



# Effect of Natural Farming on Wheat Productivity and Physico-chemical and Biological Properties of a Vertisol of Vidisha District of Madhya Pradesh

H. S. Raghuwanshi<sup>1</sup>, P. K. Jaga<sup>1</sup>, B. S. Dwivedi<sup>2</sup>, Prashant Kurmi<sup>2</sup>, P. N. Tripathi<sup>3</sup> and R. K. Thakur<sup>4</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Ganj Basoda, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh (464 221), India

<sup>2</sup>Dept. of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh (482 004), India

<sup>3</sup>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Panna, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh (488 001), India

<sup>4</sup>Dept. of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Balaghat, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh (481 331), India



Corresponding ✉ [drkthakur28@gmail.com](mailto:drkthakur28@gmail.com)

 0000-0003-4501-189X

## ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted during the month of October in the Rabi season of 2023–24 at the Research Field of the College of Agriculture, Ganj Basoda, District Vidisha, Madhya Pradesh, India to evaluate the effect of natural farming on the productivity of wheat and soil health. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with eight treatments (organic inputs) and three replications. The treatments included T<sub>1</sub> (Control), T<sub>2</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing), T<sub>3</sub> (Jeevamrit @ 20% foliar application at 21-day intervals), T<sub>4</sub> (Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit+Jeevamrit), T<sub>6</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit+Mulching), T<sub>7</sub> (Jeevamrit+Mulching), and T<sub>8</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit+Jeevamrit+Mulching). The highest grain and straw yields of wheat were recorded under Ghanjeevamrit+Jeevamrit+Mulching treatment, whereas the lowest grain and straw was observed in the Control plot. Similarly, yield attributes such as number of grains per spike and test weight were the highest under Ghanjeevamrit+Jeevamrit+Mulching, indicating improved crop performance with integrated natural farming inputs. It was also observed that treatment Ghanjeevamrit+Jeevamrit+Mulching resulted in the highest microbial count and dehydrogenase enzyme activity, suggesting an enhancement for soil biological health. These findings suggest that the combined application of Ghanjeevamrit, Jeevamrit, and Mulching can enhance both crop yield and soil health, making it a promising strategy for sustainable crop production.

**KEYWORDS:** Natural farming, wheat productivity, enzymatic activities, soil health, Vertisol

**Citation (VANCOUVER):** Raghuwanshi et al., Effect of Natural Farming on Wheat Productivity and Physico-chemical and Biological Properties of a Vertisol of Vidisha District of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 2026; 17(3), 01-09. [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/1.2026.6890](https://doi.org/10.23910/1.2026.6890).

**Copyright:** © 2026 Raghuwanshi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, that permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium after the author(s) and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** Legal restrictions are imposed on the public sharing of raw data. However, author have full right to transfer or share the data in raw form upon request subject to either meeting the conditions of the original consents and the original research study. Further, access of data needs to meet whether the user complies with the ethical and legal obligations as data controllers to allow for secondary use of the data outside of the original study.

**Conflict of interests:** The author has declared that no conflict of interest exists.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops worldwide and serves as a staple food for nearly 40% of the global population. However, the rapidly increasing demand for wheat due to population growth in India and across the world has intensified reliance on chemical fertilizers, particularly nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, following the Green Revolution. Although these inputs enhanced productivity, their prolonged and excessive use has negatively impacted soil health by reducing organic carbon, increasing salinity, and altering soil pH (Dwivedi et al., 2019, Patidar et al., 2021, and Sharma et al., 2021). As a sustainable alternative, the text discusses the growing use of fermented liquid organic products such as Panchagavya, Jeevamrit, Beejamrit, Ghanjeevamrit (Kulkarni et al., 2019), and Vermiwash, which have gained attention as sustainable alternatives for improving soil fertility and crop productivity (Palekar, 2006). These preparations are rich in macro- and micronutrients, beneficial microorganisms, vitamins, amino acids, and plant growth-promoting substances such as indole acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellic acid (GA). Soil biological properties are key indicators of soil health, and fertile soils are characterized by diverse populations of bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes that enhance nutrient cycling through enzyme activity (Saharan et al., 2023, and Tekam et al., 2024). Indigenous cow dung- and cow urine-based formulations have been reported to significantly improve soil microbial populations, enzyme activities, and antagonistic microorganisms that suppress plant pathogens. The effectiveness of these natural farming practices is cited by Sreenivasa et al. (2009) and Khadse et al. (2019). They found that indigenous formulations like cow dung and urine-based products positively impact soil biological properties. The cow-based products improve soil properties and increase beneficial microbial populations, which act as antagonists against plant pathogens (Patel et al., 2013). Natural farming, including organic agricultural practices, offers a holistic and sustainable management approach aimed at improving soil health, enhancing ecosystem balance (Devarinti et al., 2016), and reducing dependence on market-based chemical inputs (Gupta et al., 2021, Chunchu et al., 2021, and Choudhary et al., 2022). This approach emphasizes the efficient use of on-farm resources and the stimulation of natural biological processes to sustain crop productivity (Kumar et al., 2022, and Verma et al., 2024). In wheat cultivation during the rabi season, natural farming primarily relies on four key components: Ghanjeevamrit, Jeevamrit, Beejamrit, and mulching (Al-Amin et al., 2017). These inputs collectively contribute to improved soil fertility, biological activity, and nutrient availability. Ghanjeevamrit, prepared from indigenous cow dung and

