

Doi: [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.23910/2/2022.0445](https://doi.org/10.23910/2/2022.0445)

## A Study of Growth Rate and Seed Yield in *Ocimum basilicum* Germplasms

K. C. Meena<sup>1\*</sup>, J. Patel<sup>1</sup>, I. S. Naruka<sup>2</sup>, Ajay Haldar<sup>1</sup>, D. K. Patidar<sup>1</sup> and Nitin Soni<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>College of Horticulture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Mandsaur, M.P. (458 001), India<sup>2</sup>Deputy Director Research, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior, M.P. (474 002), India

### Corresponding Author

K. C. Meena

e-mail: [jarwal.meena@gmail.com](mailto:jarwal.meena@gmail.com)

### Article History

Article ID: IJEP0445

Received on 12<sup>th</sup> October, 2021Received in revised form on 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2022Accepted in final form on 24<sup>th</sup> March, 2022

### Abstract

The present field experiment was conducted at department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, Mandsaur in simple Randomized Block Design during 2019-20. This investigation was carried out with twenty germplasms obtained from ICAR-AICRP on MAP, college of Horticulture, Mandsaur. The analysis of variance revealed significant difference in all the growth parameters among the germplasms. However, G<sub>19</sub> IC-0622541 recorded maximum leaf area (244.50, 1297.09, 4977.09, 7492.67 and 11461.17 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>), leaf area index (0.321, 1.307, 3.425 and 3.949) and leaf area duration (25.69, 104.57, 273.97 and 315.90 cm<sup>2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) during all the growth intervals. While, crop growth rate (0.060, 0.422 g cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and relative growth rate (0.052, 0.117 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) were higher till 120 days after transplanting in G<sub>19</sub> IC-0622541 but in later stages to harvest G<sub>11</sub> IC-0622533 was superior in crop growth rate (1.896, 0.340 g cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and relative growth rate (0.152, 0.108 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). G<sub>14</sub> IC-0622536 had maximum seed yield (29.57 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) among the germplasms.

**Keywords:** Biofuel, fuelwood, fuel, pigeon pea

### 1. Introduction

The genus *Ocimum* collectively called “basil” belonging to the family Lamiaceae and also known as sweet basil, French basil or Common basil. It has around 50-150 species of shrubs and herbs from the tropical areas of Asia, Africa and Central and South America. It is usually referred as the “King of the herbs” being widely utilized due to its economic, culinary, industrial, cosmetic and medicinal importance (Erum et al., 2011) and also used for the pharmaceutical industry and in traditional medicines in many parts of the world (Ghoshi et al., 2020). Basil has several medicinal properties and rich source of vitamins, carbohydrates, fibre, protein, phosphorous, calcium, iron, beta-carotene and in essential oils. The major constituents in *Ocimum* oils include eugenol, linalool, geraniol, citral, camphor, menthol, chavicol, safrol, thymol (Kumar et al., 2014). *Ocimum basilicum* Linn. occurs in nature as a tetraploid (2n=48). It grows to a height of 30-90 cm and is an enormous, erect, strongly, aromatic annual herbaceous plant with inverse, ovate-lanceolate, petioles very slender usually slightly hairy leaves, flora born on racemose inflorescences, corolla 0.72 - 1.25 cm long, white, pink or pale-purplish in coloured, bracts are petiolated, flowers are conspicuous, black seeds and ellipsoid which become mucilaginous on moistening (Gingade et al., 2014). In India, cultivation and average yield of basil is

low. It may be due to lack of suitable cultivars, genotypes and varieties to a particular region. In basil, the selection is based on fresh herbage, essential oil yield and oil quality along with their constituent characters which would prove very useful. There is urgent need for the evaluation of the genotypes in different agro-climatic conditions to know their performance in terms of yield attributing and oil quality traits.

### 2. Materials and Methods

The field research was carried out with twenty obtained germplasms from ICAR-AICRP on MAPs, College of Horticulture Mandsaur in Randomized Block Design during kharif season of 2019–20 under department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, RVSKVV, Mandsaur (M.P.). The experiment site is located at Malwa plateau in Western part of Madhya Pradesh at 23° 45' North to 24° 13' North and between the meridians of longitude 74° 44' East and 75° 18' East. In this study, five plants were collected from each plot at 30, 60, 90, 120 DAT and at harvest to record all the parameters and later on their mean was calculated. The experimental data were subjected to statistical analysis using analysis of variance technique suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) where the “F” test was found significant at 5% level of significance, the critical differences for the treatment's comparison were worked out.



### 3. Results and Discussion

The results revealed that, all the parameters were significantly differed among the germplasm except, crop growth rate and relative growth rate at harvest during all the growth intervals (Table 1 and 2).

