



Effect of *Bekang*, Naturally Fermented Soyabean Food, as Alternative to Antibiotic Growth Promoter on Feed Efficiency, Blood Biochemical and Gut Health Parameters of Broiler Birds

Rajat Buragohain¹  and Suraj Das²

¹Dept. of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Sciences & Animal Husbandry, Central Agricultural University (Imphal), Selesih, Aizawl, Mizoram (796 015), India

²Dept. of Animal Nutrition College of Veterinary Sciences & Animal Husbandry, Selesih, Aizawl, Mizoram (796 015), India

 Open Access

Corresponding  dr Rajat57@gmail.com

 0000-0001-8140-6480

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted during the month of October-November, 2021 to assess effect of *Bekang*, naturally fermented soyabean food of Mizoram, on feed efficiency and gut health parameters of broilers as alternative to AGP. Total 240 day-old Vencobb-400 broiler chicks were assigned six dietary treatments dividing into six homogenous groups – standard rations (T_1), standard rations with AGP (Bacitracin Methylene Disalicylate) @ 0.5 g kg⁻¹ (T_2), standard ration with fermented feed by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (10^6 – 10^8 CFU g⁻¹) @ 100 g kg⁻¹ (T_3), and standard rations with *Bekang* @ 50 g kg⁻¹ (T_4), 75 g kg⁻¹ (T_5) and 100 g kg⁻¹ (T_6), respectively. Findings revealed significant effect of *Bekang* on body weight gain at 42nd day of age, feed conversion ratio and economic return score of broilers. No significant effect was observed on blood biochemical parameters. Histomorphological studies revealed significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased villi length, width, and decreased crypt depth in all parts of small intestine for *Bekang* supplementation. Caecal bacterial counts revealed increasing trend of *lactic acid bacteria* and decreased *Salmonella* and *E. coli* counts in T_4 , T_5 and T_6 compared to T_1 , T_2 and T_3 . An increasing trend of antibody titre against NDV on 35th day of age indicated improvement of immune status in *Bekang* supplemented birds. From the findings, it was concluded that *Bekang* could be a potential alternative to AGP and could be recommended at a level of 50 g to 100 g kg⁻¹ in rations of broiler birds.

KEYWORDS: *Bekang*, additive, AGP, feed efficiency, gut health, broilers

Citation (VANCOUVER): Buragohain and Das, Effect of *Bekang*, Naturally Fermented Soyabean Food, as Alternative to Antibiotic Growth Promoter on Feed Efficiency, Blood Biochemical and Gut Health Parameters of Broiler Birds. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 2024; 15(12), 01-09. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/1.2024.5751](https://doi.org/10.23910/1.2024.5751).

Copyright: © 2024 Buragohain and Das. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, that permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium after the author(s) and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Legal restrictions are imposed on the public sharing of raw data. However, authors have full right to transfer or share the data in raw form upon request subject to either meeting the conditions of the original consents and the original research study. Further, access of data needs to meet whether the user complies with the ethical and legal obligations as data controllers to allow for secondary use of the data outside of the original study.

Conflict of interests: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

RECEIVED on 01st September 2024

RECEIVED in revised form on 24th November 2024

ACCEPTED in final form on 10th December 2024

PUBLISHED on 24th December 2024

1. INTRODUCTION

With 8–10% annual growth rate, poultry farming business is emerging as one of India's fastest-growing business in agricultural sectors (Borah and Halim, 2014). Broiler production accounts nearly 90% of total output (USD 28.18 billion) from poultry sector in India (Soundararajan, 2023). Producing 8.8 million tons of meat per annum, India is 8th in world chicken meat production (Anonymous, 2022–23). With growing consumer demands and importance for high-quality protein for healthy life (Anonymous, 2009; Mottet and Tempio, 2017), need for increasing the production efficiency has become the paramount important for poultry sector (Zampiga et al., 2021). The wide gap between demand and supply of feed resources has compelled the poultry sector to search for feed resources and feeding strategies which can increase feed efficiency of poultry (Rinttila and Apajalahti, 2013).