urine along with besan, jaggery, and native soil, enriches the soil with diverse microbial consortia that enhance nutrient mineralization and organic matter decomposition. Jeevamrit, a liquid organic formulation, plays a vital role in regulating soil pH, supplying essential micronutrients, and increasing the population of beneficial microorganisms, including nitrogen-fixing, phosphorus-solubilizing, and potash-solubilizing bacteria, thereby improving nutrient use efficiency and crop growth (Meshram et al., 2018; Yaduwanshi et al., 2021; Thakur et al., 2025). Beejamrit is mainly used as a seed treatment to protect seedlings from soil-borne pathogens and to promote early root development. Natural farming practices prioritize minimal external inputs and focus on strengthening soil ecosystems through the activity of beneficial microorganisms, earthworms, and natural nutrient cycling processes (Das et al., 2023, Patel et al., 2018, and Sarvade et al., 2025). Therefore, recognizing the environmental and economic benefits of natural farming, states such as Himachal Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh have set targets to transition toward fully natural agricultural systems by 2022 and 2027, respectively (Khurana and Kumar, 2020). In view of these considerations, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the impact of natural farming practices on wheat productivity and soil health in a vertisol.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted during the month of October in the Rabi season of 2023–24 at the Research Field of the College of Agriculture, Ganj Basoda (23.85°N 77.95°E), Vidisha District, Madhya Pradesh, to assess the effect of natural farming on the productivity of wheat and soil health. The average minimum temperature in January ranges from 19.0°C to 24.1°C, the average maximum temperature in April varies between 37.8°C and 39.7°C. The average yearly seasonal rainfall in this area is 139 mm, mostly falling between June and September. The average relative humidity was between 20 to 92% in the mornings and 25 to 96% in the evenings. The present experiment consisted of eight treatments, *i.e.*, T<sub>1</sub> (Control), T<sub>2</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing), T<sub>3</sub> (Jeevamrit @ 20% foliar application at 21-day intervals), T<sub>4</sub> (Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit+Jeevamrit), T<sub>6</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit+Mulching), T<sub>7</sub> (Jeevamrit+Mulching), and T<sub>8</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit+Jeevamrit+Mulching), which was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications.

The soil of the experimental site was classified as sandy clay loam, with a pH of 7.48, EC 0.17 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 4.0 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, available nitrogen 202 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus 17.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and potassium 281 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Wheat crop variety HI-1544 was grown in the research field, and the growing

period of this variety is 115–120 days. The different growth parameters, such as plant height, number of tillers, and dry matter accumulation, were recorded at 30, 60, 90 DAS, and harvest. The yield attributes of the crop were evaluated at harvest to assess the influence of different treatments on productivity. The number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> was determined by counting the spikes from five randomly selected plants in each plot, and their mean value was recorded. The seed test weight was determined by weighing 1000 randomly selected, clean, and winnowed seeds from each plot, and the values were expressed in grams. Following threshing, the cleaned produce from each plot was weighed to determine the grain yield, which was then converted to kilograms per hectare. The straw yield was calculated by subtracting the grain yield from the total biological yield.

For the soil analysis, the composite samples were haphazardly collected from 0–15 cm soil depth at initial and treatment wise with after harvest of the crop. These samples were air-dried, crushed, and sieved through a 2 mm sieve. The soil pH was measured using a pH meter with a glass electrode in a 1:2 soil: water suspension (Jackson, 1973). The same suspension's supernatant solution was used to determine the electrical conductivity (EC) with an EC meter (Jackson, 1973). Soil organic carbon was determined using the rapid titration method developed by Walkley and Black (1934). Available N (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), available P (Olsen et al., 1954), and available K (Muhre et al., 1965) were determined. Total microbial population count (bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes) by serial dilution/pour plate method (Xu and Zheng, 1986). The dehydrogenase activity was measured according to Burns (1978) instructions. The generated data on growth attributes, yield attributes, and crop yield, physico-chemical

and biological properties of soil were statistically analyzed to draw inferences by the standard procedure outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1970).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Effect of natural farming on growth attributes

##### 3.1.1. Plant height

The study on wheat plant height (Table 1) at different growth stages (30, 60, 90 DAS, and at harvest) revealed that the treatment comprising Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% (foliar application at 21-day intervals)+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>) recorded the maximum plant height across all stages. The enhanced growth might be attributed to the foliar application of nutrient-rich Jeevamrit containing growth hormones, biofertilizers, and essential macro-and micronutrients in liquid form, which likely stimulated metabolic activity and cell elongation. These results indicate that the integrated application of Ghanjeevamrit, Jeevamrit, and mulching plays a significant role in promoting wheat growth. Similar observations were reported by Chaudhary et al. (2022), who observed increased plant height under the combined application of Ghanjeevamrit, Jeevamrit, and mulching. Likewise, Sutar et al. (2018) recorded significantly higher plant height in field bean with the application of Jeevamrit. Furthermore, Singh et al. (2023) reported that wheat plant height was significantly influenced by different nutrient management practices at 120 DAS.

