



IJBSM June 2025, 16(6): 01-10

Article AR6123

Stress Management

Research Article

DOI: HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/1.2025.6123

Effect of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria, *Bacillus safensis* on Growth and Yield of Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* R.) Under the Mid-hill Zone of Himachal Pradesh

Smriti¹[™], Happy Dev Sharma¹ and Vipin Sharma²

¹Dept. of Vegetable Science, ²Dept. of Environmental Science, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh (173 230), India



Corresponding ≥ awasthismriti900@gmail.com

0009-0001-9012-9216

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted during *kharif*, April–November, 2023 in the Department of Vegetable Science, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India to explore the potential of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, *Bacillus safensis*, on growth and yield of ginger (*cv.* Solan Giriganga). The trial was laid out in randomized complete block design (factorial) with 7 treatments including varying levels of phosphorus fertilizer (50%, 75% and 100% of the recommended dose) with or without *Bacillus safensis* along with control. Various parameters were recorded including plant height, tiller number, leaf size, rhizome yield, dry matter recovery, oleoresin content, essential oil content, soil nutrient status and nutrient uptake. Ginger yield proved to be significantly affected by combination of *Bacillus safensis* and 75% RDP producing the highest ginger yield of 23.27 t ha⁻¹, maximum net returns of ₹7,93,308 and benefit-cost ratio of 1.32. This study concluded that the use of *Bacillus safensis* in conjunction with reduced phosphorus fertilizer (37.5 kg ha⁻¹ P₂O₅) is an effective strategy for enhancing ginger yield and economic returns.

KEYWORDS: Ginger, growth, PGPR, phosphorus, solubilization, quality, yield

Citation (VANCOUVER): Smriti et al., Effect of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria, Bacillus safensis on Growth and Yield of Ginger (Zingiber officinale R.) Under the Mid-Hill Zone of Himachal Pradesh. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management, 2025; 16(6), 01-10. HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/1.2025.6123.

Copyright: © 2025 Smriti et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, that permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium after the author(s) and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Legal restrictions are imposed on the public sharing of raw data. However, authors have full right to transfer or share the data in raw form upon request subject to either meeting the conditions of the original consents and the original research study. Further, access of data needs to meet whether the user complies with the ethical and legal obligations as data controllers to allow for secondary use of the data outside of the original study.

Conflict of interests: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tinger (Zingiber officinale R.) has been used as a J medicinal herb and spice for cooking since ancient times (Nour et al., 2017), belonging to family Zingiberaceae and native to South-East Asia. Botanically, ginger is an herbaceous perennial with an underground modified stem called a rhizome. It is grown annually and thrives in shaded environments (Anh et al., 2020). Globally, it is widely used for seasoning, condiments and in herbal medicine. It has various medicinal properties (Nair, 2019). It is known for its health benefits such as reducing blood clotting, lowering cholesterol, helps prevent obesity, asthma, bronchitis, motion and morning sickness, and providing antioxidant and anti-carcinogenic properties (Crichton et al., 2019; Mao et al., 2019). Fresh ginger is typically consumed locally, while dried ginger is commonly traded internationally (Ravindran et al., 2016). It is used to produce a variety of products such as ginger oil, oleoresin, ginger candy, ginger powder, brined ginger, ginger flakes and preserved ginger making it a versatile product (Dev and Sharma, 2016).

India is the leading global producer and exporter of ginger, accounting for 50% of global output. Major ginger-growing states include Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, Assam and West Bengal. In 2022-2023, India cultivated ginger on 190.96 thousand hectares, producing 2201.19 thousand mt, with Madhya Pradesh as the top producer (Anonymous, 2023a). Other significant producers include China, Jamaica and Nigeria, while major importers are the UK, USA, Japan and Singapore. In Himachal Pradesh, ginger is a crucial cash crop in the mid and low hills, particularly in Sirmour, which is the largest producer. In 2022-2023, ginger was grown on 2.72 thousand ha in Himachal Pradesh, yielding 15.68 thousand mt (Anonymous, 2023b). Ginger thrives in tropical and sub-tropical climates at elevation up to 1500 m preferring temperatures between 28-35°C. It needs 1500-300 mm of well-distributed rainfall and benefits from dry periods before planting (Pruthi, 1998). Light sandy loam soil is the most ideal for cultivating ginger. The plant does not thrive in waterlogged conditions or in gravelly or overly sandy soils (Prasad and Bhardwaj, 2016).

Ginger plants need significant nutrients due to their shallow roots and high dry matter yield. Applying organic manure boosts crop yield and improves soil properties (Dudhat et al., 1997). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are valuable for boosting crop yields and preserving soil health. They colonize plant roots, respond to plant signals and produce metabolites that enhance growth and induce systemic resistance against pathogens (Kour et al., 2020). PGPR operate through direct mechanisms like bio-fertilization and root growth stimulation, as well as indirect methods such as disease suppression and nutrient

