International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 2014, 5(3):363-368
DOI:10.5958/0976-4038.2014.00581.8

Full Research Article

Arsenic Accumulation and Speciation in Transplanted Autumn Rice as Influenced by Source of Irrigation and Organic Manures

B. Sinha[1*] and K. Bhattacharyya[2]

[1]College of Agriculture (OUAT), Bhawanipatna, Odisha (766 001), India
[2]Dept. of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, BCKV, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal, (741 246) India

Article History

Manuscript No. AR574
Received in 27th November, 2013
Received in revised form 4th September, 2014
Accepted in final form 6th September, 2014

Correspondence to

*E-mail: bishwajit007@gmail.com

Keywords

Arsenic, rice, speciation, health risk

Abstract

An effort has been made, through the present study, to take an account of arsenic (As) speciation in rice in the arsenic affected villages of Chakdaha block, Nadia district, West Bengal, India having an arsenic concentration of irrigation water drifted from the shallow tube wells 0.32 mg L^{-1}. It appeared very clear from the present study that inorganic arsenic shared maximum arsenic load in rice straw while in grains it is considerably low. As species recovered from rice straw and grain are principally As-V and As-III and. Rice grain As has been found to be principally As-III while in straw As-V predominated over As-III. The results also shows that arsenic accumulation in different parts of rice remained in an order of root>leaf>shoot>grain. It was observed that incorporation of organic manures significantly reduced the arsenic uptake by different plant parts of rice is more pronounced and consistent with FYM and Vermicompost. Discussion of the health risk of As in rice has largely been based on its inorganic arsenic content because these species have generally been considered to be more toxic than MMA and DMA and can be directly compared to As in drinking water, assuming equal bioavailability of inorganic As in the rice matrix and in water. The maximum dietary risk of exposure to inorganic arsenic through transplanted autumn rice in the present experiment was calculated to be almost 700 % of PTWI (Provisional Tolerable Weekly Intake) for an adult of 60 kg body weight.

1. Introduction

Rice is a potentially important route of human exposure to arsenic, especially in populations with rice-based diets. However, arsenic toxicity varies greatly with species. The initial purpose of the present study was to evaluate arsenic speciation in rice. The WHO standard for As in drinking water of 10 μg l^{-1} has been adopted by many countries. Arsenic in water is generally inorganic and can be a mixture of arsenite (As (III)) and arsenate (As V). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency risk assessment for As in drinking water is based on carcinogenicity risk from inorganic As. No intake of inorganic As from food was considered in setting the drinking water standard, and it is now evident that significant amounts can be ingested this way. Arsenic in rice is of special concern because of the much higher levels of As in rice grain compared to other staple cereal crops, coupled with high levels of rice consumption in Asian populations. Moreover, knowledge of speciation of As in rice is critical to understanding the potential toxicity of rice to humans. In India, rice is predominantly grown in the Indo-Gangetic plains, on 13.5 mha or 85% of the cultivated land area with ground water as a principal source of irrigation (Samra et al., 2004).

Most of the shallow groundwater in southern Bangladesh and eastern part of West Bengal, India, is geogenicaly contaminated with arsenic (As), exposing more than 40 million people at risk of As in drinking water (World Bank, 2005). Arsenic contamination of water and soil can also adversely affect food safety. A global normal range of 0.08 to 0.2 mg As kg^{-1} has been suggested for rice (Zavala and Duxbury, 2008), but values as high as 0.25 mg As kg^{-1} have been found in rice (Mandal et al., 2007). Daily consumption of 400 g dry wt. of rice containing 0.25 mg As kg^{-1} would provide 100 μg As or 5 times the 20 μg As from consumption of 2 L of water at the acceptable WHO limit of 10 μg L^{-1} (WHO, 1993).