Contributing nearly 2/3rd of total expenditure, feeding cost and profitability are negatively correlated and feed efficiency is the principal contributor to profitability of broiler production (Aljebory and Naji, 2021). Farmers supplement AGPs to improve feed efficiency in broilers as they improve gut health and prevent sub-clinical infections favoring nutrient digestibility and growth performance. AGPs favorably modify gut microbial population eliminating or inhibiting pathogenic organisms reducing morbidity and mortality to diseases (Salaheen et al., 2017; Paul et al., 2022). However, during the recent decades, it has been realized that AGPs have many negative effects including the development of antimicrobial resistance and health hazards to consumers for residues in the meat and meat products. Considering disadvantages, European Union had banned AGPs in the rations of livestock and poultry since 1st January 2006 and thereafter, researches were trying to find out suitable alternatives throughout the world (Yadav et al., 2016; Mehdi et al., 2018; Attia et al., 2023). Ban has negatively affected broiler production for increased disease incidence and mortality. Fermented feed is one of the promising alternatives to AGPs for its multifaceted beneficial effects. The lactic acid bacteria and organic acids (Wang et al., 2018 and Jazi et al., 2018) of fermented feed promote gut health by preventing the growth and multiplication of pathogenic bacteria and thereby enhancing nutrient utilization and growth performance (Shabani et al., 2019) of broiler birds. Soumeh et al. (2019), recorded improved performance in broilers for feeding fermented soyabean. Similar observations were also reported by Tang et al. (2012), Kim et al. (2016) and Premathilaka et al. (2020) in broilers. Nutrient digestibility enhanced for feeding fermented feed (Drazbo et al., 2018, Ruei et al., 2018) for improved gut health (Chiang et al., 2010, Zhang et al., 2016, Emami et

al., 2020) without affecting blood biochemical parameters (Sugiharto et al., 2016, Chachaj et al., 2019) in broilers.

In NE states of India, indigenous people prepare grain-based fermented foods for day-to-day consumption and marketing. The fermented foods contain beneficial LAB and organic acids with inherent food components having potentiality to be exploited as alternative to AGPs. *Bekang* is one of such soyabean-based indigenous fermented food product prepared by ethnic communities of NE states. It is a naturally fermented soyabean food which is prepared from small-sized, dry seeds of soybean (Tamang, 2010). As reported *Bekang* contained 7 different species of *Bacillus* (8.4 log CFU g⁻¹) and LAB (4.0 log CFU g⁻¹) along with yeast (Tamang, 2015) for which *Bekang* may be considered as a good source of probiotics bacteria. In the present study, therefore, an attempt was made to utilize *Bekang* as probiotics source alternative to AGP i.e. Bacitracin Methylene Disalicylate (BMD) in the rations of broiler birds to study the effects on feed efficiency and gut health parameters.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study location, duration and IAEC approval

The experiment was carried out at the Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Sciences & Animal Husbandry, Central Agricultural University (Imphal), Selesih, Aizawl, Mizoram for a period of 42 days. The study was approved by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) registered under CPCSEA via approval reference number CVSC/CAU/IAEC/20-21/P-26.

2.2. Design of the experiment

Two hundred forty-one-day old Vennco 400 broiler chicks were distributed randomly into six groups following completely randomized block design (T₁ to T₆). They were assigned the following dietary treatments:

T₁: Standard rations formulated as per Anonymous (2007). T₂: Standard rations with antibiotics growth promoter (Bacitracin Methylene Disalicylate) @ 0.5 g kg⁻¹ ration. T₃: Standard rations with fermented feed by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (10⁶–10⁸ CFU g⁻¹) @ 100 g kg⁻¹ ration. T₄: Standard rations with *Bekang* @ 50 g kg⁻¹ ration. T₅: Standard rations with *Bekang* @ 75 g kg⁻¹ ration. T₆: Standard rations with *Bekang* @ 100 g kg⁻¹ ration.

2.3. Ingredient and nutritional composition of standard rations, preparation of fermented feed and composition of *Bekang*

The ingredient and nutritional composition of the standard rations are presented in table 1.

The '*Bekang*' was procured from Aizawl city market, Mizoram. The average dry matter, crude protein, ether

Table 1: Ingredient and nutritional composition (%) in standard rations (Anonymous, 2007).

Items	Pre-starter	Starter	Finisher
Ingredient %			
Yellow Maize	55	55	59
Rice polish	0	0	0
Soyabean meal	31	28	24
Ground nut cake (SE)	10	11	10
Vegetable oil	1	3	4
Dicalcium phosphate	1	1	1
Lime stone powder	1.1	1.1	1.15
Common salt	0.3	0.3	0.3
L- Lysine	0.25	0.25	0.2
DL- Methionine	0.2	0.2	0.2
Coccidiostat	0.04	0.04	0.04
Toxin binder	0.03	0.03	0.03
Trace mineral-vitamin mixture*	0.07	0.07	0.07
Anti-oxidant	0.01	0.01	0.01
Nutrient composition			
Crude protein (%)	23.10	22.17	20.31
ME(Kcal kg ⁻¹)*	3013	3128	3236
Lysine (%)*	1.43	1.17	1.02
Methionine (%)*	0.51	0.49	0.44
Calcium (%)	1.02	1.05	1.03
Phosphorous (%)	0.73	0.71	0.73

* Vitamin A- 7,00,000 IU, Vitamin D3- 70,000 IU, Vitamin E- 250 mg, Zinc- 9600 mg, Magnesium- 6000 mg, Manganese- 1500 mg, Iron- 1500 mg, Copper- 1200 mg, Iodine- 325 mg, DL-Methionine- 1000 mg, Cobalt- 150 mg, Potassium- 100 mg, Sodium- 5.9 mg, Calcium- 25.5%, Phosphorus- 12.75%, Sulphur- 0.72% (per kg)

extract, crude fibre, total ash and nitrogen free extract (%) of *Bekang* were 28.50, 36.75, 27.04, 5.85, 4.37 and 25.99, respectively (on dry matter basis).