##### 3.1.2. Total number of tillers

The results of the study revealed that the combined application of organic treatments significantly increased the number of tillers per square meter in wheat compared

Table 1: Effect of natural farming on growth and yield attributes of wheat crop

| Treatment                                                                       | Plant height (cm) |        |        |            | Tillers (m <sup>-2</sup> ) |        |        |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|------------|----------------------------|--------|--------|------------|
|                                                                                 | 30 DAS            | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest | 30 DAS                     | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest |
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control                                                        | 11.2              | 40.3   | 62.1   | 63.2       | 50.4                       | 101.3  | 120.2  | 114.5      |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup>                           | 12.0              | 46.3   | 64.6   | 65.0       | 56.6                       | 109.8  | 127.1  | 121.5      |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%                                                | 11.5              | 46.1   | 66.3   | 67.6       | 59.9                       | 118.9  | 132.4  | 123.1      |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Mulching 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>                                 | 11.6              | 53.3   | 65.3   | 65.9       | 60.8                       | 117.6  | 136.4  | 127.9      |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%          | 12.4              | 66.0   | 68.6   | 69.8       | 68.1                       | 131.1  | 150.2  | 143.2      |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Mulching                 | 12.2              | 63.0   | 67.2   | 68.6       | 64.4                       | 120.6  | 139.7  | 131.1      |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching                                       | 11.9              | 52.6   | 67.6   | 68.2       | 65.9                       | 124.9  | 144.5  | 138.4      |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching | 12.8              | 69.0   | 71.3   | 72.1       | 71.0                       | 134.4  | 154.7  | 151.0      |
| SEm±                                                                            | 0.10              | 1.02   | 1.61   | 1.17       | 0.95                       | 1.41   | 2.44   | 2.89       |
| CD (p=0.05)                                                                     | 0.31              | 3.03   | 4.89   | 3.35       | 2.9                        | 4.3    | 7.41   | 8.77       |

Table 1: Continue...

| Treatment                                                                       | Dry matter accumulation (g m <sup>-2</sup> ) |        |        |            | No. of grains per spike <sup>-1</sup> | Test weight (g) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------|--------|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                                                                                 | 30 DAS                                       | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest |                                       |                 |
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control                                                        | 21.0                                         | 40.2   | 104.0  | 110.1      | 37.05                                 | 35.15           |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup>                           | 27.4                                         | 48.5   | 113.4  | 116.0      | 38.93                                 | 37.63           |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%                                                | 25.5                                         | 55.2   | 121.5  | 128.5      | 39.34                                 | 37.09           |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Mulching 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>                                 | 30.2                                         | 51.7   | 116.7  | 120.1      | 37.30                                 | 37.29           |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%          | 32.4                                         | 63.0   | 124.2  | 133.4      | 40.27                                 | 39.97           |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Mulching                 | 34.6                                         | 67.8   | 137.2  | 145.2      | 39.60                                 | 38.63           |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching                                       | 30.9                                         | 59.1   | 122.2  | 131.0      | 39.93                                 | 39.17           |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching | 38.4                                         | 74.4   | 144.8  | 152.1      | 41.58                                 | 41.56           |
| SEm±                                                                            | 0.47                                         | 0.85   | 2.11   | 1.72       | 0.95                                  | 35.15           |
| CD (p=0.05)                                                                     | 1.41                                         | 2.57   | 6.39   | 5.29       | NS                                    | 37.63           |

to the control and single-component treatments (Table 1). The highest tiller count (146 tillers at harvest) was observed in the treatment comprising Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% foliar spray at 21-day intervals+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>), followed by Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% foliar spray (T<sub>5</sub>) and Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>), which also exhibited notable improvements in tiller production. The superior performance of these combinations may be attributed to the synergistic effects of organic inputs, where Ghanjeevamrit and Jeevamrit enhance soil microbial activity and nutrient availability, while mulching conserves soil moisture, minimizes temperature fluctuations, and suppresses weed growth-creating favourable conditions for tiller development. Similar observations were reported by Chaudhary et al. (2022), and Singh et al. (2023) revealed that the number of effective tillers in wheat was significantly higher under inorganic nutrient management, which remained statistically at par with the natural farming nutrient management treatment comprising Beejamrit+Jeevamrit+mulching.

### 3.1.3. Dry matter accumulation

The data presented in Table 1 on dry matter accumulation in wheat at different growth stages (30, 60, 90 DAS, and at harvest) indicated that the combined treatment of Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% (foliar application at 21-day intervals)+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>) recorded the maximum dry matter accumulation, achieving 148.8 g m<sup>-2</sup> at harvest, which was significantly higher than the control (T<sub>1</sub>) that accumulated 104 g m<sup>-2</sup>. The superior performance of T<sub>8</sub> can be attributed to the integrated effect of organic amendments and mulching, which likely improved nutrient availability, soil moisture

conservation, and microbial activity, thereby promoting enhanced vegetative growth and biomass production. These results are in close agreement with the findings of Chaudhary et al. (2022), who reported that organic amendments such as Jeevamrit and Panchgavya significantly improved plant growth and dry matter accumulation. Similarly, Somdutt et al. (2023) reported that the application of FYM+vermicompost (50% each) along with Jeevamrit applied twice (at 30 and 45 DAS) significantly increased dry matter accumulation (36.71 g) in soybean at Rahuri, Maharashtra.

