.94), thousand seed weight (94.61 g), and in turn produced highest grain yield (2.29 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Thus, the combined application of 30 kg Phosphorus ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 kg Sulphur ha<sup>-1</sup> may be considered to be optimum for getting higher yield of soybean.

### 1. Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) is one of the leading oil and protein containing crops of the world. The crop is about 90.19 mha of land and annual production is approximately 220.5 mt in the world (FAO, 2009). Soybean has the capacity to form a symbiotic association with *Rhizobium japonicum* and able to fix 20% of the atmospheric nitrogen throughout the world annually (Franco, 1978). In Bangladesh, soybean is called the *Golden bean*. Soybean grain contains 29.6-50.3% protein, 13.5-24.2% fat and 3.3-6.4% ash (Purseglove, 1984) and 24-26% carbohydrate (Gowda and Kaul, 1982). Besides, it also contains various vitamins and minerals. It provides around 60% of the world supply of vegetable protein and 30% of the oil (Fehr, 1989). However, per hectare yield of soybean in Bangladesh is only 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (BARI, 2007) as compared to other soybean producing countries of the world like USA with seed yield of 3.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (James et al., 1999). There is a great possibility to increase its production by adequate supply of nutrients especially phosphorus and sulphur to the crops.

In legumes, phosphorus stimulates rhizobial activity, nodule formation and thus helps in N<sub>2</sub>-fixation. It increases the water use efficiency, improves taste, storage quality and skin hardness of the bean. As phosphorus plays a role in photosynthesis,

respiration, energy storage and transfer, cell division and enlargement, it has been shown to be important for growth, development and yield of soybean (Kakar et al., 2002). Fageria et al. (1995) suggested that large quantities of P fertilizer may be required for successful soybean production. Phosphorus deficiency is now considered as one of the major constraints to successful production of legumes in Bangladesh. Sulphur also plays very important role in plant; aids in stabilization of protein structure, involve in metabolic activities of vitamins, helps in synthesis of sulphur containing essential amino acids and coenzyme A, chlorophyll formation, takes part in N-metabolism and promotes nodulation for N<sub>2</sub>-fixation in legumes. It also gives rise to bold seeds in oil seeds. Soybean is a sulphur loving plant and like other oilseed crops, its sulphur requirement is more than that of many other crops for proper growth and yield. The response of soybean to sulphur application has been reported by several workers (Nagar et al., 1993; Rao and Ganeshmurthy, 1994). However, most of the Bangladesh soils are deficient in available sulphur which roughly covers about 44% of the total cropped area (Hussain, 1990). Thus, S application is the need of Bangladesh soil for proper growth, yield and oil content of soybean.

The interaction of P and S is quite expected in soil and plant



system as both of these are adsorbed on similar sites on soil colloids and show similar composition of precipitates produced with Ca, Fe and Al. Higher P and S demands of pulses and oilseeds have a definite bearing on their interrelationships. P and S interactions in soils of poor fertility may be more important (Aulakh et al., 1990). In Bangladesh, limited information is available on the role of phosphorus and sulphur on the growth and yield of soybean. With a view to generate information, a field experiment was conducted to observe the interaction effect of P and S on the growth and yield of soybean and to find out the optimum doses of P and S for maximum growth and yield of soybean.

## 2. Materials and Methods

In order to study the role of P and S in influencing growth and yield of soybean, an experiment was conducted at the Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Farm, Dhaka 1207 (Tejgaon series under AEZ No. 28) during the *rabi* season of 2008-2009. The experimental site was located at 23°77' N latitude and 90°3' E longitudes with an elevation of 1.0 meter from the sea level. Temperature during the cropping period ranged between 12.20°C to 29.2°C, the humidity 73.52% to 81.25% with 10.5-11.0 hours day length and a very little rainfall was recorded. The surface (0-15 cm) soil of the experimental site was sandy loamy with pH 6.4, organic carbon 0.78%, total nitrogen 0.062%, available phosphorus 20.54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, sulphur 39.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and potassium 88.92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The soil type was deep red brown terrace soils and textural class is silty clay loam. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept, each plot measuring 2.5×2.0 (5 m<sup>2</sup>) with 3 replications. The experiment comprised of 2 factors viz.; 4 levels of phosphorus: P<sub>0</sub>=0 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>1</sub>=15 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>2</sub>=30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>3</sub>=50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 4 levels of sulphur: S<sub>0</sub>=0 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>1</sub>=10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>=20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>3</sub>=40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. BARI Soybean-5, a high yielding variety of soybean was used as the test crop in this experiment. The adjacent block and neighboring plots were separated by 1.0 m and 0.5 m, respectively. Recommended doses of N, K, Zn and B (30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> from urea, 40 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> from MOP, 2 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> from ZnO