Arsenic contamination in groundwater in the state of West Bengal has assumed the proportion of 12 endemic districts, 111 endemic blocks and above 50 million people exposed to threats of arsenic related health hazard (School of Environmental

Science, J.U, 2006). It is only the agricultural sector which enjoys the major share (>90%) of such contaminated groundwater as source of irrigation and received attention for quantifying the influence of arsenic in soil-plant system (Abedin et al., 2002, Mukhopadhyay and Sanyal, 2004). In this context, an experiment has been conducted in the arsenic endemic area of village Ghentughachi (block Chakdaha, district Nadia, West Bengal) at farmer's field with the objectives to assess the As accumulation and speciation in different parts of rice plants as influenced by source of irrigation and organic manures, to correlate soil available As with As uptake by rice and to assess the risks of dietary exposures and exploring the possible mitigation options.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site description

The experiment was conducted at farmer's field in the Village Ghentugachhi, Geographical location: N $23^0 02'7.1''$, E$88^0 35'4.8''$, district Nadia, West Bengal, India for two years (2008 & 2009) during May to September.

2.2. Details of crop management

The crop autumn rice, variety *GS 3* which is widely grown in the arsenic affected area of West Bengal was selected for the study. The crop was sown during first week of May. Seed rate was 100 kg ha^{-1} and spacing maintained at 30×10 cm^2. Weeding has been done twice (@ 20 and 40 DAT. Rice fields have been irrigated both from shallow tube well water (As concentration ≅ 0.32 mg l^{-1}) and pond water (As concentration ≅ 0.03 mg l^{-1}).

2.3. Experimentation

The experiment has been laid out in a 2 factor randomized block design with three replications. Factorial experimental treatments were two levels of irrigation (irrigation through shallow tube well water-STW and irrigation through pond water-PW) and four levels of organic manures namely FYM @ 10 t ha^{-1},vermicompost @ 3 t ha^{-1}, municipal sludge @10 t ha^{-1} and mustard cake @1.0 t ha^{-1}. The soils were amended with well decomposed FYM, vermicompost, municipalsludge and mustard cake in respective treated plots followed by a couple of ploughing operations 25 days before sowing. The recommended doses of N, P, K fertilizers ($N:P_2O_5:K_2O$=100:50: 50) kg ha^{-1} were applied to the soils irrespective of treatments. The entire P and K fertilizers were applied basally while N fertilizer has been applied in three splits (50% as basal and rest 50% top dressed at 30 DAT and 45 DAT). The initial and post-harvest soil samples were collected through soil auger at a depth of 15 cm. At least 10 sub (core) samples were collected to have the composite sample from one replication. Plant samples (whole plant) were collected at different growth stages i.e. at 30, 60 and 90 DAT.

Soils were collected, tagged and packed in brown polythene packets and taken to the laboratory. The soil samples were air-dried, ground and sieved through 2 mm sieve and packed in air tight polythene containers. The plant samples were oven dried for 24 hours at 105°C, ground and packed in air tight polythene container. Soil samples were analyzed for detailed characterization with respect to the important physico-chemical properties (pH, organic carbon, available N, P_2O_5 & K_2O, total and extracTable arsenic) following the standard methods (Page, 1982).

Available N content of soil was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), available P by 0.5 M $NaHCO_3$ (pH 8.5) (Olsen and Sommers,1982) exchangeable K by 1M NH_4OAc (pH 7.0) (Knudsen et al., 1982), oxydizable organic C (Walkley and Black, 1934), texture (Dewis and Freitas, 1984), olsen extracTable As by 0.5 M $NaHCO_3$, pH 8.5 (Olsen and Sommers, 1982) and total As by tri-acid digestion (Sparks, 2006). Plant samples were digested with a mixture of acids i.e. HNO_3, $HClO_4$ and H_2SO_4 in a proportion of 10:4:1 (v/v) for total As measurement. Olsen extrac Table P was analyzed colorimetrically, ammonium acetate extracTable K was analyzed by flame photometry. Sodium bicarbonate extracTable As, total soil As and plant As were determined through atomic absorption spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer AAnalyst 200) coupled with flow injection system (FIAS-400).

2.4. Organo (humicand fulvicacid)-arsenic complexation study

The humic acid (HA) and fulvic acid (FA) fractions were extracted from the manures used with 0.5 M Na_2CO_3, followed by their fractionation into humic and fulvic acid constituents and the complexation equilibria between arsenic and the humic/fulvic substances were examined following the standard method (Schnitzerand Skinner, 1966) and the stability constants (Log k) of the arsenic-humic/fulvic complexes formed were recorded.