For preparation of fermented feed, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* freeze-dried culture (Hi-media, India) was procured and revived following standard procedure and was sub-cultured in De Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) broth. 100 ml of 24 hrs. old culture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* was inoculated in 1 kg of moist standard ration (Ration and water, 1:1 w/w) and was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The fermented feed was dried under the sun till moisture (%) came down to about 12%. Presence of viable bacteria in the fermented dried feed was ascertained by counting the bacteria and

was found to be in the range 10⁶ to 10⁹ cfu g⁻¹ of feed.

2.4. Housing and feeding management

The chicks were housed on deep litter system. For the first 10 days, the chicks were housed in well-ventilated battery brooder and from 8th day onwards, they were housed in deep litter house partitioning into 24 pens providing floor space of 1.5×1.5 ft² per bird. Rice husk and saw dust were used as litter materials (1:1). Plastic wire nets were used for making the pens for different replicates. Feeds and water were offered *ad libitum* keeping daily feed intake record. Vaccination and deworming were done as per standard schedules. Twenty-four hours light was provided. Strict biosecurity was maintained throughout the feeding period.

2.5. Calculation of feed efficiency

Feed efficiency was calculated as feed conversion ratio (FCR) and economic return score (ERS). Feed efficiency was calculated at weekly interval by dividing the average total feed intake by the average body weight gain in a particular week.

The ERS was calculated by the following formula:

$$ERS = (\text{Livability \%} \times \text{Average final weight (kg)}) / (\text{Age of birds} \times \text{FCR} \times \text{Cost of feed (₹ kg}^{-1}) \times 100$$

2.6. Studies on blood biochemical parameters

Blood was collected on 21th and 42nd day of trial from five birds of each treatment. Around 2 ml of blood was drawn from the wing vein in a vacutainer containing clot activator and the serum was separated by centrifugation at 3000rpm for 5 minutes and stored at -20°C until further analysis. The biochemical parameters namely, serum glucose, serum total protein, albumin, globulin, serum total cholesterol, HDH and LDL cholesterol, serum AST and ALT, total urea and serum calcium were estimated using commercial analytical kits of Coral Clinical Systems, India.

2.7. Studies on gut health parameters

2.7.1. Histo-morphological studies of small intestine

Five birds from each treatment were slaughtered on 42nd day of age. About 2 to 3 cm of duodenum, jejunum and ileum were removed and washed with 0.9% saline to remove the contents. Gut segments were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin. The samples were dehydrated, cleared, and paraffin embedded and stained with Delafield's Hematoxylin and Eosin, the tissue slides were stained, and mounted on distend plasticiser xylene based on protocol described by Incharoen et al. (2009). All measurements were taken in Advanced Olympus trinocular research microscope to measure the villus height, villus width and crypt depth.

2.7.2. Caecal bacterial counts

For caecal bacterial counts, caecal samples were enumerated for *Lactic acid bacteria* (LAB), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* by pour plate method. Caecal contents were collected aseptically on 42nd day of age from 5 birds of each treatment at the time of slaughtering the birds for histo-morphological studies. The caecal contents were kept at 4°C on the day of collection until processing for bacteriological count. About 10 g fresh caecal content was mixed with sterile normal saline (1:10 w/v), vortexed for 3–4 minutes and the supernatant was used for microbial counting. The filtrate was serially diluted to 10¹⁰ with normal saline. For LAB, *E. coli*, and *Salmonella*, MRS (Hi-Media), EMB (Hi-Media) and SS agar (Hi-Media) were used, respectively. The relevant agar plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h and the colonies were counted as colony forming unit (cfu g⁻¹ caecal content) and expressed as log₁₀ cfu g⁻¹ of caecal content.

2.7.3. Studies on immunity

Immunity of the birds was assessed by haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test at 35th day of age against new castle disease vaccine. Blood samples were collected from 5 birds of each treatment at 35th day of age and haemagglutination inhibition test (HI) was performed following OIE protocol (Anonymous, 2012).