competition. Common PGPR species include Bacillus, Azospirillum and Rhizobium (Giri et al., 2019). These bacteria decompose the complex molecules found in the soil, transforming them into a form that is accessible to plants, thereby enhancing soil fertility (Malua and Vessilev, 2014; Atajan et al., 2019; Sood et al., 2019). Phosphorus-based treatments have a major effect on ginger's developmental traits and enhance production results (Hashem et al., 2019). The inoculation of PGPR is commonly documented across various crops and has been shown to improve growth and yield (Fukami et al., 2018; Linu et al., 2019; Santoyo et al., 2021). PGPR have shown positive effects on cereals, fruits, vegetables and spices by boosting nutrient uptake and fertilizer efficiency, reducing the need for excessive fertilizers (Adesemoye et al., 2009). PGPRs enhance plant growth by mechanisms such as the solubilization of phosphate (Li et al., 2018), improving nutrient absorption (Li et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2016) and facilitating nitrogen fixation (Solanki et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). Phosphorus, existing in both inorganic and organic forms, is often scarce and inaccessible to plants, but phosphorus-solubilizing microorganisms (PSM) make it available in the rhizosphere (Oliveira et al., 2009; Schachtman et al., 1998). In this research, we investigated how plant growth promoting rhizobacteria influenced the phosphorus solubilization potential, growth and yield of ginger.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Description of study site and climatic conditions

The experiment was conducted during *kharif* (April to November), 2023 at vegetable research farm, Department of Vegetable Science, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India located at an elevation of 1270 m above mean sea level at the latitude of 30°5'N and longitude 77°11'E, 13 km away from Solan. The soil of the experimental field was gravelly loam to gravelly clay loam in texture. This area falls in the sub-humid, sub-temperate and mid-hill zone of Himachal Pradesh. The maximum temperature ranged from 21.4°C–31.7°C and minimum from 5.8°C–21.2°C. November emerged as the coldest, while June experienced the highest temperatures. The total rainfall during the growing season amounted 1364.8 mm with the majority occurring from May to August.

2.2. Experimental details

2.2.1. Cultivar and experimental layout

The high yielding ginger cv. Solan Giriganga, which was developed and recommended by Dr YSP UHF Nauni was used in this study. The planting beds were prepared of size 3×1 m² with drainage channels of 0.5 m in which 50 plants were accommodated. The ginger rhizomes of uniform size

were planted during the first fortnight of April at a spacing of 30×20 cm². The study was designed using a Randomized Complete Block Design with seven treatments and three replications, encompassing twenty-one plots across.

2.2.2. The different treatments are as follows

 T_1 : 100% Recommended phosphorus fertilizer, T_2 : 75% Recommended phosphorus fertilizer, T_3 : 75% Recommended phosphorus fertilizer and *Bacillus safensis*, T_4 : 50% Recommended phosphorus fertilizer, T_5 : 50% Recommended phosphorus fertilizer and *Bacillus safensis*, T_6 : *Bacillus safensis* alone and T_7 : Control with no phosphorus and *Bacillus safensis*.

2.2.3. Details of cultural operations

All the treatments used standard cultural practices as outlined in the Package of Practices for Vegetable Crops to ensure a healthy crop stand (Anonymous, 2020).

2.3. Observations recorded

Data were collected from a random sample of 10 plants treatment⁻¹ in each replication, measuring various parameters. Growth parameters viz., plant height, tiller girth, number of tillers plant⁻¹, number of leaves tiller⁻¹, leaf dimensions and incidence of rhizome rot were recorded at 90 DAP, 120 DAP and at harvest. The crop was harvested in the second fortnight of November and yield parameters viz., rhizome length and breadth, yield plant⁻¹, yield plot⁻¹ and projected yield hectare⁻¹ were recorded. The quality parameters viz., dry matter recovery, oleoresin content, essential oil and crude fiber content were estimated by American Spice Trade Organization. The nutrient and economic parameters were recorded viz., soil nutrients before planting, 120 DAP and at harvest, nutrient uptake of leaf and rhizome at harvest and benefit cost (B:C) ratio.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The recorded data was analyzed using MS-Excel and

OPSTAT software. Analysis of variance for the experiment was done as per the model suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (2000) for Randomized Complete Block Design (factorial).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment was conducted to study phosphorus solubilization potential on the growth, yield and quality of ginger. The results of each parameter has been discussed and interpreted in this section.

3.1. Growth traits

3.1.1. Plant height (cm)

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and plant height was found to be significant. The maximum plant height was recorded with 75% RDP and *Bacillus safensis* (24.83 cm at 90 DAP, 44.50 cm at 120 DAP and 78.73 cm at harvest). Whereas the minimum plant height was recorded in control i.e., 19.67 cm at 90 DAP, 38.63 cm at 120 DAP and 70.70 cm at harvest (Table 1). According to Jabborova (2022), the use PGPR significantly increased the height of *Zingiber officinale* plants. Similarly, Negi (2023) found that applying PGPR to *Curcuma longa* plants led to taller shoots compared to untreated plants, supporting the findings of present study where PGPR resulted in increased plant height.