2.5. Arsenic determination in plant sample

2.5.1. Sample digestion (total As; HNO_3-digest)

About 0.2 g of rice grain or straw sample were weighed into a microwave Teflon vessel and 7 ml of concentrated nitric acid was added to it and left to stand overnight at room temperature. Samples were then digested in a microwave maintained at 200°C for 20 minutes. Samples were then cooled and transferred to a 50 ml volumetric flask for total arsenic analysis through Perkin Elmer ELAN DRC$_e$ 6000 ICP-MS.

2.5.2. Sample extraction (for As species)

For speciation analysis about 0.2 g of rice grain or straw sample were weighed into a microwave Teflon vessel and 2 ml of 2.0 M TFA was added to it. Samples were then digested in a microwave maintained at 90°C for 20 minutes. Samples were then cooled and transferred to a 50 ml volumetric flask for speciation analysis (Abedin et al., 2002).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to the standard method (SPSS) means between treatments were compared by least significant difference (LSD) at $p \leq 0.05$.

3. Results and Discussion

The selected physicochemical properties of the soil and the concentrations of arsenic in irrigation water, soil are presented in Table 1. The results clearly indicate that the agricultural soil of the study area has become highly contaminated with arsenic (19.17 mg kg^{-1}) due to the excessive use of arsenic rich groundwater (0.32 mg l^{-1}) for irrigation. Long term use of arsenic contaminated groundwater for irrigation may result in the further increase of arsenic concentration in the agricultural soil and eventually hyper-accumulation in rice plants.

The results of Table 2 revealed that maximum accumulation of arsenic was observed in root (34.84-75.25 mg kg^{-1}), followed by leaf (4.56-18.63 mg kg^{-1}), shoot (2.28-18.00 mg kg^{-1}) and grain (0.44-1.33 mg kg^{-1}). Results revealed that the arsenic accumulation in different parts of rice remained in an order of root>leaf>shoot>grain in both experimental years (2008 & 2009) which has been found to increase with advancement of growth stages (Figure 1). Similar observations were also reported by Abedin et al. 2002. Very little share of the total arsenic accumulation has been found to be translocated to grain (2-4%), although the level is alarming (0.44-1.33 mg kg^{-1}).

The results clearly indicated that incorporation of organic manures has marked effect on reduction of arsenic accumulation in different plant parts of *autumn* rice. It was observed that incorporation of organic manures significantly reduced the arsenic uptake by different plant parts of rice over the control counter part under both the irrigation regimes (STW and PW). Such beneficial role exerted by different organic sources has been found to be most pronounced and consistent with FYM and vermicompost. Das et al. (2005) also observed that available soil arsenic content decreases with the increase of organic matter application. Such changes in arsenic accumulation in rice manifested either through using surface water as irrigation source or through organic amendments, may be attributed to similar changes in soil available arsenic under similar situations, as reflected in significant correlation drawn between total arsenic uptake by rice at harvest and available arsenic in post-harvest soil of rice (Table 3). The magnitude of such decreases, however, varied with sources and levels of applied organic matter while such decrease remained most pronounced with vermicompost, which might be due to formation of insoluble arseno-organic complexes and its adsorption on to organic colloids.

Organic amendments such as composts and manures which contain a high amount of humified organic matter can decrease the bioavailability of heavy metals through adsorption and by forming stable complexes with humic substances. (Chen et al., 2000). Jones (2000) reported that the reduced accumulation of arsenic in plants are due to low availability of the toxicant from soil due to amended through compost, manures etc. Rahaman et al. (2011) showed that combined applications of lathyrus+vermicompost+poultry manure reduced arsenic transport in plant parts (root, straw, husk, whole grains and milled grain). Precipitation and flocculation of humic acids by heavy metals were observed in both acidic and calcareous soils (Clemente and Bernal, 2006). Humic acids have great capacity to retain and bind metals. Their molecular structure is usually larger than the soil pore size resulting in the low mobility and little leaching through soil profile. (Halim et al., 2003).