2.8. Statistical analysis

The data were analysed by one-way ANOVA as per the procedure described by Snedecor and Cochran (1994). Probability values $p \leq 0.05$ were declared as significant and the values of $0.05 < p \leq 0.10$ were declared as trend. When treatment effect was found to be significant, the differences among the treatment means were identified using Duncan's test.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Growth and feed efficiency of broiler birds

There was significant ($p < 0.01$) effect of *Bekang* on

growth performance of broilers indicated by significantly improved average daily gain in body weight and weight at 42nd day of age, and also significantly better ($p < 0.01$) feed conversion ratio (FCR) and economic return score (ERS) at 42nd day of age (Table 2). The body weight at 42nd day of age, FCR and ERS were significantly better ($p < 0.01$) in all *Bekang* supplemented groups than AGP supplemented group. FCR was non-significant between fermented feed and *Bekang* supplemented groups, but body weight at 42nd day of age and FRS was significantly higher ($p < 0.01$) in the later. The significantly favourable effect of *Bekang* on growth and feed efficiency might be for probiotics and anti-oxidative properties as *Bekang* contained numerous *Bacillus* (8.4 log CFU g⁻¹), *Lactic acid bacteria* (4.0 log CFU g⁻¹), and yeast, and had DPPH scavenging (477.2 g ml⁻¹) and ABTS radical scavenging activity (158.9 g ml⁻¹) (Tamang et al., 2015).

3.2. Effect of *Bekang* on blood biochemical parameters

Analysis of blood serum on 21st and 42nd days of age for biochemical parameters, namely the serum glucose, total protein, albumin, globulin, serum total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, serum urea, calcium, AST and ALT revealed no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between the groups indicating that supplementation of *Bekang* did not have any adverse effects on health of the broilers (Table 3). Similar non-significant effects of fermented feeds were also reported by Apata et al. (2011), Teng et al. (2017), Ruei et al. (2018) and Drazbo et al. (2018) in broilers. Serum LDL cholesterol level showed decreasing trend in the *Bekang* supplemented groups compared to control which might be an indication of positive effect of supplementing *Bekang* in broilers.

3.3. Effect of *Bekang* on gut histomorphology of broiler birds

The small intestine of birds plays significant role in nutrient digestion and assimilation. Intestinal development can be evaluated through measurement of the crypt depth (CD), villus length (VL) and width (VW), and surface area.

Table 2: Performance parameters of broilers supplemented with *Bekang*

Parameters	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	SEm/ <i>p</i> -value
BW at day ⁻¹ (g)	39.62± 0.03	39.60± 0.04	39.28± 0.12	39.61± 0.33	39.62± 0.20	39.63± 0.22	0.06/0.71 ^{NS}
BW at 42 nd day (g)	1798.10 ^a ± 2.27	1898.70 ^b ± 3.29	2189.10 ^c ± 4.10	2195.00 ^c ± 16.70	2234.20 ^d ± 3.79	2243.40 ^d ± 7.16	36.91/<0.01 ^{**}
FCR	1.93 ^a ± 0.01	1.92± 0.01	1.73± 0.01	1.71b± 0.01	1.69 ^b ± 0.01	1.70 ^b ± 0.01	0.04/<0.01 ^{**}
ERS	4.84 ^a ± 0.01	5.03± 0.01	7.11 ^{cd} ± 0.02	7.09 ^c ± 0.05	7.19 ^{de} ± 0.01	7.21 ^e ± 0.02	0.22/<0.01 ^{**}

BW: Body weight, FCR: Feed conversion ratio, ERS: Economic return score, Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a row differs significantly [NS: Non-significant, * means ($p < 0.05$), ** means ($p < 0.01$)]