The result revealed that germplasm G<sub>19</sub> IC-0622541 was denoted highest leaf area (244.50, 1297.09, 4977.09, 7492.67 and 11461.17 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>), leaf area index (0.321, 1.307, 3.425 and 3.949) and leaf area duration (25.69, 104.57, 273.97 and 315.90 cm<sup>2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) during all the growth phases of plant. It may be due to improvement pattern in all growth phase of germplasms due to maximum number of leaves and their size leads to highest photosynthetic surface area as resulting by maximum leaf area that increases internally the leaf area index and leaf area duration. Leaf area duration should be integrated over time to take into account the persistence of the leaf region. This basic designation is closely related to the production and yield of dry matter. Similar results were

reported by Meena et al. (2013), Patel et al. (2018), Gowda et al. (2019a, 2019b) in *Ocimum*. Furthermore, the germplasm G<sub>19</sub> IC-0622541 was accumulated maximum crop growth rate (0.060, 0.422 g cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) at 30-60, 60-90 DAT and G<sub>11</sub> IC-0622533 (1.189, 0.340 g cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) at 90-120 and 120 DAT-at harvest, respectively while, higher relative growth rate in G<sub>19</sub> IC-0622541 (0.52 and 0.117 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) at 30-60, 60-90 DAT and G<sub>11</sub> IC-0622533 (0.152 and 0.108 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 90-120 DAT and 120 DAT-at harvest, respectively. The differences in the growth attribute of germplasms were in consequence of genomic, ecological, and agronomic interaction (Shakthi et al., 2020a). It might be due to greater absorption and use of radiant energy, which eventually contributes to more accumulation of dry matter, number of primary and secondary branches which is attributed to increased magnitude of assimilatory surface area resulting in higher dry matter production and subsequently crop growth rate. The relative rate of growth showed an increase in biomass per unit area per unit time which decreased during the later phases of growth. Similar

Table 1: Mean performance of *Ocimum* germplasms for leaf area index, leaf area duration, crop growth rate and relative growth rate

Germplasms	LAI				LAD (cm <sup>2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )			
	30-60 DAT	60-90 DAT	90-120 DAT	120 DAT-At harvest	30-60 DAT	60-90 DAT	90-120 DAT	120 DAT-At harvest
G1: IC-0622523	0.184	0.664	1.884	2.235	14.68	53.14	150.69	178.83
G2: IC-0622524	0.180	0.597	1.577	1.885	14.43	47.73	126.19	150.80
G3: IC-0622525	0.145	0.529	1.309	1.561	11.64	42.35	104.71	124.86
G4: IC-0622526	0.185	0.650	1.737	2.025	14.81	52.01	138.99	162.03
G5: IC-0622527	0.178	0.635	1.549	1.838	14.21	50.82	123.92	147.01
G6: IC-0622528	0.162	0.493	1.113	1.393	12.96	39.41	89.02	111.42
G7: IC-0622529	0.191	0.658	1.850	2.177	15.28	52.62	147.96	174.16
G8: IC-0622530	0.205	0.804	2.359	2.627	16.42	64.32	188.71	210.14
G9: IC-0622531	0.153	0.496	1.246	1.640	12.27	39.64	99.68	131.16
G10: IC-0622532	0.192	0.718	2.045	2.350	15.38	57.46	163.56	188.04
G11: IC-0622533	0.292	1.277	3.249	3.560	23.38	102.18	259.92	284.82
G12: IC-0622534	0.209	0.799	2.295	2.840	16.73	63.91	183.62	227.19
G13: IC-0622535	0.314	1.069	2.930	3.612	25.09	85.55	234.38	288.92
G14: IC-0622536	0.263	1.011	2.485	2.810	21.07	80.89	198.77	224.81
G15: IC-0622537	0.189	0.678	1.885	2.218	15.09	54.23	150.81	177.41
G16: IC-0622538	0.272	1.043	2.861	3.363	21.73	83.41	228.89	269.05
G17: IC-0622539	0.200	0.744	2.204	2.499	15.96	59.50	176.32	199.91
G18: IC-0622540	0.208	0.767	2.290	2.620	16.63	61.37	183.19	209.58
G19: IC-0622541	0.321	1.307	3.425	3.949	25.69	104.57	273.97	315.90
G20: IC-0622542	0.254	0.950	2.563	2.791	20.28	76.03	205.03	223.31
SEm±	0.004	0.017	0.044	0.046	0.33	1.36	3.49	3.70
CD (p=0.05)	0.012	0.049	0.125	0.132	0.94	3.88	9.98	10.58

Table 1: Continue...