### 3.2. Effect of natural farming on yield attributes and yield of wheat crop

#### 3.2.1. Grains spike<sup>-1</sup>

The treatment Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% (foliar application at 21-day intervals)+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>) recorded the maximum number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> (41.56), which was significantly superior to all other treatments (Table 1). The lowest grain number per spike (35.15 grains spike<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in the control (T<sub>1</sub>). The combined application of Ghanjeevamrit, Jeevamrit, and mulching exhibited a synergistic effect on enhancing the yield attributes of wheat. This integrated treatment likely optimized nutrient availability, improved soil structure, and stimulated microbial activity, collectively contributing to improved grain development and overall productivity. The superior performance of this combination can be ascribed to its holistic approach in maintaining soil fertility, balanced nutrient supply, and favourable rhizosphere conditions, which are essential for achieving higher grain yields (Sutar et al., 2018, Chaudhary et al., 2022 and Reveena et al., 2025). Similar results were reported by Singh et al. (2023), who observed that integrated nutrient management practices resulted in a higher number of grains

per spike in wheat compared with the natural farming nutrient management treatment comprising Beejamrit + Jeevamrit+mulching.

### 3.2.2. Test weight of wheat

The results on test weight (1000 grain) are presented in Table 1 and indicate that different natural farming treatments did not have a significant effect. The highest test weight (41.8 g) was recorded under Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% foliar spray at 21-day intervals+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>), while the lowest was observed in the control (T<sub>1</sub>, 37.05 g). However, no statistically significant differences were detected among treatments, suggesting that this combination did not notably enhance test weight compared to the control or individual treatments. This combination appears to create a mutually beneficial effect that improves soil fertility, enhances nutrient availability, and promotes better grain filling. The superior performance of the combination treatment compared to individual treatments and the control suggests that integrating multiple organic farming practices can lead to more substantial improvements in crop yield attributes. Similarly, Patel et al. (2021) reported a significantly higher test weight in summer pearl millet with the application of 75% RDN along with foliar sprays of Panchagavya @ 4% and Jeevamrut @ 500 l ha<sup>-1</sup>, applied with irrigation at 30 and 45 DAS. Furthermore, Reveena et al. (2025) reported that maize intercropped with lobia or soybean, along with the application of Jeevamrut at 14-day intervals, proved to be the most effective treatment for enhancing test weight and other yield attributes.

### 3.2.3. Grain and straw yields of wheat

The grain and straw yields of wheat as influenced by different treatments are depicted in Table 2. The highest grain and straw yields (2535 and 3575 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were recorded under Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% foliar application at 21-day intervals+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>), which was significantly higher than the control (1873 and 2987 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). These results suggest that a synergistic effect might be due to these organic treatments, potentially enhancing nutrient availability, water retention, and overall plant health throughout the growth cycle of wheat. The treatments that were statistically comparable in yield performance. Comparable results were documented by Chaudhary et al. (2022), who reported that the combined application of Ghanjeevamrit, Jeevamrit, and mulching significantly enhanced wheat grain yield over two consecutive years compared with the absolute control, a trend also supported by Tekam et al. (2024) and Verma et al. (2024). Likewise, Patel et al. (2021) observed significantly higher grain and straw yields in summer pearl millet with the application of

Table 2: Effect of natural farming on grain and straw yields of wheat

| Treatment                                                                        | Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control                                                         | 1873                               | 2986                               |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup>                            | 2131                               | 3238                               |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%                                                 | 2154                               | 3271                               |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Mulching 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>                                  | 2089                               | 3177                               |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%           | 2382                               | 3573                               |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Mulching                  | 2268                               | 3331                               |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching                                        | 2378                               | 3339                               |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> + Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching | 2535                               | 3575                               |
| SEm±                                                                             | 37.7                               | 78.8                               |
| CD (p=0.05)                                                                      | 114.5                              | 239.2                              |

75% RDN along with foliar sprays of Panchagavya @ 4% and Jeevamrut @ 500 l ha<sup>-1</sup>, applied with irrigation at 30 and 45 DAS.

### 3.3. Effect of natural farming on soil health

#### 3.3.1. Physico-chemical properties of soil

The data of physico-chemical properties of soil under different natural farming treatments are presented in Table 3, and the results indicated that the soil pH and EC did not vary significantly across treatments, although slight improvements were observed compared to the initial values. In contrast, organic carbon content showed enhancement, with the highest value (0.47%) recorded under the combined treatment of Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub>), which was greater than the control (T<sub>1</sub>, 0.40%). Treatments such as T<sub>2</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit, 0.42%), T<sub>3</sub> (Jeevamrit foliar spray, 0.43%), and T<sub>4</sub> (Mulching, 0.44%) also improved OC levels. Similarly, combined treatments T<sub>5</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit+Jeevamrit, 0.44%), T<sub>6</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit+Mulching, 0.46%), and T<sub>7</sub> (Jeevamrit+Mulching, 0.46%) demonstrated enhanced organic carbon, highlighting the effectiveness of integrated natural farming practices in improving soil organic matter (Parihar et al., 2010; Sharma et al., 2021; Pathariya et al., 2022; Saharan et al., 2023; and Tiwari et al., 2024).