and 1 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> from Boric acid, respectively) were applied. Soybean seeds were sown in lines with a spacing of 30×5 cm<sup>2</sup> on 27<sup>th</sup> December, 2008. All other recommended agronomic practices were followed. The crop was harvested at maturity on 14<sup>th</sup> April, 2009. The data on growth and yield parameters were recorded and analyzed statistically to find out the treatment difference and the mean differences were compared using DMRT (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Growth parameters

Among the different doses of phosphorus, 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> gave rise to the highest plant height (Table 1), which was closely followed by 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, the lowest plant height was observed where no phosphorus was applied. These results were attributed to the positive effect of phosphorus on the growth of soybean. The present findings are in partially agreement with the result of Bothe et al. (2000) who reported that the application of phosphorus @ 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> enhanced the plant height at highest value. Maurya and Rathi (2000) also found the positive effect of P on the plant height of soybean. Soybean plants showed significant variation in respect of plant height when different doses of sulphur fertilizer were applied (Table 2). Among the different fertilizer doses, 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in highest plant height which was statistically similar with that of 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. Plant height increased with increasing levels of sulphur up to maximum level of S application. The increase in plant height as observed in the experiment may be due to the favorable effects of sulphur on N-metabolism and consequently on the vegetative growth of soybean plant. Similar findings were also reported in groundnut (Chaubey et al., 2000) and linseed (Dubey et al., 1997). Shortest plant was observed in control plot. The result is similar to Tabatabai (1986) who reported that lack of sulphur reduces plant height. Crop responses to sulphur and phosphorus interaction for plant height has been presented in (Table 3). The lowest plant height was observed in the control treatment combination (No phosphorus and no sulphur). On the other hand, the highest plant height was recorded with 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 1: Effect of phosphorus on growth and yield of soybean

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of seeds plant <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
P <sub>0</sub>	64.06 <sup>c</sup>	2.46 <sup>c</sup>	10.30 <sup>b</sup>	14.57 <sup>d</sup>	54.20 <sup>c</sup>	88.62 <sup>c</sup>	1.51 <sup>c</sup>	2.47 <sup>c</sup>	4.00 <sup>c</sup>
P <sub>1</sub>	64.46 <sup>c</sup>	2.96 <sup>b</sup>	10.82 <sup>ab</sup>	22.42 <sup>c</sup>	68.27 <sup>b</sup>	90.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.85 <sup>b</sup>	2.80 <sup>b</sup>	4.63 <sup>b</sup>
P <sub>2</sub>	66.66 <sup>b</sup>	3.53 <sup>a</sup>	11.34 <sup>a</sup>	27.73 <sup>a</sup>	80.21 <sup>a</sup>	93.57 <sup>a</sup>	2.04 <sup>a</sup>	2.89 <sup>a</sup>	4.96 <sup>a</sup>
P <sub>3</sub>	68.50 <sup>a</sup>	3.59 <sup>a</sup>	11.38 <sup>a</sup>	26.82 <sup>b</sup>	78.28 <sup>a</sup>	92.71 <sup>a</sup>	2.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.93 <sup>a</sup>	4.92 <sup>a</sup>

In a column figures having similar letter(s) do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per DMRT

Tomar et al. (2004) also found the positive interaction effect of P and S on the plant height of soybean. The highest plant height might have resulted from the synergistic effect of P and S on the growth processes of the plant.