Germplasms	CGR (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )				RGR (g g <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )			
	30-60 DAT	60-90 DAT	90-120 DAT	120 DAT-At harvest	30-60 DAT	60-90 DAT	90-120 DAT	120 DAT- At harvest
G1: IC-0622523	0.054	0.329	0.816	0.139	0.049	0.109	0.139	0.077
G2: IC-0622524	0.045	0.296	0.638	0.227	0.042	0.106	0.131	0.096
G3: IC-0622525	0.034	0.305	0.612	0.230	0.033	0.106	0.130	0.096
G4: IC-0622526	0.032	0.339	0.539	0.130	0.031	0.110	0.125	0.074
G5: IC-0622527	0.036	0.326	0.657	0.209	0.035	0.109	0.132	0.093
G6: IC-0622528	0.042	0.309	0.717	0.196	0.040	0.107	0.135	0.090
G7: IC-0622529	0.050	0.329	0.804	0.175	0.046	0.109	0.139	0.087
G8: IC-0622530	0.058	0.340	0.816	0.239	0.051	0.110	0.139	0.096
G9: IC-0622531	0.047	0.323	0.740	0.207	0.044	0.108	0.136	0.090
G10: IC-0622532	0.050	0.325	0.785	0.219	0.046	0.109	0.138	0.093
G11: IC-0622533	0.055	0.378	1.189	0.340	0.049	0.114	0.152	0.108
G12: IC-0622534	0.058	0.342	0.933	0.173	0.051	0.110	0.144	0.079
G13: IC-0622535	0.059	0.365	0.939	0.220	0.051	0.112	0.144	0.092
G14: IC-0622536	0.056	0.384	1.086	0.208	0.050	0.114	0.149	0.093
G15: IC-0622537	0.054	0.344	0.872	0.179	0.049	0.110	0.141	0.080
G16: IC-0622538	0.056	0.404	1.118	0.273	0.050	0.116	0.150	0.102
G17: IC-0622539	0.057	0.352	1.089	0.274	0.050	0.111	0.149	0.102
G18: IC-0622540	0.060	0.360	1.023	0.338	0.052	0.112	0.147	0.109
G19: IC-0622541	0.060	0.422	1.163	0.293	0.052	0.117	0.151	0.105
G20: IC-0622542	0.048	0.345	0.848	0.203	0.045	0.111	0.140	0.092
SEm±	0.002	0.009	0.052	0.053	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.010
CD (p=0.05)	0.007	0.027	0.150	NS	0.005	0.003	0.006	NS

Table 2: Mean performance of *Ocimum* germplasm for leaf area, dry weight and seed yield

Germplasms	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> plant <sup>-1</sup> )					Dry weight (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )					Seed yield (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT	At har- vest	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT	At har- vest	
G1: IC-0622523	109.76	771.20	2417.18	4105.74	6624.19	3.21	7.53	33.84	88.02	99.15	23.73
G2: IC-0622524	99.70	765.94	2097.60	3573.82	5473.89	2.17	5.73	29.44	62.29	80.48	23.53
G3: IC-0622525	99.47	598.92	1942.31	3151.22	4340.32	2.09	4.79	29.18	59.79	78.16	20.57
G4: IC-0622526	103.99	784.73	2335.57	3717.91	6003.73	2.41	4.94	32.02	64.77	75.14	22.63
G5: IC-0622527	103.94	748.95	2300.47	3686.26	5134.56	2.51	5.35	31.39	67.24	83.97	18.60
G6: IC-0622528	80.12	697.34	1667.22	3011.18	3674.25	2.56	5.93	30.67	72.29	88.01	19.97
G7: IC-0622529	115.05	801.58	2355.68	3927.53	6521.95	2.78	6.75	33.11	83.45	97.41	16.23
G8: IC-0622530	138.04	847.28	3011.71	4297.13	8311.15	2.89	7.51	34.74	80.90	100.03	16.87
G9: IC-0622531	88.12	647.80	1730.85	3619.47	4250.16	2.73	6.46	32.33	74.93	91.52	18.43
G10: IC-0622532	118.99	804.05	2643.39	4112.06	7170.22	2.77	6.80	32.81	78.12	95.62	26.43
G11: IC-0622533	200.19	1202.47	4928.35	6422.14	10667.03	3.75	8.11	38.39	106.33	133.51	24.33
G12: IC-0622534	137.94	866.02	2968.38	5582.52	8049.03	3.27	7.94	35.32	96.07	109.94	25.30
G13: IC-0622535	242.00	1263.24	3869.98	7142.33	10192.95	3.35	8.04	37.24	94.70	112.33	28.43

Table 2: Continue...