3.1.2. Tiller girth (cm)

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and tiller girth was found to be significant. The maximum tiller girth was recorded in 75% RDP and *Bacillus safensis* (2.65 cm at 90 DAP, 4.77 cm at 120 DAP and 5.93 cm at harvest). Whereas the minimum plant height was recorded in control i.e., 1.98 cm at 90 DAP, 3.77 cm at 120 DAP and 5.02 cm at harvest (Table 1). Shadap et al. (2018) reported that combining PSB, VAM, 75% NPK and *Azospirillum* significantly increased the tiller girth in ginger. These findings aligned with those of Sanwal et al. (2007), Jana

Table 1: Effect of PGI	PR on phosphoru	s solubilization and	growth	characters o	f ginger
Indie It Bilect of I O.	r on phoophoru	o corabinnation and	. 6	oriar access o	56

	Plant height (cm)			Tiller girth (cm)			Number of tillers plant ⁻¹		
	90 DAP	120 DAP	At harvest	90 DAP	120 DAP	At harvest	90 DAP	120 DAP	At harvest
T_{1}	23.80	43.27	76.83	2.50	4.43	5.58	3.17	6.17	10.67
T_2	22.60	42.37	73.90	2.37	4.18	5.45	2.67	5.50	9.83
T_3	24.83	44.50	78.73	2.65	4.77	5.93	3.33	6.58	11.50
T_4	22.17	40.80	73.77	2.20	4.17	5.40	2.58	5.25	9.50
T_{5}	23.77	43.17	76.07	2.42	4.33	5.52	2.92	6.00	10.50
T_6	20.50	40.73	73.03	2.13	4.00	5.08	2.50	5.17	9.17
T_7	19.67	38.63	70.70	1.98	3.77	5.02	2.17	4.83	8.67
SEm±	0.90	0.84	1.43	0.11	0.17	0.16	0.20	0.31	0.53
CD (p=0.05)	2.81	2.62	4.47	0.34	0.53	0.48	0.63	0.97	1.64

Table 1: Continue...

	Number of leaves tiller ⁻¹			Le	Leaf length (cm)			eaf width (Incidence of	
	90 DAP	120 DAP	At harvest	90 DAP	120 DAP	At harvest	90 DAP	120 DAP	At harvest	rhizome rot (%)
T_{1}	8.33	13.50	18.92	12.25	22.42	27.08	1.72	2.79	3.87	11.19 (3.49)*
T_2	8.00	13.00	17.58	11.75	22.17	26.75	1.62	2.58	3.81	12.42 (3.66)
T_3	8.67	14.00	19.42	12.50	23.08	27.50	1.83	2.83	4.00	10.95 (3.46)
T_4	7.58	12.50	17.33	11.67	22.00	26.42	1.60	2.47	3.75	13.04 (3.75)
T_5	8.17	13.25	18.33	11.92	22.25	26.83	1.66	2.62	3.83	12.05 (3.61)
T_6	7.25	12.25	17.00	11.50	21.83	26.25	1.58	2.46	3.66	13.91 (3.86)
T_7	7.08	12.00	16.92	10.97	21.25	24.83	1.54	2.40	3.63	14.13 (3.89)
SEm±	0.25	0.32	0.52	0.28	0.32	0.22	0.05	0.12	0.07	0.01
CD (p=0.05)	0.77	1.01	1.61	0.89	0.99	0.68	0.16	0.26	0.22	0.03

(2006) and Negi (2023) who also observed larger tiller girth following PGPR inoculation.

3.1.3. Number of tillers plant⁻¹

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and number of tillers plant⁻¹ was found to be significant. The maximum number of tillers plant⁻¹ was recorded in 75% RDP and *Bacillus safensis* (3.33 at 90 DAP, 6.58 at 120 DAP and 11.50 at harvest). Whereas the minimum number of tillers plant⁻¹ was recorded in control i.e., 2.17 for 90 DAP, 4.83 for 120 DAP and 8.67 at harvest (Table 1). Tamang and Manivannan (2020) found that combining PGPR with other organic inputs significantly increased the number of tillers in ginger. Similarly, Negi (2023) reported that turmeric plants inoculated with *B. safensis* had more tillers than the control. These results were consistent with our findings.

3.1.4. Number of leaves tiller-1

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and number of leaves tiller⁻¹ was found to be significant. The maximum number of leaves tiller⁻¹ was recorded in 75% RDP with *Bacillus safensis* (8.67 at 90 DAP, 14.00 at 120 DAP and 19.42 at harvest). Whereas the minimum number of leaves tiller⁻¹ was recorded in control i.e., 7.08 for 90 DAP, 12.00 for 120 DAP and 16.92 at harvest (Table 1). These findings were consistent with those Shadap et al. (2018), Sanwal et al. (2007) and Jana (2006), who found that ginger had the highest number of leaves tiller⁻¹. Likewise, Negi (2023) noted that turmeric plants inoculated with *B. safensis* had more leaves plant⁻¹ compared to the control.

3.1.5. Leaf length (cm)

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and leaf length was found to be significant. The maximum leaf length was achieved with 75% RDP and *Bacillus safensis* (12.50 cm at 90 DAP, 23.08 cm at 120 DAP and 27.50 cm

at harvest). Whereas the minimum leaf length was recorded in control i.e., 10.97 cm for 90 DAP, 21.25 cm for 120 DAP and 24.83 cm at harvest (Table 1). These results aligned with the observations of Jabborova et al. (2021) who found that PGPR treatment significantly increased leaf length in ginger and legume crops (Egamberdieva et al., 2017; Raza et al., 2004; Egamberdieva et al., 2013). Additionally, *B. subtilis* PTS-394 enhanced leaf length in tomatoes (Qiao et al., 2017), while *B. safensis* improved leaf length in turmeric (Negi 2023).