Application of phosphorus significantly influenced the number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> in soybean (Table 1). The highest number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (3.59) was recorded with 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> which was statistically at par with 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> application (3.53). The lowest number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (2.46) was recorded in the control treatment. The increase number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> may be due to favorable effects of phosphorus on hormonal balance that helped proper growth and development of the soybean plant. Sulphur fertilizer application also caused significant variations in number of primary branches of soybean. Among the different doses, 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> application showed the highest number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (3.49). On the contrary, the lowest number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (2.62) was recorded in no sulphur fertilizer application. Mohanti et al. (2004) reported similar observations with 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> application. Dubey et al. (1997) reported that S increased the number of primary branches per plant of linseed up to 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. The combined application of P and S fertilizer had a significant impact on the number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> of soybean (Table 3). The highest number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (4.167) was recorded with the treatment combination of 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, the lowest number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (2.0) was recorded in the control treatment.

Phosphorus fertilizer application resulted significant variation in the number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The highest number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (11.38) was recorded with 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> which was statistically at par with that observed in 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> (11.34) application. The lowest number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (10.30) was recorded in no phosphorus application.

Different doses of sulphur fertilizer also caused significant variations in the number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2).

Among the different doses of fertilizers, 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> application

gave rise to the highest number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (11.63). On the contrary, the lowest number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (9.950) was recorded in no S application (Table 3). The highest number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (12.53) was recorded with the treatment combination of P<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>). On the other hand, the lowest number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (9.133) was recorded in the P<sub>0</sub>S<sub>0</sub> treatment combination.

### 3.2. Yield contributing characters

Significant variation in number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> was observed at various levels of phosphorus application (Table 1). The highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (27.73) was recorded with 30 kg P application ha<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (14.57) was recorded in no phosphorus application. These findings are in agreement with Reddy and Giri (1989) who reported that phosphorus application @ 20 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the pod yield of soybean. Similar results were also found in soybean by Jana et al. (1990) and Singh and Bajpai (1990). Different doses of sulphur fertilizer application increased number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). Among the different doses of fertilizers, 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in production of highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (25.38). Whereas, the lowest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (19.21) was recorded in no S application. Sriramachandrasekharan et al. (2004) made similar type of observations with the application of 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation. The combined effect of different doses of P and S fertilizers on the number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> was significant (Table 3). The highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (30.73) was recorded with the combined application of 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, the lowest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (13.00) was recorded in the P<sub>0</sub>S<sub>0</sub> treatment combination. Majumdar et al. (2001) found that combined application of P and S @ 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively increased the number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>. The highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> may be due to the fact that, the combined effect of both phosphorus and sulphur had positive effect on the reproductive growth and pod formation of soybean.

Table 2: Effect of sulphur on the growth and yield of soybean

Treat-ments	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of seeds plant <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
S <sub>0</sub>	62.82 <sup>c</sup>	2.625 <sup>c</sup>	9.9 b	19.21 <sup>c</sup>	62.82 <sup>b</sup>	89.10 <sup>c</sup>	1.57 <sup>c</sup>	2.51 <sup>c</sup>	4.11 <sup>c</sup>
S <sub>1</sub>	65.46 <sup>b</sup>	3.075 <sup>b</sup>	10.71 <sup>ab</sup>	22.02 <sup>b</sup>	70.43 <sup>a</sup>	91.13 <sup>b</sup>	1.74 <sup>b</sup>	2.74 <sup>b</sup>	4.49 <sup>b</sup>
S <sub>2</sub>	67.20 <sup>a</sup>	3.492 <sup>a</sup>	11.55 <sup>a</sup>	24.92 <sup>a</sup>	72.32 <sup>a</sup>	92.62 <sup>a</sup>	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.94 <sup>a</sup>	4.98 <sup>a</sup>
S <sub>3</sub>	68.21 <sup>a</sup>	3.362 <sup>a</sup>	11.63 <sup>a</sup>	25.38 <sup>a</sup>	75.40 <sup>a</sup>	92.78 <sup>a</sup>	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.91 <sup>a</sup>	4.94 <sup>a</sup>

In a column figures having similar letter(s) do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per DMRT