Germplasms	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> plant <sup>-1</sup> )					Dry weight (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )					Seed yield (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT	At harvest	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT	At harvest	
G14: IC-0622536	182.23	1082.26	3771.24	5333.41	8155.05	4.10	8.54	39.24	109.52	126.15	29.57
G15: IC-0622537	112.96	792.46	2461.13	4057.47	6587.40	3.25	7.57	35.06	90.53	104.85	27.53
G16: IC-0622538	217.13	1086.91	3917.63	6326.88	9815.94	4.02	8.51	40.86	108.47	130.31	28.63
G17: IC-0622539	123.68	833.92	2736.18	4151.66	7843.15	3.63	8.19	36.36	101.52	123.45	27.43
G18: IC-0622540	130.96	866.74	2815.37	4398.30	8176.25	3.48	8.30	37.08	91.84	118.91	26.57
G19: IC-0622541	244.50	1297.09	4977.09	7492.67	11461.17	4.26	9.05	42.83	112.40	135.86	27.63
G20: IC-0622542	168.29	1048.68	3513.17	4610.26	8788.64	2.98	6.86	34.48	86.05	102.28	25.67
SEm±	4.58	19.70	76.48	86.93	185.13	0.18	0.07	0.76	1.61	4.18	0.93
CD (p=0.05)	13.11	56.39	218.95	248.86	530.01	0.53	0.20	2.18	4.60	11.95	2.67

findings were reported by Meena et al. (2013), Gowda et al. (2019b) in *Ocimum* species. The germplasm G<sub>19</sub> IC-0622541 was assessed maximum total dry weight (4.26, 9.05, 42.83, 112.40 and 135.86 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) at 30, 60 90, 120 DAT and at harvest, respectively. It may be due to heritable characteristics and efficient use of photosynthetic active radiation resulting in higher leaf area which eventually contributes to cumulate maximum dry matter. These results were confirmed by Ibrahim et al. (2015) in *Ocimum* species. Among yield and yield components, higher seed yield was recorded in germplasm G<sub>14</sub> IC-0622536 (29.57 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) amid the germplasm. The similar findings were observed by Meena et al. (2013) and Gowda et al. (2019a, 2019b) in *Ocimum* and Shakthi et al. (2020b) in fenugreek.

#### 4. Conclusion

Out of twenty germplasms, G<sub>19</sub> IC-0622541 and G<sub>14</sub> IC-0622536 proved to be most superior which performed better and should be used further for breeding programme of *Ocimum* germplasms.

#### 5. References

- Erum, S., Nawwmullah, M., Masood, S., Khan, M.I., 2011. Genetic variation in the living repository of *ocimum* germplasm. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Research*, 24(1-4), 42-50.
- Gingade, S., Varghese, T.S., Manivel, P., 2014. Cultivation of *Ocimum*. ICAR–Directorate of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research, Boriavi, Anand–387 310, Gujarat.
- Gowda, M., Dorajeerao, A.V. D., Madhavi, M., Salomi Suneetha, D.R., 2019a. A study on genetic variability for yield and its attributes in sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and applied Sciences* 8(6), 2995–3003.
- Gowda, M.P., Dorajeerao, A.V.D., Madhavi, M., Salomi Suneetha, D.R., 2019b. A study on genetic variability

- for yield and its attributes in sacred basil (*Ocimum tenuiflorum* L.). *Journal of Crop and Weed* 15(3), 01–06.
- Ibrahim, M.M., Aboud, K.A., Al- Ansary, A.M.F., 2015. Genetic variability among three sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) varieties as revealed by morphological traits and RAPD markers. *World Applied Sciences Journal* 24(11), 1411–1419.
- Kumar, B., Mali, H., Gupta, E., 2014. Genetic variability, character association, and path analysis for economic traits in menthofuran rich half-sib seed progeny of *Menthapiperita* L. Hindawi Publishing Corporation BioMed Research International Journal 7, 116–122.
- Meena, K.C., Gontia, A.S., Upadhayay, A., Rao, S., 2013. Response of *Ocimum* germplasms to foliar application of plant growth promoters. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Advance Research* 2(3), 25–30.
- Panse, V.G., Sukhatme, P.V., 1985. Statistical method for agriculture workers, Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi, pp 155.
- Patel, R.P., Singh, R., Lal, R.K., Gupta, P., Kesarwani, A., Goyal, N., 2018. Genetic variability of agronomic traits and chemo diversity in genus *Ocimum*. *Trends in Phytochemistry Research* 2(2), 103–110.
- Ghoshi, S.S., Meena, K.C., Shakthi, P.N., Naruka, I.S., 2020. Study of growth, phenology and bulb yield in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) genotypes under Malwa plateau of Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 9(5), 1983–1986.
- Shakthi, P.N., Meena, K.C., Naruka, I.S., Haldar, A., Soni, N., 2020a. Performance of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) genotypes for yield and yield contributing traits. *International Journal of Seed Spices* 10(1), 11–15.
- Shakthi, P.N., Meena, K.C., Naruka, I.S., Ghoshi, S.S., 2020b. Study of growth, phenology and seed yield in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) varieties. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 8(4), 2924–2927.