Table 3: Effect of *Bekang* on blood biochemical parameters

Day	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	p-value/SEm
Serum glucose (mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	225.66±5.70	225.45±7.64	225.73±7.37	236.73±13.07	216.75±3.24	239.30±3.62	3.62/0.54 ^{NS}
42	222.15±1.67	225.84±6.32	220.87±2.53	237.08±15.15	231.60±13.49	231.87±16.75	4.06/0.89 ^{NS}
Serum total protein(mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	3.49±0.02	3.74±0.15	3.65±0.26	3.66±0.08	3.77±0.33	3.20±0.13	0.08/0.39 ^{NS}
42	3.81±0.33	3.75±0.19	3.51±0.09	3.70±0.60	3.59±0.26	3.56±0.20	0.76/0.56
Serum albumin (mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	1.12±0.14	1.12±0.04	1.21±0.14	1.30±0.14	1.18±0.11	1.10±0.04	0.04/0.83 ^{NS}
42	1.45±0.27	1.16±0.12	1.19±0.06	1.22±0.07	1.35±0.75	1.30±0.17	0.06/0.78 ^{NS}
Serum globulin (mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	2.37±0.12	2.61±0.18	2.44±0.12	2.36±0.16	2.59±0.29	2.31±0.12	0.06/0.76 ^{NS}
42	2.45±0.15	2.39±0.24	2.31±0.05	2.48±0.20	2.28±0.14	2.27±0.70	0.63/0.16 ^{NS}
Serum total cholesterol (mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	110.10±1.74	109.78±2.59	109.10±1.18	108.88±3.71	117.74±3.31	110.00±3.78	1.24/0.30 ^{NS}
42	108.17±4.23	107.80±2.65	109.52±3.81	113.80±3.85	114.90±4.29	114.25±4.16	1.51/0.62 ^{NS}
Serum LDL cholesterol (mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	16.37±1.80	13.97±2.01	12.80±2.38	13.51±1.99	14.72±3.87	14.34±0.33	1.51/0.91 ^{NS}
42	18.60±2.33	18.79±1.17	17.05±1.81	18.44±2.30	12.97±6.39	17.00±2.98	1.23/0.81 ^{NS}
Serum HDL cholesterol (mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	83.72±0.3	84.68±2.96	88.58±3.88	88.98±2.94	89.68±2.94	88.16±3.15	1.09/0.56 ^{NS}
42	83.73±0.39	82.18±1.60	83.71±1.45	90.59±1.91	89.23±3.33	90.69±2.93	1.13/0.64 ^{NS}
Urea (mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	6.91±0.05	7.13±0.21	7.18±0.26	6.93±0.04	7.08±0.95	6.97±0.15	0.05/0.63 ^{NS}
42	7.10±0.10	7.01±0.18	7.05±0.03	7.19±0.19	6.95±0.18	7.09±0.06	0.52/0.88 ^{NS}
Serum calcium (mg dl ⁻¹)							
21	8.87±0.86	8.31±0.61	8.72±1.16	9.32±0.71	9.34±0.87	9.51±0.95	0.31/0.92 ^{NS}
42	9.55±1.10	10.89±0.89	10.34±1.31	10.88±0.72	10.29±1.31	10.27±1.31	0.40/0.96 ^{NS}
AST (I U ⁻¹)							
21	159.91±1.52	158.22±0.62	154.10±5.13	157.79±0.97	148.60±1.13	148.77±4.25	1.46/0.58 ^{NS}
42	161.97±1.03	158.28±1.79	156.66±3.81	153.93±5.66	151.55±3.66	153.43±4.33	1.52/0.54 ^{NS}
ALT (I U ⁻¹)							
21	5.01±0.14	5.07±0.13	5.67±0.35	5.25±0.25	4.89±0.50	4.73±0.31	0.12/0.52 ^{NS}
42	4.45±0.29	3.75±0.31	3.75±0.31	3.99±0.22	4.00±0.19	4.05±0.23	0.10/0.51 ^{NS}

Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a row differs significantly [NS: Non-significant, * means ($p < 0.05$), ** means ($p < 0.01$)]

Significantly ($p < 0.01$) higher villi length of duodenum was recorded in T₆ followed by T₂, T₃, T₅, T₄ and T₁ (Figure 1). There was no significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in villi width between T₄, T₅ and T₆, but was significantly higher than T₁, T₂ and T₃. No significant difference ($p > 0.05$) was

recorded between treatments for crypt depth and villi length: crypt depth ratio. But, compared to T₁, crypt depth was less in other treatments. Crypt dept was also less in T₄, T₅, and T₆ than T₁, T₂ and T₃. In the jejunum and ileum also, villi length was significantly ($p < 0.01$) more in

Bekang supplemented groups (T_4 , T_5 and T_6) than T_1 and T_2 (Figure 2 and 3). The villi width was comparatively more in *Bekang* supplemented groups. There was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in crypt depth among the groups, but was observed to be comparatively less in *Bekang* supplemented groups.




Figure 1: Histomorphology of duodenum (μm)




Figure 2: Histomorphology of jejunum (μm)




Figure 3: Histomorphology of ileum (μm)

Better villi length contributes to more absorptive ability of small intestine (Sayan et al., 2018). Positive effect of *Bekang* might be for LAB which could produce short chain fatty acids to stimulate epithelial cells and enterocytes and increased the villi length. Xu et al. (2012), reported that soybean meal fermented with *Bacillus licheniformis* enhanced ($p<0.05$) villus length and villus length to crypt depth ratio in the duodenum and jejunum of small

intestine. Similar findings were also reported by Saad et al. (2016) in birds fed fermented feed and Teng et al. (2017) in broilers fed fermented wheat bran with *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. The villus height to crypt depth ratio is an effective measure of small intestine's absorption capacity. When villus height to crypt depth ratio increases maximal digestion and absorption occurs. Increased villi height and villus height to crypt depth ratio in the present study might be associated with increased beneficial LAB changing intestinal morphology in favourable way.