Application of different doses of P resulted significant variation in number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The highest number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (80.21) was recorded with 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> which was statistically similar with that obtained from the application of 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (54.20) was recorded in no phosphorus application treatment. The result confirms with the findings of Jana et al. (1990). Sulphur fertilizer application increased number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). But there was no significant difference among the different doses of S fertilizer application. The lowest number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (62.82) was recorded in the control treatment. The present findings are in accordance with the observations of Dubey et al. (1997) who reported increase in seeds capsule<sup>-1</sup> of linseed with the application of sulphur. Saran and Giri (1990) also found similar results with the application of 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. The combined application of P and S fertilizers increased the number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> in soybean (Table 3). The highest number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (84.94) was recorded with P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically at par with P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. On the other hand, the lowest number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> (50.29) was recorded in the control treatment combination. The present observations are in accordance with the findings of Tomar et al. (2004) and Majumdar et al. (2001) who reported that combined application of P and S increased significantly the number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> in soybean. Application of nutrients particularly P and S in right proportion might have lead to balance development of vegetative and reproductive structure

which in turn resulted in formation of large number of seeds plant<sup>-1</sup>.

Phosphorus application increased test weight in soybean and the highest test weight (93.57 g) was recorded with the application of 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> which was statistical similar with that obtained from application of 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The result confirms with the findings of Reddy and Giri (1989). Similarly, S application also increased test weight and the maximum test weight (92.78 g) was recorded with 40 kg S application ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). These results are in agreement with the findings of Hemantarajan and Trivedi (1997), Agrawal and Mishra (1994) who also reported that S application increased test weight in soybean. The combined application of P and S further increased test weight and the highest test weight (94.61 g) was recorded with the treatment combination of 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). The control treatment (P<sub>0</sub>S<sub>0</sub>) recorded lowest test weight (86.98 g).

Significant variation was observed in the grain yield of soybean with different doses of phosphorus application (Table 1). The highest grain yield of soybean (2.046 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained in the treatment of 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> which was statistically at par with that obtained from 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest grain yield (1.518 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the P<sub>0</sub> treatment. These results are in agreement with the findings of Maurya and Rath (2000) who reported the increase in grain yield of soybean with the application of P and the highest grain yield was recorded with