The complexation studies of arsenic with humic acid and fulvic acid fractions isolated from the selected organic manures used in the present experiment revealed that HA-FA fractions extracted from vermicompost have the capacity of making strongest complexes with soil arsenic (as expressed in the computed log K values, Table 4) which may be attributed to the



Figure 1: Progressive changes in arsenic accumulation in different plant parts of autumn rice with advancement of growth

Table 1: Physico-chemical properties of experimental site

Properties	Observation
Soil	
pH	7.51
Organic C (%)	0.56
Textural class	Silty clay
%Sand	3.5
%Silt	46.7
%Clay	49.8
Available nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	220.0
Available phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})	57.0
Available potassium (kg ha^{-1})	190.0
Total arsenic (kg ha^{-1})	19.17
Available arsenic (kg ha^{-1})	5.30
Water	
Arsenic in pond water (ppm)	0.03
Arsenic in shallow water (ppm)	0.32

Table 2: Arsenic accumulations in different plant parts of rice recorded at different growth stages as affected by intervention of organic manures and source of irrigation

Irrigation sources (I)	Organic matters (O)	Arsenic accumulation in mg kg^{-1}							
		2008				2009			
		Root	Shoot	Leaf	Grain	Root	Shoot	Leaf	Grain
Shallow tube-well water	C	67.67±1.53	18.00±0.19	18.63±0.10	1.33±0.04	75.25±0.25	4.94±0.06	12.15±0.12	0.92±0.08
	O_1	68.33±2.96	13.08±0.29	16.13±0.20	0.76±0.03	54.22±0.47	3.38±0.05	8.77±0.08	0.75±0.06
	O_2	65.75±0.74	8.53±0.17	7.46±0.09	1.08±0.06	42.41±0.17	4.46±0.08	6.13±0.05	0.90±0.05
	O_3	65.50±0.41	7.40±0.09	11.89±0.14	0.60±0.02	38.33±0.43	2.78±0.11	6.41±0.11	0.66±0.07
	O_4	63.92±1.31	9.03±0.19	13.50±0.10	0.67±0.08	49.45±0.13	3.01±0.05	6.75±0.09	0.68±0.04
	Mean	66.23	11.21	13.52	0.89	51.93	3.71	8.04	0.78
Pond water	C	65.33±0.77	13.92±0.21	10.84±0.15	1.17±0.14	69.21±0.33	3.68±0.09	9.77±0.11	0.82±0.06
	O_1	68.58±0.31	9.31±0.14	9.36±0.23	0.64±0.09	49.49±0.20	3.25±0.11	6.23±0.09	0.63±0.03
	O_2	56.33±0.72	7.97±0.11	8.54±0.18	0.48±0.06	37.68±0.22	2.28±0.06	4.56±0.05	0.62±0.05
	O_3	58.17±0.31	5.23±0.18	7.53±0.13	0.44±0.11	34.84±0.47	2.58±0.12	6.84±0.07	0.63±0.04
	O_4	59.75±0.41	6.36±0.08	9.39±0.17	0.51±0.07	41.32±0.79	2.85±0.06	6.28±0.04	0.68±0.03
	Mean	61.63	8.56	9.13	0.65	46.51	2.93	6.74	0.68
CD (p=0.05)									
I		1.19	0.15	0.11	0.01	0.34	0.04	0.05	0.02
O		1.87	0.24	0.18	0.02	0.54	0.06	0.08	0.03
I×O		2.65	0.34	0.26	0.03	0.77	0.09	0.12	0.04

C: Control; O_1: Mustard cake @ 1 t ha^{-1}; O_2: Farm Yard Manure @10 t ha^{-1}; O_3: Vermicompost @ 3 t ha^{-1}; O_4: Municipal sludge @ 10 t ha^{-1}

Table 3: Correlation between available soil arsenic and total uptake of rice at harvest