The results further revealed that the different natural farming treatments did not significantly affect the levels of available nitrogen, phosphorus, or potassium, although slight improvements were observed under certain treatments. The highest nutrient levels were recorded under

Table 3: Effect of natural farming on soil available nutrients (NPK) after harvest of crop

| Treatment                                                                       | Soil pH | EC<br>(dS m <sup>-1</sup> ) | Organic carbon<br>(g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Available nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |      |       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------|-------|
|                                                                                 |         |                             |                                         | N                                          | P    | K     |
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control                                                        | 7.61    | 0.17                        | 0.40                                    | 175                                        | 14.1 | 219   |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup>                           | 7.63    | 0.20                        | 0.42                                    | 182                                        | 17.3 | 248   |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%                                                | 7.65    | 0.21                        | 0.43                                    | 183                                        | 17.7 | 253   |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Mulching 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>                                 | 7.63    | 0.21                        | 0.44                                    | 181                                        | 15.4 | 241   |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%          | 7.64    | 0.22                        | 0.44                                    | 188                                        | 20.2 | 271   |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Mulching                 | 7.6     | 0.21                        | 0.46                                    | 186                                        | 16.8 | 259   |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching                                       | 7.67    | 0.22                        | 0.46                                    | 187                                        | 17.9 | 255   |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching | 7.63    | 0.23                        | 0.47                                    | 191                                        | 21.6 | 277   |
| SEm±                                                                            | 0.02    | 0.04                        | 0.03                                    | 12.11                                      | 2.29 | 14.17 |
| CD ( <i>p</i> =0.05)                                                            | NS      | NS                          | NS                                      | NS                                         | NS   | NS    |

T<sub>1</sub> (Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% foliar application at 21-day intervals+Mulching @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), suggesting that some nutrients remained in the soil post-harvest, likely due to enhanced nutrient retention and microbial activity. Treatments such as Ghanjeevamrit alone (T<sub>2</sub>), Jeevamrit alone (T<sub>3</sub>), and Mulching alone (T<sub>4</sub>) also showed improved nutrient availability compared to the control, though differences among them were not statistically significant. Overall, the soil under study was low in nitrogen and phosphorus but high in potassium, and the findings are consistent with previous data showing that organic amendments, either individually or in combination, can sustain or enhance soil fertility. These results highlight that integrated organic practices in natural farming can promote long-term soil health and nutrient management

(Bagde et al., 2023).

### 3.3.2. Biological properties of soil

The data on soil microbial counts and dehydrogenase activities of soil, as influenced by various natural farming components, are presented in Table 4. The treatment T<sub>8</sub> exhibited a significantly higher bacterial population, indicating that the combined application of Ghanjeevamrit, Jeevamrit, and mulching creates an optimal environment for microbial activity. This enhancement can be attributed to improved soil structure, moisture retention, and nutrient availability provided by these organic amendments, which collectively support bacterial proliferation. Treatments T<sub>2</sub> through T<sub>7</sub> also showed increased bacterial counts compared to the control, highlighting the positive influence of natural

Table 4: Effect of natural farming on biological properties (microbial count) of soil at the end of the experiment

| Treatment                                                                       | Bacteria<br>(x10 <sup>6</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup><br>soil) | Fungi<br>(x10 <sup>3</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup><br>soil) | Actinomycetes<br>(x10 <sup>5</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> soil) | Dehydrogenase<br>activity (µg TPF<br>g soil <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                 |                                                            |                                                         |                                                              |                                                                              |
| T <sub>1</sub> : Control                                                        | 22.3                                                       | 10.6                                                    | 20.0                                                         | 1.55                                                                         |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup>                           | 23.6                                                       | 12.3                                                    | 21.6                                                         | 1.68                                                                         |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%                                                | 26.0                                                       | 14.3                                                    | 24.0                                                         | 2.11                                                                         |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Mulching 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>                                 | 25.3                                                       | 12.3                                                    | 23.3                                                         | 2.01                                                                         |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%          | 29.5                                                       | 14.3                                                    | 25.3                                                         | 3.02                                                                         |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Mulching                 | 27.3                                                       | 14.1                                                    | 24.2                                                         | 2.6                                                                          |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching                                       | 28.0                                                       | 16.6                                                    | 25.3                                                         | 2.47                                                                         |
| T <sub>8</sub> : Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q ha <sup>-1</sup> +Jeevamrit @ 20%+Mulching | 32.6                                                       | 18.7                                                    | 27.1                                                         | 3.09                                                                         |
| SEm±                                                                            | 0.94                                                       | 0.58                                                    | 1.03                                                         | 0.04                                                                         |
| CD ( <i>p</i> =0.05)                                                            | 2.86                                                       | 1.77                                                    | 3.13                                                         | 0.13                                                                         |

farming practices on soil health. Similar observations were reported by Bairwa et al. (2021) in soybean fields, where the combined use of Ghanjeevamrit and other organic treatments significantly increased soil microbial populations due to enhanced nutrient availability. These results align with Chaudhary et al. (2022), who reported that the highest bacterial populations in wheat were achieved with the combined application of Ghanjeevamrit, Jeevamrit, and mulching.