Table 3: Interaction effect of P and S on the growth and yield of soybean

Treat- ments	Plant height (cm)	Number of pri- mary branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of seeds plant <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
P <sub>0</sub> S <sub>0</sub>	60.87 <sup>i</sup>	2.00 <sup>i</sup>	9.13 <sup>e</sup>	13 <sup>i</sup>	50.29 <sup>g</sup>	86.98 <sup>f</sup>	1.32 <sup>f</sup>	1.95 <sup>g</sup>	3.28 <sup>h</sup>
P <sub>0</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	62.60 <sup>g-i</sup>	2.33 <sup>hi</sup>	9.33 <sup>de</sup>	14.07 <sup>hi</sup>	55.88 <sup>fg</sup>	87.53 <sup>f</sup>	1.38 <sup>f</sup>	2.45 <sup>f</sup>	3.87 <sup>g</sup>
P <sub>0</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	65.53 <sup>d-f</sup>	2.80 <sup>fg</sup>	11.7 <sup>ab</sup>	14.67 <sup>h</sup>	50.99 <sup>g</sup>	89.43 <sup>e</sup>	1.62 <sup>e</sup>	2.82 <sup>c-e</sup>	4.45 <sup>ef</sup>
P <sub>0</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	67.25 <sup>b-d</sup>	2.71 <sup>f-h</sup>	11.0 <sup>a-d</sup>	16.57 <sup>g</sup>	59.65 <sup>e-g</sup>	90.54 <sup>de</sup>	1.73 <sup>de</sup>	2.67 <sup>e</sup>	4.40 <sup>f</sup>
P <sub>1</sub> S <sub>0</sub>	61.18 <sup>hi</sup>	2.66 <sup>gh</sup>	9.53 <sup>c-e</sup>	17.87 <sup>fg</sup>	61.51 <sup>e-g</sup>	87.37 <sup>f</sup>	1.44 <sup>f</sup>	2.50 <sup>f</sup>	3.94 <sup>g</sup>
P <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	63.46 <sup>f-h</sup>	3.13 <sup>d-f</sup>	10.6 <sup>b-e</sup>	19.23 <sup>f</sup>	66.12 <sup>d-f</sup>	90.39 <sup>de</sup>	1.84 <sup>d</sup>	2.82 <sup>c-e</sup>	4.67 <sup>cd</sup>
P <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	66.34 <sup>c-e</sup>	3.06 <sup>d-g</sup>	11.7 <sup>ab</sup>	25.77 <sup>d</sup>	70.34 <sup>b-e</sup>	92.63 <sup>a-c</sup>	2.08 <sup>bc</sup>	2.87 <sup>b-d</sup>	4.95 <sup>b</sup>
P <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	66.46 <sup>c-e</sup>	3.00 <sup>e-g</sup>	11.40 <sup>ab</sup>	26.83 <sup>cd</sup>	75.13 <sup>a-d</sup>	92.54 <sup>bc</sup>	2.06 <sup>c</sup>	3.01 <sup>ab</sup>	4.97 <sup>b</sup>
P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>0</sub>	64.74 <sup>d-g</sup>	2.80 <sup>fg</sup>	10.87 <sup>a-d</sup>	22.43 <sup>e</sup>	70.49 <sup>b-e</sup>	91.76 <sup>cd</sup>	1.77 <sup>de</sup>	2.86 <sup>b-d</sup>	4.71 <sup>cd</sup>
P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	66.25 <sup>c-e</sup>	3.40 <sup>c-e</sup>	11.67 <sup>ab</sup>	27.67 <sup>bc</sup>	80.76 <sup>ab</sup>	93.43 <sup>a-c</sup>	1.88 <sup>d</sup>	2.74 <sup>de</sup>	4.62 <sup>c-e</sup>
P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	67.29 <sup>b-d</sup>	3.93 <sup>ab</sup>	11.27 <sup>a-c</sup>	30.07 <sup>a</sup>	84.94 <sup>a</sup>	94.61 <sup>a</sup>	2.29 <sup>a</sup>	3.01 <sup>ab</sup>	5.30 <sup>a</sup>
P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	68.36 <sup>a-c</sup>	4.00 <sup>ab</sup>	11.57 <sup>ab</sup>	30.73 <sup>a</sup>	84.65 <sup>a</sup>	94.46 <sup>ab</sup>	2.22 <sup>ab</sup>	2.98 <sup>a-c</sup>	5.20 <sup>a</sup>
P <sub>3</sub> S <sub>0</sub>	64.50 <sup>e-g</sup>	3.03 <sup>d-g</sup>	10.27 <sup>b-e</sup>	23.53 <sup>e</sup>	68.29 <sup>c-e</sup>	90.28 <sup>de</sup>	1.76 <sup>de</sup>	2.74 <sup>de</sup>	4.50 <sup>d-f</sup>
P <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	69.52 <sup>ab</sup>	3.43 <sup>cd</sup>	11.23 <sup>a-c</sup>	27.13 <sup>cd</sup>	78.95 <sup>a-c</sup>	93.18 <sup>a-c</sup>	1.88 <sup>d</sup>	2.94 <sup>a-c</sup>	4.79 <sup>bc</sup>
P <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	69.65 <sup>ab</sup>	4.16 <sup>a</sup>	11.47 <sup>ab</sup>	29.20 <sup>ab</sup>	83.69 <sup>a</sup>	93.82 <sup>ab</sup>	2.27 <sup>a</sup>	3.06 <sup>a</sup>	5.23 <sup>a</sup>
P <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	70.35 <sup>a</sup>	3.73 <sup>bc</sup>	12.53 <sup>a</sup>	27.40 <sup>cd</sup>	82.18 <sup>ab</sup>	93.58 <sup>a-c</sup>	2.22 <sup>ab</sup>	2.99 <sup>ab</sup>	5.18 <sup>a</sup>

In a column figures having similar letter(s) do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per DMRT



60 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> Sulphur fertilizer application also increased grain yield of soybean (Table 2) and the highest grain yield was recorded in S<sub>2</sub> (20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>3</sub> (40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatments which were at par. Sriramachandrasekharan et al. (2004) found highest grain yield with the application of 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation. Sangale et al. (2004) observed that application of S @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> gave highest seed yield. Mohanti et al. (2004) found similar results with the application of 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. Tomar et al. (2000) reported the highest seed yield (2257 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with the application of 50 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> regardless of sources. The combined application of P and S further enhanced seed yield of soybean (Table 3) and the highest grain yield of soybean (2.29 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> treatment combination (30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically at similar performance with P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> treatment. Whereas, the lowest grain yield of soybean (1.32 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the P<sub>0</sub>S<sub>0</sub> treatment combination. The present studies are in accordance with the findings of Tomar et al. (2004) and Majumdar et al. (2001) who reported greater increase in grain yield of soybean with combined application of phosphorus and sulphur.