Irrigation sources (I)	Treatment (T)	2008		2009	
		Available arsenic (kg ha^{-1})	Total uptake (mg kg^{-1})	Available arsenic (kg ha^{-1})	Total uptake (mg kg^{-1})
Shallow tubewell water	C	4.46	105.63	4.32	93.26
	O_1	4.19	98.3	4.14	67.13
	O_2	4.01	82.82	3.87	53.9
	O_3	3.97	85.43	3.49	48.18
	O_4	4.28	87.12	4.13	59.89
	Mean	4.18	91.86	3.99	64.47
Pond water	C	3.93	91.26	4.26	83.48
	O_1	3.66	87.85	3.71	59.6
	O_2	3.03	73.32	2.97	45.14
	O_3	3.31	71.37	3.22	44.87
	O_4	3.51	76.01	3.35	51.14
	Mean	3.49	79.96	3.50	56.85
Correlation		0.8685**		0.8466**	

C: Control; O_1: Mustard cake @ 1 t ha^{-1}; O_2: Farm Yard Manure @ 10 t ha^{-1}; O_3: Vermicompost @ 3 t ha; O_4: Municipal sludge @ 10 t ha^{-1}

reduction in available arsenic load in soil-plant system through respective interventions. This is in good agreement with the findings as obtained earlier by (Mukhopadhyay and Sanyal, 2004 & Sinha and Bhattacharyya, 2011) who reported that there was an ability of native or added soil organic fractions to sorb arsenic, thereby moderating its toxicity in soil-plant system. Das (2007) also observed 18.30% and 14.01% decrease in 0.5 M $NaHCO_3$- extracTable soil As from the control counterpart when the soil was amended with vermicompost and well-rotten FYM, due to formation of organo-As complexation.

3.1. Bioavailability of arsenic species in grain and straw of transplanted autumn rice

It is now commonly accepted that toxicity and bioavailability varies with arsenic species and assessing toxicity and risk associated with As exposure based on total concentrations only may lead to artifacts. Attempts here have been made to assess the toxicity level in grain and straw of transplanted *autumn* paddy.

Few selected samples, precisely those who responded better against the interventions employed in terms of total arsenic accumulation, accumulation of arsenic species have been determined by TFA (@ pH 6.0) extraction followed by detection and quantification through a Perkin-Elmer ELAN DRC_e HPLC-ICP-MS and the outcome has been recorded in Table 5. The recovery of arsenic species through TFA extraction remained at quite satisfactory level (63 to 103% of total arsenic determined through microwave assisted HNO_3 digestion). The As-III and As-V remained the major arsenic species in most of the grain and straw samples analyzed. It is interesting to note that As-III accounted for the major As species recovered from grains of transplanted autumn paddy while As-V predominates As recoveries from rice straw. Meharg and Whitaker (2002) also observed that arsenic species in rice straw extracted with TFA are arsenate, arsenite and DMA. The proportion of arsenate, arsenite and DMA were 72-84%, 15-26% and 1-4% respectively. Meharg et al., 2008 showed that rice grain arsenic speciation is dominated by inorganic arsenic and DMA. DMA has been recovered from few grain and straw samples where interventions through organic manures have not been made. The inorganic arsenic of grain has been found to increase with increasing levels of total grain arsenic ($R^2 \approx 0.95$) (Figure 2).

Soil amendment through organic intervention (Vermicompost >FYM) reduced arsenic accumulation in rice grain and straw which has been principally manifested through reduction of As-V in grain and As-III in straw (Figure 3). The assessment of risks for dietary exposure to food items (rice grain) is quite imperative since the proportions of arsenic toxicity contributed through As-III remained quite significant (44 to 73% of total As recovered through HNO_3 digest) as reflected in the present study. The maximum dietary risk of exposure to inorganic



Figure 2: Changes in inorganic arsenic in grains of transplanted autumn rice with changes in total arsenic thereof



Figure 3: Per cent reduction in inorganic arsenic species accumulation in grain and straw of transplanted autumn paddy through organic intervention and changing irrigation source

Table 4: Characterization of the selected organic manures

Feature	FYM	Vermicompost	Sludge	Mustard cake
TOC (%)	25.9	25.0	17.0	12.0
N (%)	0.5	0.25	0.5	5.0
P (%)	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.0
K (%)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5
Zn (ppm)	52.0	48.0	80.0	39.0
Cu (ppm)	8.0	12.0	40.0	19.0
Fe (ppm)	1500	1025	1838	2705
Mn (ppm)	53.0	56.0	62.0	70.0
C:N	20:1	15:1	18:1	12:1
As (ppm)	3.54	3.02	3.64	0.38
Log k (HA)	4.12	4.86	3.54	2.67
Log k (FA)	8.65	10.27	7.97	4.95