The  $T_8$  treatment also recorded the highest fungal population, significantly exceeding the control and other treatments, indicating that this combination provides favorable conditions for fungal growth. Organic amendments likely improved soil structure, moisture retention, and nutrient availability, thereby supporting fungal proliferation. Treatments  $T_2$  to  $T_7$  exhibited increased fungal counts relative to the control, further confirming the beneficial impact of natural farming practices on soil microbial health. These findings are consistent with the observations of Chaudhary et al. (2022), Thakur et al. (2022), and Devi et al. (2025), who reported that Jeevamrit enhanced soil fungal populations. Bharadwaj et al. (2021) similarly noted that fungal populations and soil enzymatic activity in rice paddies increased after Jeevamrit application.

The actinomycetes population data, also presented in Table 4, revealed that  $T_8$  significantly increased counts to  $27.1 \times 10^3$  cfu  $g^{-1}$  soil compared to  $20.0 \times 10^3$  cfu  $g^{-1}$  in the control ( $T_1$ ). This combination creates a favorable environment for actinomycetes, which play a crucial role in organic matter decomposition and nutrient cycling. Treatments involving single or partial applications also showed progressive increases in actinomycetes, indicating that each organic input positively influences soil microbial health. Comparable results were observed in studies on sesame, soybean, field beans, and wheat by Kushwaha et al. (2017), Meshram et al. (2018), Bairwa et al. (2021) and Tiwari et al. (2024) all of which highlighted that organic nutrient inputs promote microbial diversity and overall soil health.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The application of Ghanjeevamrit @ 5 q  $ha^{-1}$  before sowing+Jeevamrit @ 20% foliar spray at 21-day intervals+mulching @ 10 t  $ha^{-1}$  ( $T_8$ ) significantly enhanced wheat growth and yield parameters, resulted in the highest grain yields, and recorded maximum values for all measured growth and yield attributes. Further, results revealed that organic carbon and available soil N, P, and K were not significantly affected by different treatments. Nevertheless, soil microbial populations were significantly increased under treatment  $T_8$ .

#### 5. REFERENCE

Al-Amin, M.A., Hasan, A.K., Ali, M.H., Nessa, S., Islam,

- M.N., 2017. Effect of mulching and organic manure on growth and yield performance of wheat. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science 2(3), 134–140. Available at: <https://journals.aesacademy.org/index.php/aaes/article/view/02-03-01>
- Bagde, V., Dwivedi, B.S., Dwivedi, A.K., Thakur, R., Nagwanshi, A., 2023. Effect of long-term fertilizer and farm yard manure application on soil phosphorus fractions in a Vertisol under soybean–wheat cropping sequence. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 71, 207–216. Available at: <https://epubs.icar.org.in/index.php/JISSS/article/view/140795>.
- Bairwa, J., Dwivedi, B.S., Rawat, A., Thakur, R.K., Mahawar, N., 2021 Long-term effect of nutrient management on soil microbial properties and nitrogen fixation in a Vertisol under soybean–wheat cropping sequence. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 69, 171–178. Available at: <https://epubs.icar.org.in/index.php/JISSS/article/view/113343>.
- Bhardwaj, L., Pandey, J., Dubey, S.K., 2021. Effects of herbicides on soil enzymes and their regulatory factors in agroecosystem: A review. In the book Plant, Soil and Microbes in Tropical Ecosystems, 71–100. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-3364-5\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-3364-5_5).
- Burns, R.G., 1978. Soil enzymes. Academic Press, New York, 370 p. Available at: <https://www.abebooks.com/book-search/title/soil-enzymes/author/roger-burns>.
- Choudhary, R., Kumar, R., Sharma, G.D., Sharma, R.P., Rana, N., Dev, P., 2022. Effect of natural farming on yield performances, soil health, and nutrient uptake in the wheat+ gram intercropping system in sub-temperate regions of Himachal Pradesh. Journal of Crop and Weed 18(2), 1–8. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/09746315.2022.v18.i2.1566>.
- Chunchu, S.K., Singh, G., 2021. Role of organic liquid formulations agriculture: A review. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research 8(4), 250–255. Available at: <https://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR2104233>.
- Das, S.K., Kumar, A., Yadav, A., Laha, R., Mishra, V.K., 2023. Zero budget natural farming practices on yield of crops (Maize+soybean and Pea+coriander) in mid hill of Sikkim Himalayas. Journal of Agriculture and Ecology 16(16), 37–42. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58628/JAE-2316-208>.
- Devarinti, S.R., 2016. Natural farming: Eco-Friendly and Sustainable? Agrotechnology 5(2), 1–5. doi: 10.4172/2168-9881.1000147.
- Devi, M., Sharma, A., Shukla, A.V.A., Dev, I., Chandel, R.S., Chauhan, J., Verma, S., Kapoor, R., Bharti, Rana, Rajesh, Sheoran, Parvender, Mohit, 2025. Impact of Jeevamrit and Ghanjeevamrit on soil properties and productivity of kiwi fruit. Plant Science