3.4. Immune status of the broilers

The immune status evaluated by assessing the antibody titre against Newcastle Disease Virus (HI titre Log_{10}) of T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 , T_5 and T_6 were estimated as 0.85 ± 0.02 , 0.87 ± 0.08 , 0.90 ± 0.02 , 0.91 ± 0.02 , 0.92 ± 0.02 and 0.93 ± 0.02 , respectively. There was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) among treatments, but an increasing trend was observed in the *Bekang* supplemented groups. Feeding of fermented meal was known to boost the antibody concentration and T-cells of birds (Xi-Jie et al., 2007). LAB, particularly *Lactobacilli*, stimulates production of Th2 cytokines including IL-4 and IL-10 which increase B-cell growth and Ig isotype switching, both of which are necessary for antibody production. Beside antibody-mediated immune response in chickens, giving fermented diets had been shown to boost cell-mediated immune responses (Gao et al., 2009 and Xi-Jie et al., 2007) which is due to increased LAB concentration in fermented feeds. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* had a direct impact on Th1-cell immunity by modifying the numbers and activity of antigen-specific T-lymphocytes (Parvinder and Aruna, 2012) and/or could have an indirect influence on T-lymphocyte activity by stimulating other cell types, such as phagocytes.

3.5. Caecal microbial count

No significant difference ($p>0.05$) was observed in caecal *Lactic acid bacteria* (LAB), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* count at 42nd day of age (Table 4). LAB count was comparatively higher in *Bekang* supplemented groups with the highest value recorded in T_5 ($7.12\pm0.28 \log_{10} \text{CFU g}^{-1}$). In T_5 and T_6 , *Salmonella* counts were lesser than other groups. Lowest *E. coli* count was recorded T_3 followed by

Table 4: Caecal microbial counts ($\log_{10} \text{CFU g}^{-1}$) of broilers at 42nd days of age

Bacteria	T_1	T_2	T_3	T_4	T_5	T_6	SEM/ p -value
LAB	6.74 ± 0.16	6.66 ± 0.20	6.91 ± 0.18	6.82 ± 0.32	7.12 ± 0.28	6.8 ± 0.29	$0.92/0.83^{\text{NS}}$
<i>Salmonella</i>	6.62 ± 0.31	6.63 ± 0.01	6.64 ± 0.22	6.66 ± 0.34	6.54 ± 0.23	6.59 ± 0.20	$0.85/0.99^{\text{NS}}$
<i>E. coli</i>	6.91 ± 0.28	6.64 ± 0.54	6.44 ± 0.32	6.58 ± 0.34	6.94 ± 0.24	6.63 ± 0.25	$0.10/0.75^{\text{NS}}$

Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a row differs significantly [NS: Non-significant, * means ($p<0.05$), ** means ($p<0.01$)]

T₄ and T₆, but were comparable with other groups without any significant difference. Higher concentrations of lactic acid and low pH in fermented and *Bekang* supplemented feeds might have suppressed the development of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* (Murry et al., 2004 and Niba et al., 2009).

4. CONCLUSION

Bekang could be exploited as alternatives to antibiotics growth promoters in the rations of broiler birds and could be recommended at a level of 50 g to 100 g kg⁻¹ of ration.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Vice-Chancellor, Central Agricultural University (Imphal) for financial support and facilities to carry out the study successfully.

6. REFERENCE

Aljebory, H.H.D., Naji, S.A.H., 2021. Effect of pelleted fermented feed-in egg quality of laying hens. *Diyala Agricultural Sciences Journal* 13(1), 41–57.

Anonymous, 2007. Bureau of Indian Standard (BIS), Poultry Feeds Specification, 5th revised edition, New Delhi, IS 1374.

Anonymous, 2012. Office International Des Epizootics. Fowl Typhoid and Pullorum Disease. OIE Terrestrial Manual, Chapter 2.3.11. Available from: <https://www.woah.org/en/what-we-do/standards/codes-and-manuals/terrestrial-manual-online-access/> Accessed on: 22.10.2021.

Anonymous, 2020. FAO, 2020. Faostat. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. Accessed on: 1 November 2020. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/>.

Anonymous, 2022–23. Economic survey (2022–23), Publication division, Ministry of information and broadcasting, Government of India, New Delhi. Available from: <https://www.indiabudget.gov.in/economicsurvey/doc/echapter.pdf>. Accessed on: 16.06.2024.

Apata, D.F., 2011. Effect of Terminalia catappa fruit meal fermented by *Aspergillus niger* as replacement of maize on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and serum biochemical profile of broiler chickens. *Biotechnological Research International* 11, 1–6.

Attia, Y.A., Basiouni, S., Abdulsalam, N.M., Bovera, F., Aboshok, A.A., Shehata, A.A., Hafez, H.M., 2023. Alternative to antibiotic growth promoters: beneficial effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and/or *Lactobacillus acidophilus* supplementation on the growth performance and sustainability of broilers'

production. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 10, 1259426.

Borah, M., Halim, R.A., 2014. Dynamics and performance of livestock and poultry sector in India: A temporal analysis. *Journal of Academia and Industrial Research* 3(1), 1–9.