Table 5: Arsenic speciation of selected straw and grain samples of autumn paddy by TFA (@ pH 6.2) extraction through HPLC- ICP-MS

Sample	Irrigation	Manure	Arsenic species					Sum of species	Total As (ppb) (HNO_3 digestion)	Per cent recovery
			As B (ppb)	As-III (ppb)	DMA (ppb)	MMA (ppb)	As-V (ppb)			
Grain	PW	C	nd	320.4±22.31	113.4±7.57	nd	251.4±14.38	685.2±29.14	669.0±33.07	102.4±6.29
		VC	nd	284.4±15.65	nd	nd	118.8±12.51	403.2±26.4	390.0±28.83	103.4±5.35
		FYM	nd	288.6±12.84	nd	nd	121.9±9.97	410.4±21.9	434.7±23.01	94.4±1.57
	STW	C	nd	328.0±25.5	nd	nd	183.3±7.13	511.3±22.5	743.7±22.87	68.8±2.98
		VC	nd	307.6±25.69	nd	nd	134.7±10.01	442.3±18.55	557.3±22.79	79.4±1.51
		FYM	nd	314.6±20.98	nd	nd	147.2±8.94	461.9±24.1	585.7±19.25	78.9±2.40
Straw	PW	C	nd	369.0±28.74	208.0±9.78	nd	3428.5±106	4005.5±75.5	3988.0±88.27	100.4±2.03
		VC	nd	187.6±12.41	nd	nd	2987.4±89.3	3175.0±65.7	3879.0±108	81.9±1.76
		FYM	nd	224.2±20.04	nd	nd	2763.0±105	2987.2±78.3	4120.0±96.7	72.5±2.98
	STW	C	nd	387.6±30.76	202.8±13.41	nd	4169.4±113	4759.8±69.0	4836.0±109.4	98.4±3.01
		VC	nd	106.8±8.61	nd	nd	2691.6±93.6	2798.4±59.5	4398.0±94.6	63.6±3.55
		FYM	nd	328.9±22.88		nd	3578.6±88.9	3907.5±68.2	4587.0±83.9	85.2±4.20

C: Control; VC: Vermicompost; FYM: Farm yard manure; PW: Pond water; STW: Shallow tube well water arsenic through transplanted *autumn* paddy in the present experiment was calculated to almost 700% of PTWI (Provisional Tolerable Weekly Intake) for an adult of 60 kg bodyweight.

4. Conclusion

As-III and As-V remained the major arsenic species in most of the grain and straw samples of *autumn* rice analyzed. It is interesting to note that As-III accounted for the major As species recovered from grains of transplanted autumn paddy while As-V predominates As recoveries from rice straw. Soil amendment through organic intervention reduced arsenic accumulation in rice grain and straw of *autumn* rice which has been principally manifested through reduction of inorganic As.

5. References

Abedin, M.J., Meharg, A.A., 2002. Relative toxicity of arsenite and arsenate on germination and early seedling growth of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant and Soil 243, 57-66.

Abedin, M.J., Cresser, M.S., Meharg, A.A., Feldmann, J., Cotter-Howells, J., 2002. Arsenic accumulations and metabolisms in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Environmental Science and Technology 36, 962-968.

Chen, Z.S., Lee, G.J., Lin, J.C., 2000. The effect of chemical remedial treatments on the extractability and speciation of Cd and Pb in contaminated soils. Chemosphere 41, 235-242.

Clemente, R., Bernal, M.P., 2006. Fractionation of heavy metal and distribution of organic carbon in two contaminated soils amended with humic acid. Chemosphere 64, 1264-1273.