3.1.6. Leaf width (cm)

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and leaf width was found to be significant. The maximum leaf width was recorded in 75% RDP with *Bacillus safensis* (1.83 cm at 90 DAP, 2.83 cm at 120 DAP and 4.00 cm at harvest). Whereas the minimum leaf width was recorded in control i.e., 1.54 cm for 90 DAP, 2.40 cm for 120 DAP and 3.63 cm at harvest (Table 1). Jabborova et al. (2022) found PGPR significantly increased leaf width in ginger compared to the control. Kumar et al. (2010) reported AMF enhanced leaf width in *Jatropha curcas*. Similarly, Chen et al. (2020) noted improved leaf width in *Catalpa bungei* and Negi (2023) found *B. safensis* boosted leaf width in turmeric. These findings supported the present study, where PGPR application led to increased leaf width.

3.1.7. Incidence of rhizome rot (%)

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and incidence of rhizome rot was found to be significant. The lowest disease incidence was recorded in 75% RDP with *Bacillus safensis* showing a rate of 10.95%. Whereas the maximum incidence of disease was observed in control with 14.13% (Table 1). These findings were consistent with Ramulu et al. (2010) who observed that *T. viride* and *P. fluorescens* inhibited *Fusarium solani* by 80–88%. Patil et al. (2012) noted 83.33% inhibition of *Pythium* by *T. viride*,

while Maurya et al. (2014) found *P. fluorescens* effective against multiple fungi.

3.2. Yield traits

3.2.1. Rhizome length and breadth (cm)

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and rhizome length and breadth was found to be significant. The maximum rhizome length (21.74 cm) and breadth (11.57 cm) were observed in 75% RDP and *Bacillus safensis*.

Whereas minimum rhizome length (18.94 cm) and breadth (9.68 cm) were observed in control (Table 2). The findings aligned with those of Belimov et al. (2000) and Zahir et al. (2004) who observed that PGPR strains had different effects when combined with mineral fertilizers compared to when used alone. Similarly, Negi (2023) found that inoculating turmeric with *B. safensis* significantly increased both rhizome length and breadth.

Table 2: Effect of PGPR or	phosphorus solubilization :	ınd yield and qualit	y characters of ginger
----------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------	------------------------

	Yield traits					Quality traits			
	Rhizome length (cm)	Rhizome breadth (cm)	Yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Yield plot ⁻¹ (kg)	Yield ha ⁻¹ (t)	Dry matter recovery (%)	Essential oil (%)	Oleoresin content (%)	Crude fibre content (%)
T_{1}	21.33	11.14	209.52	9.22	19.95	22.40 (4.84)*	1.50 (1.58)	3.00 (2.00)	4.57 (2.36)
T_{2}	20.33	10.68	182.82	8.83	17.41	22.07 (4.80)	1.35 (1.53)	2.70 (1.92)	4.65 (2.38)
T_3	21.74	11.57	244.35	9.96	23.27	22.74 (4.87)	1.55 (1.60)	3.10 (2.02)	4.44 (2.33)
$T_{_4}$	19.56	10.62	169.64	8.64	16.16	21.99 (4.79)	1.33 (1.53)	2.67 (1.91)	4.83 (2.41)
T_{5}	21.28	11.11	202.50	8.97	19.29	22.13 (4.81)	1.39 (1.54)	2.78 (1.94)	4.64 (2.37)
T_6	19.43	10.34	164.21	8.32	15.64	20.29 (4.61)	1.30 (1.52)	2.60 (1.90)	4.91 (2.43)
T_7	18.94	9.68	149.59	7.67	14.25	18.70 (4.44)	1.27 (1.51)	2.55 (1.88)	4.94 (2.44)
SEm±	0.53	0.30	5.63	0.40	5.37	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.003
CD (p =0.05)	1.67	0.95	17.55	1.26	16.72	0.18	0.04	0.06	0.01

^{*}Figure given in the parenthesis are Square root transformed values

3.2.2. Yield [plant⁻¹ (g), plot⁻¹ (kg) and projected yield $ha^{-1}(t)$]

The effect of mulching on phosphorus solubilization and yield plant⁻¹, plot⁻¹ as well as yield ha⁻¹ was found to be significant. The maximum yield plant⁻¹ (244.35 g), yield plot⁻¹ (9.96 kg) and yield ha⁻¹ was recorded in 75% RDP and *Bacillus safensis* reaching 23.27 t. Whereas the minimum was recorded in control (149.59 g plant⁻¹, 7.67 kg plot⁻¹ and 14.25 t ha⁻¹) (Table 2). The findings aligned with Tamang and Manivannan (2020) who found that PGPR combined with VAM and *Trichoderma* significantly boosted ginger yield. Negi (2023) reported that using 75% RDP with *B. safensis* achieved the highest turmeric yield and benefit-cost ratio of 1.54.