- Today 12(2), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.7597>.
- Dwivedi, B.S., Sharma, A., Dwivedi, A.K., Thakur, R.K., 2019. Response of phosphorus application on the productivity of wheat in a farmer's field. *Universal Journal of Agricultural Research* 7, 20–24. DOI: 10.13189/ujar.2019.070103.
- Gupta, K., Bhadaurial, S.S., Kasana, B.S., 2021. Effect of zero budget natural farming on organic carbon and microbial population of wheat. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Innovation* 10, 1112–1115. Available at: <https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/2021/vol10issue12S/PartP/S-10-12-80-213.pdf>.
- Jackson, M.L., 1973. Soil chemical analysis published in 2005: Advanced course. UW-Madison Libraries Parallel Press. Available at: [https://books.google.co.in/books/about/Soil\\_Chemical\\_Analysis](https://books.google.co.in/books/about/Soil_Chemical_Analysis).
- Khadse, A., Rosset, P.M., 2019. Zero budget natural farming in India—from inception to institutionalization. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems* 43(7–8), 848–871. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21683565.2019.1608349>.
- Khurana, A., Kumar, V., 2020. The state of organic and natural farming in India: Challenges and possibilities, centre for science and environment, New Delhi. <https://www.aesanetwork.org/uploads/2020/09>
- Kulkarni, S.S., Gargelwar, A.P., Patil, D.Y., 2019. Production and microbial analysis of Jeevamritm for Nitrogen fixers and Phosphate solubilizers in the rural area from Maharashtra. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science* 12(8), 85–92. Available at: <https://goau.gujarat.gov.in/writereaddata/Portal/Images/pdf/23.pdf>.
- Kumar, R., Manuja, S., Sharma, R.P., Sharma, G.D., Verma, S., 2022. Evaluation of different components of natural farming in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.) under mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. *Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research* 47(2), 175–179. Available at: <https://hjar.org/index.php/hjar/article/view/168988>.
- Kushwaha, S., Sawarkar, S.D., Thakur, R., Khamparia, N.K., Singh, M., 2017. Impact of long-term nutrient management on soil N dynamics under soybean-wheat cropping sequence on a Vertisol. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 65, 274–282. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0228.2017.00031.7>.
- Meshram, M.K., Dwivedi, B.S., Naik, K.R., Thakur, R.K., Keram, K.S., 2018. Impact of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on yield, nutrient uptake, soil fertility, and economic performance of rice in a Typic Haplustert. *Journal of Soils and Crops* 28(1), 31–36. Available at: <https://www.journalofsoilsandcrops.com/Download/Jun2018/5.pdf>.
- Muhr, G.R., Datta, N.P., Subrsmany, H.S., Leley, V.K., Dunahue, R.L., 1965. Soil testing in India. Asia Press, New Delhi.
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanable, F.S., Dean, L.A., 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular 939, 1–19. Available at: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=1117235>.
- Palekar, S., 2006. The principles of spiritual farming II. 2nd ed. Amravati: Zero Budget Natural Farming Research, Development and Extension Movement, Amravati, Maharashtra, India. Available at: <http://www.vedicbooks.net/principles-spiritual-farming-volumep-14779.html>.
- Panse, V.G., Sukhatme, S.V., 1970. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. Available at: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Statistical-methods-for-agricultural-workers.-Panse-Sukhatme/3f81bb78558dac4e98eac2a6d05c7dcf0b87b128>.
- Parihar, S.K., Dwivedi, B.S., Khan, I.M., Tiwari, R.K., 2010. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and economics of little millet (*Panicum sumatrense* Roth. Ex Roem. and Schult.). *Journal of Soils and Crops* 20, 211–215. Doi: <https://dx.doi.org/pdf/10.5555/20113040085>.
- Patel, G., Dwivedi, B.S., Dwivedi, A.K., Thakur, R., Singh, M., 2018. Long-term effect of nutrient management on soil biochemical properties in a vertisol under soybean-wheat cropping sequence. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 66, 215–221. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0974-0228.2018.00027.0>.
- Patel, M.M., Patel, D.M., Patel, K.M., 2013. Effect of Panchagavya on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.). An International e-Journal 2(3), 313–317. Available at: <https://scispace.com/pdf/effect-of-panchagavya-on-growth-and-yield-of-cowpea-vigna-2y2aadz232.pdf>.
- Patel, S.P., Malve, S.H., Chavda, M.H., Vala, Y.B., 2021. Effect of Panchagavya and Jeevamrut on growth, yield attributes and yield of summer pearl millet. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 10(12), 105–109. Available at: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358979166\\_Effect\\_of\\_Panchagavya\\_and\\_Jeevamrut\\_on\\_growth\\_yield\\_attributes\\_and\\_yield\\_of\\_summer\\_pearl\\_millet](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358979166_Effect_of_Panchagavya_and_Jeevamrut_on_growth_yield_attributes_and_yield_of_summer_pearl_millet).
- Patidar, Nilesh, Dwivedi, A.K., Dwivedi, B.S., Thakur, R.K., Bairwa, Jalendra, Sharma, Abhishek, 2021. Impact of long-term application of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure on soil fertility and crop productivity under soybean-wheat cropping system in a Vertisol. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change* 11(8), 24–30. <https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.7597>.