Das, D.K., 2007. Effects of arsenic-contaminated irrigation water, zinc and organic matter on the mobilization of arsenic in soils in relation to rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Trace Metals and other Contaminants in the Environment 9, 331-354

Das, D.K., Garai, T.K., Sarkar, S., Sur, P., 2005. Interaction of Arsenic with Zinc and Organics in a Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)-Cultivated Field in India. The Scientific World Journal 5, 646-651

Dewis, J., Freitas, F., 1984. Physical and Chemical Methods of Soil and Water Analysis. Oxford and IBH Pub. Co., New Delhi, 51-106.

Halim, M., Conte, P., Piccolo, A., 2003. Potential availability of heavy metals to phytoextraction from contaminated soils induced by exogenous humic substances. Chemosphere 52, 265-275

Jones, C.A., Langner, H.W., Anderson, K., McDermott, T.R., Inskeep, W.P., 2000. Rates of microbially mediated arsenate reduction and solubilization. Soil Science Society of America Journal 64, 600-608.

Knudsen, D., Petterson, G.A., Pratt, P.F., 1982. Lithium, Sodium and Potassium. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties, Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (Eds.). ASA and SSSA, Madison, WI, 225-246.

Mandal, S.M., Mondal, K.C., Dey, S., Pati, B.R., 2007. Arsenic biosorption by mucilaginous seeds of *Hyptis suaveolens* (L) poit. Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research 66, 577-581.

Meharg, A.A., Whitaker, J., 2002. Arsenic uptake and metabolism in arsenic resistant and non-resistant plant species. New Phytologist 154, 29-43.

Meharg, A.A., Lombi, E., Williams, P.N., Scheckel, K.G., Feldmann, J., Raab, A., Zhu, Y.G., Islam, R., 2008. Speciation and localization of arsenic in white and brown rice grains. Environmental Science & Technology 42, 1051-1057.

Mukhopadhyay, D., Sanyal, S.K., 2004. Complexation and release isotherm of arsenic in arsenic-humic/fulvic equilibrium study. Australian journal of Soil Research 42, 815-824.

Olsen, S.R., Sommers, L.E., 1982. Phosphorus. pp. 403-430 In: Page et al. (Ed), Methods of soil analysis, part 2, Am. Soc. Agron. Inc., Madison, Wasington, USA.

Page, A.L., 1982. Methods of soil analysis part 2, 2nd edn. Agronomy Monograph. (American society of Agronomy: Madison, WI).

Rahaman, S., Sinha, A.C., Mukhopadhyay, D., 2011. Effect of water regimes and organic matters on transport of arsenic in summer rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Environmental Science 23, 633-639

Samra, J.S., Singh, B., Kumar, K., 2003. Managing Crop Residues in the Rice-Wheat System of the Indo-Gangetic Plain. In: Ladha, et al., (Eds.), Improving the Productivity and Sustainability of Rice-Wheat Systems: Issues and Impact. ASA Spec. Pub. 65. ASA, Madison, Wis, 173-195.

Schnitzer, M., Skinner, S.I.M., 1966. Organo-metallic interactions in soils: Stability constants of Cu^{2+}, Fe^{2+} and Zn^{2+} fulvic acid complexes. Soil Science 102, 361-365.

School of Environmental Science, J.U., 2006. Ground water arsenic contamination in West Bengal. (http://www.soesju.org).

Sinha, B., Bhattacharyya, K., 2011. Retention and release isotherm of arsenic in arsenic–humic/fulvic equilibrium study. Biology and fertility of soils 47, 815-822.

Sparks, D.L., 2006. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3, Chemical Methods. Soil Science Society America Inc., Madison, Washington, USA, 639-664.

SPSS. Available: http://www.spss.com [2 June 2011].

Subbiah, B.V., Asija, G.L., 1956. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science 25, 259- 260.

Walkley, A.J., Black, C.A., 1934. Estimation of soil organic C by the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science 37, 29 -38.

WHO, 1993. Guideline for Drinking Water Quality, Recommendation, Vol 1, 2nd edition. Geneva: World Health Organization, 41.

World Bank, 2005. Arsenic Contamination in Asia. A World Bank and water and sanitation program report. http://www.worldbank.org/sar

Zavala, Y., Duxbury, J.M., 2008. Arsenic in rice: Estimating normal levels of total arsenic in rice grain. Environmental Science and Technology 42, 3856-60.