Application of different doses of phosphorus increased stover yield of soybean significantly (Table 1). The highest stover yield of soybean (2.94 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> whereas, lowest stover yield (2.47 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in no phosphorus application treatment. The findings are in agreement with the results obtained by Sacchidanand et al. (1980) who observed that straw yields of soybean increased with the application of P @ 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, application of sulphur increased stover yield of soybean and the highest stover yield of soybean (2.942 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> which was statistically at par with that obtained from 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> application (Table 2). Similar findings were reported by Tomar et al. (1995) who observed that straw yields of mustard increased with increasing S application rates. The stover yield got further increased with the combined application of phosphorus and sulphur and the highest stover yield was recorded with combined application of 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> which was closely followed by P<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> treatment (50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>). On the other hand, the lowest stover yield of soybean (1.9533 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the control treatment. These findings agree with the observation made by Tomar et al. (2004) and Majumdar et al. (2001) who reported that straw yield of soybean increased significantly with the combined application of phosphorus and sulphur in increasing rate.

Application of different doses of phosphorus caused significant variation in biological yield of soybean (Table 1). The highest biological yield of soybean (4.964 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with P<sub>2</sub> treatment (30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically at par with that obtained from P<sub>3</sub> treatment (50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest

biological yield (4.003 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the control treatment. Different doses of sulphur fertilizer application increased biological yield of soybean (Table 2) and the highest biological yield of soybean (4.986 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from the application of 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> the lowest biological yield of soybean (3.112 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the control treatment. The combined application of different doses of P and S fertilizers further increased biological yield of soybean (Table 3) and the highest biological yield of soybean (5.307 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the treatment combination of P<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was statistically at par with P<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>+40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>). On the other hand, the lowest biological yield of soybean (3.280 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the control treatment.

#### 4. Conclusion

Combined application of 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> may be recommended for getting higher grain yield of soybean because of their synergistic effect on yield attributing characters and grain yield. It is wise and economic to use the optimum dose of phosphorus and sulphur for maximum yield of Soybean.

#### 5. Reference

- Agrawal, H.P., Mishra, A.K., 1994. Sulphur nutrition of soybean communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 25(9-10), 1303-1312.
- Aulakh, M.S., Sidhu, B.S., Arora, B.R., Singh, B., 1990. Contents and uptake of nutrients by pulses and oil seed crops. Indian Journal of Ecology 12, 238-242.
- BARI (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute) 2007. Oil Seed Research Center, BARI, Gazipur, 57.
- Bothe, D.T., Sabale, R.N., Raundal, P.U., 2000. Effect of phosphorus, plant population and P-Solubilizer on soybean-fenugreek cropping system. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities 25(3), 310-311.
- Chaubey, A.K., Singhand, S.B., Kaushik, M.K., 2000. Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) to source and level of sulphur fertilizer in mid western plains of Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal of Agronomy 45(1), 166-169.
- Dubey, S.D., Shukla, P., Tiwari, S.P., 1997. Effect of S fertilizer on growth yield of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 67(11), 539-540.
- Fageria, N.K., Zimmanman, F.J.P., Batgar, V.C., 1995. Lime and praterathon on growth and nutrient uptake by upland rice, wheat, common bean and corn in an Oxisol. Journal of Plant Nutretion 18, 2519-2532.
- FAO, 2009. FAO yearbook production. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 54, 115.
- Fehr, W.R., Robbelin, G., Downey, R.K., Ashri, A.Mc., 1989. Soybean, Oil crops of the world. McGraw-Hill publishing