3.2.3. Quality traits [Dry matter recovery (%), essential oil content (%), oleoresin content (%) and crude fibre content (%)]

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and dry rhizome recovery, essential oil content, oleoresin content and crude fibre content were found to be significant. The highest dry matter recovery (22.74%), essential oil content (1.55%) and oleoresin content (3.10%) were achieved in 75% RDP and *Bacillus safensis* and also resulted in the lowest crude fiber content of 4.44% (Table 2). Biari et al. (2008) and Negi (2023) found that PGPR strains enhanced the

absorption of essential nutrients such as N, P and K.

3.3. Soil analysis traits

3.3.1. Soil nutrients (Available NPK before planting, 120 DAP and at harvest)

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and available NPK before planting, 120 DAP and at harvest were found to be significant. Before planting, the available amounts of N, P and K were 402.66 kg ha⁻¹, 49.82 kg ha⁻¹ and 310.24 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. At 120 DAP, the highest available N, P and K were 523.56 kg ha⁻¹, 65.77 kg ha⁻¹ and 353.19 kg ha⁻¹ and at harvest, the highest amounts were 492.05 kg ha⁻¹ for N, 82.13 kg ha⁻¹ for P and 277.76 kg ha⁻¹ for K with 75% RDP and Bacillus safensis (Table 3). The findings were consistent with Jabborova et al. (2022) who reported that PGPR combinations improved ginger nutrition. Studies also showed that combining PGPB and AMF enhanced nutrient uptake in wheat (Yadav et al., 2021). Gao et al. (2020) observed improved maize growth with bio-fertilizers, while B. megaterium, B. pumilus and B. amyloliquefaciens boosted soil nutrients (Qaiser et al., 2018). Negi (2023) similarly found B. safensis improved soil nutrition.

3.3.2. Nutrient uptake (of leaf and rhizome at harvest)

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and nutrient uptake by the leaves were found to be significant. The maximum N, P and K uptake by the leaves were observed in 75% RDP and *Bacillus* safensis (19.90 kg ha⁻¹, 2.71 kg ha⁻¹ and 17.66 kg ha⁻¹, respectively), whereas control showed the lowest values of N (4.15 kg ha⁻¹), P (1.33 kg ha⁻¹) and K (6.43 kg ha⁻¹).

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and N and K uptake by the rhizomes were found to be significant. The maximum N and K uptake by the rhizomes were observed in 75% RDP and *Bacillus* safensis (31.01 kg ha⁻¹ and 39.80 kg ha⁻¹, respectively), whereas control showed the lowest values of N (15.47 kg ha⁻¹) and K (16.78 kg ha⁻¹). The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and P uptake by the leaves were found to be non-significant.

The study showed that the highest uptake of nutrients for N, P and K was observed in 75% RDP and *Bacillus safensis* at 50.91 kg ha⁻¹, 7.11 kg ha⁻¹ and 57.47 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The lowest uptake of N, P and K was recorded in control at 19.62 kg ha⁻¹, 4.64 kg ha⁻¹ and 23.76 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 3).

Jabborova et al. (2022) found dual inoculation with PGPR improved nutrient uptake in ginger. Combining PGPB and AMF enhanced plant nutrition as shown by Lopez-Arredondo et al. (2014) who reported better N, P and K absorption with B. megaterium and B. pumilus solubilizing phosphorus. Nacoon et al. (2020) observed increased Helianthus tuberosus growth with PSB and AMF, while Wahid et al. (2016) and Dhawi et al. (2016) found improved P uptake in maize and sorghum. Negi (2023) also found that 75% RDP with B. safensis boosted nutrient uptake in turmeric.

Table 3: Effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and soil nutrient analysis of ginger

	Available soil nutrients (kg ha ⁻¹)								
	N	1		P	F	K			
	120 DAP	At harvest	120 DAP	At harvest	120 DAP	At harvest			
T_1	512.54	472.58	59.70	78.40	350.15	276.27			
T_2	442.58	394.24	43.86	58.99	327.38	250.88			
T_3	523.56	492.05	65.77	82.13	353.19	277.76			
T_4	410.11	368.11	42.86	57.49	325.09	251.63			
T_{5}	498.10	456.96	45.43	61.36	331.14	259.84			
T_6	288.16	253.12	39.85	54.51	323.68	244.16			
T_7	283.67	243.41	26.21	41.84	320.71	243.41			
SEm±	12.71	11.51	1.96	2.65	5.37	3.86			
CD (p=0.05)	39.61	35.85	6.11	8.27	16.72	12.04			

Table 3: Continue...

	Nutrient uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)							ent uptake	e (kg ha ⁻¹)
		Leaf uptake		Rhiz	ome upta	ke	N	P	K
	N	P	K	N	P	K			
$T_{_1}$	17.32	2.55	14.53	28.10	4.17	26.13	45.42	6.71	40.66
T_2	16.40	1.62	8.30	27.00	3.67	21.52	43.40	5.29	29.82
T_3	19.90	2.71	17.66	31.01	4.40	39.80	50.91	7.11	57.47
T_4	7.13	1.49	6.57	18.85	3.55	21.20	25.98	5.05	27.77
T_5	16.62	1.83	8.49	27.71	3.89	22.38	44.33	5.73	30.88
T_6	5.93	1.35	7.98	17.50	3.32	16.82	23.43	4.68	24.25
T_7	4.15	1.33	6.43	15.47	3.31	16.78	19.62	4.64	23.76
SEm±	0.12	0.03	0.09	1.24	0.28	0.51	1.27	0.29	0.57
CD (<i>p</i> =0.05)	0.36	0.09	0.29	3.87	NS	1.59	3.97	0.89	1.78

NS: Non-significant

The effect of PGPR on phosphorus solubilization and rhizome yield was found to be significant. The maximum yield was recorded in 75% RDP and *Bacillus* safensis (23.27 t ha⁻¹) along with B:C ratio of 1.32. The minimum yield

was recorded in control i.e., 14.25 t ha⁻¹ with B:C ratio of 0.44 (Table 4). These finding aligned with Negi (2023) who found that using 75% RDP and *Bacillus* safensis increased the rhizome yield in turmeric.