- org/10.9734/ijec/2021/v11i830455.
- Pathariya, P., Dwivedi, B.S., Dwivedi, A.K., Thakur, R.K., Singh, M., Sarvade, S., 2022. Potassium balance under soybean–wheat cropping system in a 44-year-old long-term fertilizer experiment on a Vertisol. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 53, 214–226. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2021.1984516?urlappend=%3Futm\\_source%3Dresearchgate.net%26utm\\_medium%3Darticle](https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2021.1984516?urlappend=%3Futm_source%3Dresearchgate.net%26utm_medium%3Darticle).
- Reveena, Kumar, R., Pooja, Devi, N.D., Verma, R., Kumar, N., Shilpa, Rushali, 2025. Optimizing productivity and economics of maize+legumes intercropping: a study on the impact of natural and organic farming practices. Legume Research. 48(6), 999-1005. doi: 10.18805/LR-5243.
- Saharan, B.S., Tyagi, S., Kumar, R., Vijay, O.H., Mandal, B.S., Duhan, J.S., 2023. Application of Jeevamrit improves soil properties in zero-budget natural farming fields. Agriculture 13(1), 196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13010196>.
- Sarvade, S., Agrawal, S.B., Bajpai, R., Thakur, R.K., Shrivastava, A., 2025. Integrated nutrient management practices in agroforestry. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 16(1), 01–17. Available at: <https://ojs.pphouse.org/index.php/IJBSM/article/view/6104>.
- Sharma, S.K., Chouhan, N., Ae, N., Sinha, D.K., Dwivedi, B.S., 2021. Rhizosphere effect on phosphorus availability to soybean in Vertisols, Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 67, 653–661. Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2021.1986358?urlappend=%3Futm\\_source%3Dresearchgate.net%26utm\\_medium%3Darticle](https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2021.1986358?urlappend=%3Futm_source%3Dresearchgate.net%26utm_medium%3Darticle).
- Singh, T., Kumari, P., Ravinder, Shobhit, 2023. Influence of natural farming, organic and inorganic systems of nutrition on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 35(23), 356–360. DOI: 10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i234250.
- Somdutt, Bhadu, K., Rathore, R.S., Shekhawat, P.S., 2023. Jeevamrut and Panchagavya's consequences on growth, quality and productivity of organically grown crops: a review. Agricultural Reviews 44(4), 451–459. doi: 10.18805/ag.R-2239.
- Sreenivasa, M.N., Naik, N., Bhat, S.N., 2009. Beejamrutha: a source for beneficial bacteria. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science 22(5), 1038–1040. Available at: [https://apcnf.in/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Beejamrutha\\_A\\_source\\_for\\_beneficial\\_bacteria.pdf](https://apcnf.in/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Beejamrutha_A_source_for_beneficial_bacteria.pdf)
- Subbiah, B.V., Asija, G.L., 1956. Rapid method for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science 25, 259–260. Available at: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2138694>.
- Sutar, R., Sujith, G.M., Devakumar, N., 2018. Growth and yield of cowpea as influenced by Jeevamrit and Panchagavya application. Legume Research 3932, 1–5. doi 10.18805/LR-3932.
- Tekam, Y., Dixit, B.K., Dwivedi, B.S., Thakur, R., Kumhare, A., Sharma, A., 2024. Impact of long-term application of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure on wheat yield and available phosphorous contents in a Vertisol under soybean–wheat cropping sequence. International Journal of Research in Agronomy 7(4), 05–08. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i4a.506>.
- Thakur, R.K., Rai, S.K., Bhalawe, S., Shrivastava, A.K., Lodhi, A.S., Sarvade, S., 2025. Economic feasibility and nutritional security of tribal farmers through grow bag-based organic vegetables production in Chhattisgarh plain agro-climatic zone, Madhya Pradesh. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 16(10), 01–08. <https://doi.org/10.23910/1.2025.6484>.
- Thakur, R., Sarvade, S., Dwivedi, B.S., 2022. Heavy metals: soil contamination and its remediation. AATCC Review 10(02), 59–76. <http://dx.doi.org/10.58321/AATCCReview.2022.10.02.59>.
- Tiwari, R., Dwivedi, B.S., Sharma, Y.M., Thakur, R., Sharma, A., Nagwanshi, A., 2024. Soil properties and soybean yield as influenced by long-term fertilizer and organic manure application in a vertisol under soybean–wheat cropping sequence. Legume Research 47(7), 1158–1164. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18805/LR-5111>.
- Verma, G., Dhaka, A.K., Singh, B., Kumar, A., Choudhary, A.K., Kumar, A., Kumar, S., 2024. Productivity, soil health, and carbon management index of soybean–wheat cropping system under double zero-tillage and natural-farming based organic nutrient management in north-Indian plains. Science of the Total Environment 917, 170418. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170418>.
- Walkley, A., Black, I.A., 1934. Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science 37, 29–38. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-193401000-00003>.
- Xu, G., Zheng, H., 1986. Handbook of analysis methods of soil microbiology. Agricultural, Beijing, China. Available at: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=147202>.
- Yaduwanshi, Bablu, Sahu, R.K., Mitra, N.G., Dwivedi, B.S., 2021. Impact of Microbial Consortia on Microbial Population and Available Nutrients in Soil under Soybean Crop. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 69(2), 187–194. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0228.2021.00029.3>.