- Company, London.
- Franco, A.A., 1978. Contribution of the legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis to the ecosystem and food production. In: Burries, R.H., Hollander, A. (Eds.), Limitations and potentials for biological nitrogen fixation. Plenum Press, New York, 65-74.
- Gomez, K.A., Gomez, A.A., 1984. Statistical procedure for Agricultural Research. International Rice Research Institute, John. Wiley and Sons. New York, Ckickester, Brisbane, Toronto, Singgapore, 139-240.
- Gowda, C.L.L., Kaul, A.K., 1982. Pulses in Bangladesh, BARI and FAO publication, 338-407.
- Hemantarajan, A., Trivedi, S.K., 1997. Growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max*. L. Merr) as influenced by sulphur. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology 2(4), 304-306.
- Hussain. S.G., 1990. Sulphur in Bangladesh Agriculture. Sulphur in Agriculture 14, 25-28.
- James, W.J., Shrikant, S.J., Kenneth, J.B., 1999. Climate change: Implication for soybean and yield and management in the USA. University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.
- Jana, P.K., Ghatak, S., Barik, A., Biswas, B.C., Souda, G., Mukherjee, A.K., 1990. Response of summer groundnut to phosphorus and potassium. Indian Journal of Agronomy 16, 60-63.
- Kakar, K.M., Tariq, M., Taj, F.H., Nawab, K., 2002. Phosphorous use efficiency of Soybean as affected by phosphorous application and inoculation. Pakistan Journal of Agronomy 1(1), 49-50.
- Majumdar, B., Venkatesh, M.S., Lal, B., Kumar, K., 2001. Response of soybean (*Glycine max*) to phosphorus and sulphur in acid alfisol of Meghalaya. Indian Journal of Agronomy 46(3), 500-505.
- Maurya, B.M., Rath, K.S., 2000. Growth and development of soybean as influenced by intercropping with pigeon pea and phosphorus level. Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal 26(1), 1-5.
- Mohanti, A.K., Sunil, K., Jha, S.K., Sanjeev, M., Chandrakar, B.L., 2004. Effect of different level of sulphur and boron on morpho-physiological growth and economics of soybean (*Glycine max*). Plant Archives 4(2), 375-377.
- Nagar, R.P., Mai, G.C., Lal, P., 1993. Effect of P and S on yield and chemical composition of soybean in vertisols. Indian Journal Soil Science 4, 385-386.
- Purseglove, J.W., 1984. Tropical crops-Dicotyledones vol. 1&2 combined. Longman group limited, England, 265-273.
- Rao, A.S., Ganeshmurthy. A.N., 1994. Soybean response to applied P and S on Vertic Ustochrepts in relation to available P and S. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science 2, 606-610.
- Reddy, P.R.N., Giri, G., 1989. Response of soybean varieties to plant density and P. Indian Journal of Agronomy 33(4), 515-516.
- Sacchidanand, B., Sawaker, N.J., Ghesraya, R.S., Shinde, D.A., Sinha, S.B., 1980. Response of soybean to S and P level and inoculation with *Rhizobium* on nodulation, leghaemoglobin content and nitrogen uptake in fodder cowpea legume Research 12(1), 17-30.
- Sangale, R.V., Sonar, K.R., 2004. Yield and quality of soybean as influenced by sulphur application. Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture Universities, 29(1), 117-118.
- Singh, V.K., Bajpai, R.R., 1990. Effect of P on the growth and yield of rainfed soybean. Indian Journal of Agronomy 35(3), 310-311.
- Sriramachandrasekharan, M.V., Muthukkaruppan, S.M., 2004. Effect of sulphur and Rhizobium on biological nitrogen fixation, nutrient uptake and seed yield of soybean. Journal of Ecobiology 16(3), 235-236.
- Tabatabai, M.A., 1986. Sulphur in Agriculture. Agronomy Monograph Series No. 27. Madison, Washington, U.S.A.
- Tomar, R.K.S., Chaurasia, S.C., Aghes, J.S., 1995. Effect of N and S on nitrogen concentration, yield and oil content of mustard on vertical-irrigated condition. Advances in Agricultural Research in India 4, 153-160.
- Tomar, S.S., Singh, R., Singh, S.P., 2004. Response of phosphorus, sulphur and rhizobium inoculation on growth, yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Progressive Agriculture 4(1), 72-73.
- Tomar, U.S., Badaya, A.K., Tomar, I.S., Vani, D.K., Ambavatia, G.R., 2000. Influence of different levels and sources of sulphur on growth and productivity of soybean. Crop Research 19(1), 142-143.