Table 4: Effect of PGPR on	

	Yield ha ⁻¹ (t)	Gross return ha ⁻¹ @ ₹ 60 kg ⁻¹ (₹)	Cost of cultivation ha ⁻¹ (₹)	Net returns ha ⁻¹ (₹)	B:C ratio
$\overline{T_1}$	19.95	11,97,240	5,97,938	5,99,302	1.00
T_2	17.41	10,44,680	5,96,972	4,47,708	0.75
T_3	23.27	13,96,280	6,02,972	7,93,308	1.32
$T_{_4}$	16.16	9,69,400	5,96,036	3,73,364	0.62
T_{5}	19.28	11,57,120	6,02,036	5,55,117	0.76
$\mathrm{T}_{\scriptscriptstyle{6}}$	15.64	9,38,320	6,00,164	3,38,156	0.56
$\mathrm{T}_{_{7}}$	14.25	8,54,800	5,94,164	2,60,636	0.44
SEm±	5.22	32,199	-	32,198	0.07
CD (p=0.05)	16.27	1,00,313	_	1,00,311	0.22

4. CONCLUSION

Treating ginger cv. Solan Giriganga with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus safensis* and applying 37.5 kg ha⁻¹ of P_2O_5 yielded the highest rhizome yield (23.27 t ha⁻¹), along with the greatest net returns (₹ 7,93,308) and B:C ratio of 1.32 under the mid-hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Furthermore, it increased the availability of nutrients (492.05 kg ha⁻¹, 82.13 kg ha⁻¹ and 277.76 kg ha⁻¹ NPK respectively), which benefited the health of the soil.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The present research was financially supported by Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh and the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. The valuable contributions of researchers from the Department of Vegetable Science are also acknowledged. Additionally, the co-authors declare no conflict of interest regarding the content of this manuscript.

6. REFERENCES

Adesemoye, A.O., Torbert, H.A., Kloepper, J.W., 2009. Plant growth-promoting Rhizobacteria allow reduced application rates of chemical fertilizers. Microbial Ecology 58(4), 921–929.

Anh, N.H., Kim, S.J., Long, N.P., Min, J.E., Yoon, Y.C., Lee, E.G., Kim, M., Kim, T.J., Yang, Y.Y., Son, E.Y., Yoon, S.J., 2020. Ginger on human health: A comprehensive systematic review of 109 randomized controlled trials. Nutrients 12(1), 157.

Anonymous, 2020. Package of Practices for Vegetable

Crops. Directorate of Extension Education, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan (HP), 170. Available at: https://www.yspuniversity.ac.in accessed on April 12, 2024.

Anonymous, 2023a. Area and Production of Horticultural crops for 2022–23 (Final Estimate). All India. Available at https://agricoop.nic.in accessed on April 28, 2024

Anonymous, 2023b. Area and Production of Horticultural crops for 2022–23 (Final Estimate). State level. Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. Available at https://agricoop.nic.in/en/statistics/statelevel accessed on April 28, 2024.

Atajan, F.A., Mozafari, V., Abbaszadeh-Dahaji, P., Hamidpour M., 2019. Fractionation and speciation of manganese in rhizosphere soils of Pseudomonas sp. rhizobacteria inoculated Pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L.) seedlings under salinity stress. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 50, 894–908.

Belimov, A.A., Dietz. K.J., 2000. Effect of associative bacteria on element composition of barley seedlings grown in solution culture at toxic cadmium concentrations. Microbiological Research 155, 113–121.

Biari, A., Gholami, A., Rahmani, H.A., 2008. Growth promotion and enhanced nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) by application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in arid region of Iran. Journal of Biological Sciences 8(6), 1015–1020. Available at: https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.5555/20083327720 accessed on February 26, 2024.