Chachaj, R., Sembratowicz, I., Krauze, M., Stepniowska, A., Rusinek-Prystupa, E., Czech, A., Matuszewicz, P., Ognik, K., 2019. The effect of fermented soybean meal on performance, biochemical and immunological blood parameters in turkeys. *Annals of Animal Science* 19(4), 1035–1049.

Chiang, G., Lu, W.Q., Piao, X.S., Hu, J.K., Gong, L.M., Thacker, P.A., 2010. Effects of feeding solid-state fermented rapeseed meal on performance nutrient digestibility intestinal ecology and intestinal morphology of broiler chickens. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science* 23(2), 263–271.

Drazbo, A., Ognik, K., Zaworska, A., Ferenc, K., Jankowski, J., 2018. The effect of raw and fermented rapeseed cake on the metabolic parameters, immune status, and intestinal morphology of turkeys. *Poultry Science* 97(11), 3910–3920.

Drazbo, A., Ognik, K., Zaworska, A., Ferenc, K., Jankowski, J., 2018. The effect of raw and fermented rapeseed cake on the metabolic parameters, immune status, and intestinal morphology of turkeys. *Poultry Science* 97(11), 3910–3920.

Emami, N.K., Calik, A., White, M.B., Kimminau, E.A., Dalloul, R.A., 2020. Effect of probiotics and multi-component feed additives on microbiota, gut barrier and immune responses in broiler chickens during subclinical necrotic enteritis. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7.

Gao, J., Zhang, H.J., Wu, S.G., Yu, S.H., Yoon, I., Moore, D., Gao, Y.P., Yan, H.J., Qi, G.H., 2009. Effect of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fermentation product on immune functions of broilers challenged with *Eimeria tenella*. *Poultry Science* 88(10), 2141–2151.

Incharoen, T., Khambualai, O., Yamauchi, K., 2009. Performance and histological changes of the intestinal villi in chickens fed dietary natural zeolite including plant extract. *Asian Journal of Poultry Science* 3(2), 42–50.

Jazi, V., Ashayerizadeh, A., Toghyani, M., Shabani, A., Tellez, G., Toghyani, M., 2018. Fermented soybean meal exhibits probiotic properties when included in Japanese quail diet in replacement of soybean meal. *Poultry Science* 97(6), 2113–2122.

Kim, S.K., Kim, T.H., Lee, S.K., Chang, K.H., Cho, S.J., Lee, K.W., An, B.K., 2016. The use of fermented soybean meals during early phase affects subsequent