- Chen, W., Meng, P., Feng, H., Wang, C., 2020. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and physiological performance of *Catalpa bungei* CA Mey. under drought stress. Forests 11, 1117.
- Crichton, M., Marshal, S., Marx, W., McCarthy, A.L., Isenring, E., 2019. Efficacy of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) in ameliorating chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting and chemotherapy-related outcomes: A systematic review update and meta-analysis. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics 119(12), 2055–2068.
- Dev, H., Sharma, V., 2016. Production technology of ginger and turmeric. In: Advances in production technology of commercial vegetable crops. Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, H.P., India, 124–131.
- Dhawi, F., Datta, R., Ramakrishna, W., 2016. Mycorrhiza and heavy metal resistant bacteria enhance growth, nutrient uptake and alter metabolic profile of sorghum grown in marginal soil. Chemosphere 157, 33–41.
- Dudhat, M.S., Malavia, D.D., Mathukia, R.K., Khanpara, V.D., 1997. Effect of nutrient management through organic and inorganic sources on growth, yield, quality and nutrients uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy 42(3), 455–458.
- Egamberdieva, D., Jabborova, D., Wirth, S., 2013. Alleviation of salt stress in legumes by co-inoculation with *Pseudomonas* and *Rhizobium*. In: Plant Microbe Symbiosis: Fundamentals and Advances, New Delhi: Springer, 291–303.
- Egamberdieva, D., Wirth, S., Jabborova, D., Rasanen, L.A., Liao, H., 2017. Coordination between *Bradyrhizobium* and *Pseudomonas* alleviates salt stress in soybean through altering root system architecture. Journal of Plant Interactions 12(1), 100–107.
- Fukami, J., Cerezini, P., Hungria, M., 2018. Azospirillum: Benefits that go far beyond biological nitrogen fixation. AMB Express 8, 73.
- Gao, C., El-Sawah, A.M., Ali, D.F., Alhaj Hamoud, Y., Shaghaleh, H., Sheteiwy, M.S., 2020. The integration of bio and organic fertilizers improve plant growth, grain yield, quality and metabolism of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.). Agronomy 10, 319.
- Giri, B., Prasad, R., Wu, Q.S., Varma, A., 2019. Biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture and environment. Springer International Publishing 55, 285–300.
- Hashem, A., Tabassum, B., Abdallah, E.F., 2019. *Bacillus subtilis*: A plant-growth promoting rhizobacterium that also impacts biotic stress. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 26(6), 1291–1297.

- Jabborova, D., Davranov, K., Jabbarov, Z., Bhowmik, S.N., Ericsli, S., Danish, S., Singh, S., Desouky, S.E., Elazzazy, A.M., Nasif, O., Dutta, R., 2022. Dual inoculation of plant growth-promoting *Bacillus endophyticus* and *Funneliformis mosseae* improves plant growth and soil properties in ginger. American Chemical Society Omega 7, 34779–34788.
- Jabborova, D., Enakiev, Y., Sulaymanov, K., Kadirova, D., Ali, A., Annapurna, K., 2021. Plant growth promoting bacteria *Bacillus subtilis* promote growth and physiological parameters of *Zingiber officinale* R. Plant Science Today 8(1), 66–71.
- Jabborova, D., 2022. The effects of *Pseudomonas koreensis* IGPEB 17 and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and physiological properties of ginger. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry 46, 488– 495.
- Jana, J.C., 2006. Effect of *Azospirillum* and graded levels of nitrogenous fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* R.). Environment and Ecology 24S(Special 3), 551–555.
- Kour, D., Rana, K.L., Yadav, A.N., Yadav, N., Kumar, M., Kumar, V., Vyas, P., Dhaliwal, H.S., Saxena, A.K., 2020. Microbial biofertilizers: bioresources and eco-friendly technologies for agricultural and environmental sustainability. Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology 23, 101487.
- Kumar, A., Sharma, S., Mishra, S., 2010. Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi and salinity on seedling growth, solute accumulation and mycorrhizal dependency of *Jatropha curcas*. L. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation 29, 297–306.
- Li, H.B., Singh, R.K., Singh, P., Song, Q.Q., Xing, Y.X., 2017. Genetic diversity of nitrogen-fixing and plant growth promoting *Pseudomonas* species isolated from sugarcane rhizosphere. Frontiers in Microbiology 8, 1268.
- Li, L., Mohamad, O.A., Ma, J., Friel, A.D., Su, Y., 2018. Synergistic plant-microbe interactions between endophytic bacterial communities and the medicinal plant *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* F. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 111, 1735–1748.
- Linu, M.S., Asok, A.K., Thampi, M., Sreekumar, J., Jisha, M.S., 2019. Plant growth promoting traits of indigenous phosphate solubilizing Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) rhizosphere. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 50, 444–57.
- Liu, Y.H., Guo, J.W., Salam, N., Li, L., Zhang, Y.G., 2016. Culturable endophytic bacteria associated with medicinal plant *Ferula songorica*: Molecular phylogeny, distribution and screening for industrially

- important traits. 3. Biotech 6, 209.
- Lopez-Arredondo, D.L., Leyva-Gonzalez, M.A., Gonzalez-Morales, S.I., Lopez-Bucio, J., Herrera-Estrella, L., 2014. Phosphate nutrition: improving low-phosphate tolerance in crops. Annual Review of Plant Biology 65, 95–123.
- Malua, E., Vassilev, N., 2014. A contribution to set a legal framework for bio-fertilizers. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 98, 6599–6607.
- Mao, Q.Q., Xu, X.Y., Cao, S.Y., Gan, R.Y., Corke, H., Beta, T., Li, H.B., 2019. Bioactive compounds and bioactivities of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* R.). Foods 8(6), 185.
- Maurya, M.K., Singh, R., Tomer, A., 2014. In vitro evaluation of antagonistic activity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against fungal pathogen. Journal of Biopesticide 7(1), 43–46.
- Nacoon, S., Jogloy, S., Riddech, N., Mongkolthanaruk, W., Kuyper, T.W., Boonlue, S., 2020. Interaction between phosphate solubilizing bacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth promotion and tuber inulin content of *Helianthus tuberosus* L. Scientific Reports 10, 1–10.
- Nair, K.P., 2019. Production, marketing and economics of ginger. In: Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) and Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.)-World's Invaluable Medicinal Spices. Springer, 493–507.
- Negi, N., 2023. Studies on plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, *Bacillus safensis* for phosphorus solubilization potential in ginger (MSc Thesis). Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, HP, India.
- Nour, A.H., Yap, S.S., Nour, A.H., 2017. Extraction and chemical compositions of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) essential oils as cockroaches repellent. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences 11(3), 1–8.
- Oliveira, C.A., Alves, V.M.C., Marriel, I.E., Gomes, E.A., Scotti, M.R., Carneiro, N.P., Guimaraes, C.T., Schaffert, R.E., Sá, N.M.H., 2009. Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms isolated from rhizosphere of maize cultivated in an oxisol of the Brazilian Cerrado Biome. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 41(9), 1782–1787.
- Panse, V.G., Sukhatme, P.V., 2000. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publications, New Delhi, 136.
- Patil, A., Laddha, A., Lunge, A., Paikrao, Mahure, S., 2012. *In vitro* antagonistic properties of selected *Trichoderma* species against tomato root rot causing *Pythium* species. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology 4(1), 302–315.

- Prasad, S., Bhardwaj, L.R., 2016. A textbook of production technology of spices, aromatic, medicinal and plantation crops. Agrobios, Jodhpur, India, 258.
- Pruthi, J.S., 1998. Spices and Condiments (5th Edn.). National Book Trust, New Delhi, 147–152.
- Qaiser, J., Yong Seong, L., Hyeon Deok, J., Kil Young, K., 2018. Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria *Bacillus amylliquefaciens* Y1 on soil properties, pepper seedling growth, rhizosphere bacterial flora and soil enzymes. Plant Protection Science 54, 129–137.
- Qiao, J., Yu, X., Liang, X., Liu, Y., Borriss, R., Liu, Y., 2017. Addition of plant growth-promoting *Bacillus subtilis* PTS-394 on tomato rhizosphere has no durable impact on composition of root microbiome. BMC Microbiology 17(1), 131.
- Ramulu, S., Reddy, R.G., Ramanjaneylul, R., 2010. Evaluation of certain plant extracts and antagonists against *Fusarium solani* and *Alternaria tenuissima*, the incitants of root rot and die-back diseases of mulberry. International Journal of Industrial Entomology 20(1), 1–5.
- Ravindran, P., Babu, K.N., Shiva, K., 2016. Botany and crop improvement of ginger. In: Ravindran, P., Babu, K.N. (Eds.), Ginger. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, 35–106.
- Raza, W., Akhtar, M.J., Arshad, M., Yousaf, S., 2004. Growth, nodulation and yield of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) as influenced by coinoculation with rhizobium and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences 41(3/4), 125.
- Santoyo, G., Guzmán-Guzmán, P., Parra-Cota, F.I., Santos-Villalobos, S.D., Orozco-Mosqueda, M., 2021. Plant Growth Stimulation by Microbial Consortia. Agronomy 11 (2), 219.
- Sanwal, S.K., Yadav, R.K., Singh, P.K., 2007. Effect of types of organic manure on growth, yield and quality parameters of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 77(2), 67–72.
- Schachtman, D.P., Reid, R.J., Ayling, S.M., 1998. Phosphorus uptake by plants: from soil to cell. Plant Physiology 116(2), 447–453.
- Shadap, A., Pariari, A., Lyngdoh, Y.A., 2018. Influence of organic manures, bio-fertilizers and graded dose of inorganic fertilizers on the growth and yield of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* R.). Plant Archives 18(2), 1593–1597.
- Solanki, M.K., Wang, Z., Wang, F.Y., Li, C.N., Lan, T.J., 2017. Intercropping in sugarcane cultivation influenced the soil properties and enhanced the diversity of vital diazotrophic bacteria. Sugar Tech 19, 136–147.
- Sood, G., Kaushal, R., Panwar, G., Dhiman, M., 2019.

- Effect of indigenous plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) productivity and soil nutrients. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 50, 141–152.
- Tamang, D.L., Manivannan, S., 2020. Next generation organic inputs on the soft rot disease, growth, yield and quality of ginger, *Zingiber officinale* L., grown in Sikkim Himalaya. Journal of Applied Horticulture 22(2), 147–151.
- Wahid, F., Sharif, M., Steinkellner, S., Khan, M.A., Marwat, K.B., Khan, S.A., 2016. Inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in the presence of rock phosphate

- improves phosphorus uptake and growth of maize. Pakistan Journal of Botany 48, 739–747.
- Yadav, R., Ror, P., Rathore, P., Kumar, S., Ramakrishna, W., 2021. *Bacillus subtilis* CP4, isolated from native soil in combination with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi promotes bio fortification, yield and metabolite production in wheat under field conditions. Journal of Applied Microbiology 131, 339–359.
- Zahir, A.Z., Arshad, M.G., Frankenberger, W.T., 2004. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: applications and perspectives in agriculture. Advances in Agronomy 81, 97–168.