- growth and physiological response in broiler chicks. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science 29(9), 1287–1293.
- Mehdi, Y., Montminy, M.L., Gaucher, M., Chorfi, Y., Suresh, G., Rouissi, T., Brar, S.K., Cote, C., Ramirez, A.A., Godbout, S., 2018. Use of antibiotics in broiler production: Global impacts and alternatives. Animal Nutrition 4(2), 170–178.
- Mottet, A., Tempio, G., 2017. Global poultry production: current state and future outlook and challenges. World's Poultry Science Journal 73(2), 245–256.
- Murry, J.A.C., Hinton, J.A., Morrison, H., 2004. Inhibition of growth of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Clostridia perfringens* on chicken feed media by *Lactobacillus salivarius* and *Lactobacillus plantarum*. International Journal of Poultry Science 3(9), 603–607.
- Niba, A.T., Beal, J.D., Kudi, A.C., Brooks, P.H., 2009. Potential of bacterial fermentation as a biosafe method of improving feeds for pigs and poultry. African Journal of Biotechnology 8(9), 1758–1767.
- Parvinder, K., Aruna, B., 2012. Comparison of the immunomodulatory properties of four probiotic strains of *Lactobacillus* prediction from in vivo efficacy. International Journal of Life Science Biotechnology and Pharmacological Research 1(2), 104–110. <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=07727abebb0fe9a0146d88720b792464a7e42a7c>.
- Paul, S.S., Rao, S.V.R., Hegde, N., Williams, N.J., Chatterjee, R.N., Raju, M.V.L.N., Reddy, G.N., Kumar, V., Kumar, P.S.P., Mallick, S., Gargi, M., 2022. Effects of dietary antimicrobial growth promoters on performance parameters and abundance and diversity of broiler chicken gut microbiome and selection of antibiotic resistance genes. Frontiers Microbiology 13, 905050.
- Premathilaka, K.T., Nawarathne, S.R., Nambapana, M.N., Maceline, S.P., Wickramasuriya, S.S., Ang, L., Jayasena, D.D., Heo, J.M., 2020. Partial or complete replacement of fishmeal with fermented soybean meal on growth performance, fecal composition, and meat quality in broilers. Journal of Animal Science and Technology 62(6), 824.
- Rinttila, T., Apajalahti, J., 2013. Intestinal microbiota and metabolites-implications for broiler chicken health and performance. Journal of Applied Poultry Research 22(3), 647–658.
- Ruei, H.Y., Chia, W.H., Kuo, L.C., 2018. Screening lactic acid bacteria to manufacture two-stage fermented feed and pelleting to investigate the feeding effect on broilers. Poultry Science 97, 236–246.
- Salaheen, S., Kim, S., Haley, B.J., Van Kessel, J.A.S., Biswas, D., 2017. Alternative growth promoters modulate broiler gut microbiome and enhance body weight gain. Frontiers Microbiology 8, 2088.
- Sayan, H., Assavacheep, P., Angkanaporn, K., Assavacheep, A., 2018. Effect of *Lactobacillus salivarius* on growth performance, diarrhea incidence, fecal bacterial population and intestinal morphology of suckling pigs challenged with F4+ enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science 31(8), 1308–1314.
- Shabani, A., Jazi, V., Ashayerizadeh, A., Barekatin, R., 2019. Inclusion of fish waste silage in broiler diets affects gut microflora, cecal short-chain fatty acids, digestive enzyme activity, nutrient digestibility, and excreta gas emission. Poultry Science 98(10), 4909–4918.
- Snedecor, G.W., Cochran, W.G., 1994. Statistical methods (8th Edn.). Oxford and IBH Publishing Company, New Delhi, India.
- Soumei, E.A., Mohebodini, H., Toghyani, M., Shabani, A., Ashayerizadeh, A., Jazi, V., 2019. Synergistic effects of fermented soybean meal and mannan-oligosaccharide on growth performance, digestive functions, and hepatic gene expression in broiler chickens. Poultry Science 98(12), 6797–6807.
- Soundararajan, B., 2023. Shaping the future of the Indian poultry industry in the new decade. Industry Outlook, 18–21.
- Sugiharto, S., Turrini, Y., Isroli, I., 2016. Performances and haematological profile of broilers fed fermented dried cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). Tropical Animal Health and Production 48(7), 1337–1341.
- Tamang, J.P., 2010. Himalayan fermented foods: microbiology, nutrition, and ethnic values. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton.
- Tamang, J.P., 2015. Naturally fermented ethnic soybean foods of India. Journal of Ethnic Foods 2(1), 8–17.
- Tang, J.W., Sun, H., Yao, X.H., Wu, Y.F., Wang, X., Feng, J., 2012. Effects of replacement of soybean meal by fermented cottonseed meal on growth performance, serum biochemical parameters and immune function of yellow-feathered broilers. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science 25(3), 393–400.
- Teng, P.Y., Che, L.C., Chung, M.H., Shen, C.C., Tzu, T.L., 2017. Effects of solid-state fermented wheat bran by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on growth performance and intestinal microbiota in broiler chickens. Italian Journal of Animal Science 16(4), 552–562.
- Teng, P.Y., Che, L.C., Chung, M.H., Shen, C.C., Tzu, T.L., 2017. Effects of solid-state fermented wheat bran by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

- on growth performance and intestinal microbiota in broiler chickens. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 16(4), 552–562. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1828051X.2017.1299597>.
- Wang, C., Shi, C., Zhang, Y., Song, D., Lu, Z., Wang, Y., 2018. Microbiota in fermented feed and swine gut. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 102(7), 2941–2948.
- Xi-Jie, Z., Hua, L., Jian-Liang, L., Dong-Xia, X., Jin, X., Cui-Mei, H., 2007. A study on benefit of feeding hy-line white egg with fermented food. *Southwest China Journal of Agricultural Science* 20, 520–533. https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=A%C2%A0study%20on%20benefit%20of%20feeding%20hy-line%20white%20egg%20with%20fermented%20feed&author=Z.%20Xi-Jie&publication_year=2007&pages=529-533.
- Xu, F.Z., Zeng, X.G., Ding, X.L., 2012. Effects of replacing soybean meal with fermented rapeseed meal on performance, serum biochemical variables and intestinal morphology of broilers. *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Science* 25(12), 1734–1741.
- Yadav, A.S., Kolluri, G., Gopi, M., Karthik, K., Malik, Y.S., Dhama, K., 2016. Exploring alternatives to antibiotics as health promoting agents in poultry- a review. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences* 4(3S), 368–383.
- Zampiga, M., Calini, F., Sirri, F., 2021. Importance of feed efficiency for sustainable intensification of chicken meat production: Implication and role for amino acids, feed enzymes and organic trace minerals. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 77(3), 639–659.
- Zhang, J., Zhu, J., Sun, J., Li, Y., Wang, P., Jiang, R., Rahman, M.R.T., 2016. Effect of fermented feed on intestinal morphology, immune status, carcass and growth performance of Emei black chickens. *FASEB Journal* 30, 240.